

Exercises Algebraic Geometry Sheet 4 - solutions

1. **The tensor product and Hom:** We discuss here the relationship of the tensor product with the *Hom*-functor. We start with a property of the *Hom*-groups which is in some sense dual to the one for the tensor product we are interested in.

Lemma 1. *Let A be a ring and*

$$0 \rightarrow M'' \xrightarrow{\beta} M' \xrightarrow{\gamma} M \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of A -modules (remember: this means β is injective, γ is surjective and $\ker(\gamma) = \text{im}(\beta)$). Then for any A -module Q (in particular, for A itself) there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, Q) \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} \text{Hom}_A(M', Q) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \text{Hom}_A(M'', Q)$$

(note that the β^ is not surjective in general). Conversely, a sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow M'' \xrightarrow{\beta} M' \xrightarrow{\gamma} M \rightarrow 0$$

(this means that $\gamma \circ \beta = 0$ so $\text{im}(\beta) \subset \ker(\gamma)$ but not $\text{im}(\beta) = \ker(\gamma)$ in general) is exact if for all A -modules Q , we have that

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, Q) \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} \text{Hom}_A(M', Q) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \text{Hom}_A(M'', Q) \quad (1)$$

is exact. Note that it follows from $\gamma \circ \beta = 0$ that $\beta^ \circ \gamma^* = 0$ (see the proof), so that it makes sense to call (1) a sequence.*

Proof. First the definition of the maps γ^* and β^* : this is just the generalization of the dual map (hence the names): given $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, Q)$ (resp. $g \in \text{Hom}_A(M', Q)$), we put $\gamma^*(f) := f \circ \gamma$ (resp. $\beta^*(g) := g \circ \beta$). It is easy to show that the maps defined in this way are homomorphisms of A -modules. Let us show that γ^* is injective: suppose that $\gamma^*(f)(a) = 0$ for all $a \in M'$, then f is necessarily zero in $\text{Hom}_A(M, Q)$, otherwise, given any $b \in M$ with $f(b) \neq 0$, we take a lift \tilde{a} of b to M' , that is, any preimage under γ , and then $\gamma^*(f)(\tilde{a}) \neq 0$. The next step is to show that $\text{im}(\gamma^*) = \ker(\beta^*)$: First, the composition $\beta^* \circ \gamma^*$ is obviously zero because $\beta^* \circ \gamma^*(f) = f \circ \gamma \circ \beta = 0$ as already $\gamma \circ \beta = 0$. So let $g \in \ker(\beta^*) \subset \text{Hom}_A(M', Q)$ be given, this means that $g \circ \beta$ is zero in $\text{Hom}_A(M'', Q)$. We will construct $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, Q)$ with $\gamma^*(f) = g$: given $b \in M$, take any lift a as above (i.e. $\gamma(a) = b$) and **define** $f(b) := g(a)$. A priori, this may not be well-defined, it seems to depend on the choice of the lift $a \in \gamma^{-1}(b)$. But in fact it does not, suppose \tilde{a} to be another choice, then $a - \tilde{a} \in \ker(\gamma) = \text{im}(\beta)$ so that there is $c \in M''$ with $\beta(c) = a - \tilde{a}$. Therefore $g(a - \tilde{a}) = (g \circ \beta)(c) = 0$ by assumption. So we see that f is well-defined which shows that $g \in \text{im}(\beta^*)$.

For the other direction, note that given

$$M'' \xrightarrow{\beta} M' \xrightarrow{\gamma} M$$

with $\gamma \circ \beta = 0$, if γ is not surjective, then the canonical projection $\pi : M \rightarrow M/\text{im}(\gamma) = \text{coker}(\gamma)$ is an element in $\text{Hom}_A(M, \text{coker}(\gamma))$, different from zero, but the composition $\gamma^*(\pi) = \pi \circ \gamma$ is zero in $\text{Hom}_A(M', \text{coker}(\gamma))$.

So there is an A -module $Q := \text{coker}(\gamma)$ such that $\text{Hom}_A(M, Q) \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} \text{Hom}_A(M', Q)$ is not injective. Similarly, let $\psi : M' \rightarrow M'/\text{im}(\beta) = \text{coker}(\beta)$ be the canonical projection, this is an element in $\ker(\beta^*) \subset \text{Hom}_A(M', Q)$ (because $\beta^*(\psi) = \psi \circ \beta = 0$) where $Q := \text{coker}(\beta)$. If $\text{im}(\beta) \subsetneq \ker(\gamma)$ then there cannot be any $\Psi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, Q)$ with $\psi = \Psi \circ \gamma$: If there were such an Ψ , take any non-zero element $x \in \ker(\gamma) \setminus \text{im}(\beta)$, then $\psi(x) \neq 0$, but $\gamma(x) = 0$ so that $\psi \circ \gamma(x) = 0$, a contradiction. This finishes the proof. \square

The next step is a reinterpretation of the tensor product in terms of the Hom -groups.

Lemma 2. *Let M, N, Q A -modules, then there are natural isomorphisms*

$$Bilin_A(M \times N, Q) \cong Hom_A(M \otimes_A N, Q) \quad ; \quad Bilin_A(M \times N, Q) \cong Hom_A(M, Hom_A(N, Q))$$

where $Bilin_A(M \times N, Q)$ is A -module of bilinear maps from $M \times N$ to Q .

Proof. The first isomorphism is the universal property of the tensor product, namely it is given by sending a bilinear map ψ to the map defined by $m \otimes n \mapsto \psi(m, n)$. The second isomorphism is also obvious: given $\psi \in Bilin_A(M \times N, Q)$, we have that for any $m \in M$, the map $\psi_m : N \rightarrow Q$ sending $n \in N$ to $\psi_m(n) := \psi(m, n) \in Q$ is A -linear, i.e., $\psi_m \in Hom_A(N, Q)$, and that the map sending $m \rightarrow \psi_m$ is also A -linear. This means that ψ gives an element in $Hom_A(M, Hom_A(N, Q))$ and one checks that both modules are isomorphic under this map (namely, construct the obvious inverse). \square

We can now use these two lemmas to prove the following result.

Corollary 1. *Let the above exact sequence of A -modules be given, then for any A -module P , there is an exact sequence*

$$M'' \otimes_A P \xrightarrow{\beta \otimes id} M' \otimes_A P \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes id} M \otimes_A P \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

Note that this does not mean that $\beta \otimes id$ is injective.

Proof. The second part of the first lemma shows that it is sufficient to show that

$$0 \longrightarrow Hom_A(M \otimes_A P, N) \xrightarrow{(\gamma \otimes id)^*} Hom_A(M' \otimes_A P, N) \xrightarrow{(\beta \otimes id)^*} Hom_A(M'' \otimes_A P, N)$$

is exact for any A -module N . By the second lemma, we know that this is equivalent to

$$0 \longrightarrow Hom_A(M, Hom_A(P, N)) \xrightarrow{(\gamma \otimes id)^*} Hom_A(M', Hom_A(P, N)) \xrightarrow{(\beta \otimes id)^*} Hom_A(M'', Hom_A(P, N))$$

but that this sequence is exact follows simply by applying the first part of the first lemma for $Q := Hom_A(P, N)$. \square

2. Exterior product and the Grassmann varieties: We will use the exterior product to determine (in a special case) the image of the Plücker embedding. This will show that the Grassmannian is a projective variety. We start with a definition

Definition 0.1. *Let A be a ring (we will use here only the case where A is a field) and M an A -module. Then we define*

$$\bigwedge_A^p M := \left(\underbrace{M \otimes_A \dots \otimes_A M}_p \right) / N$$

where N is the submodule generated by all elements of the form $m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_p$ such that there is $i \neq j$ with $m_i = m_j$. Denote by $x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_p \in \bigwedge^p M$ the class of $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_p$. There is a homomorphism of A -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge^p M \otimes_A \bigwedge^q M &\longrightarrow \bigwedge^{p+q} M \\ (x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_p) \otimes (y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge y_q) &\longmapsto x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_p \wedge y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge y_q \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies $\underline{x} \wedge \underline{y} = (-1)^{pq} \underline{y} \wedge \underline{x}$ for all $\underline{x} \in \bigwedge^p M$ and $\underline{y} \in \bigwedge^q M$ (This can be expressed by saying that $\bigwedge^\bullet M := \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \bigwedge^p M$ is a graded-commutative algebra, note that similarly $\bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \otimes^p M$ is a non-commutative algebra). In particular, $x \wedge y = -y \wedge x$ for any $x, y \in M$, this can of course already be seen from the very definition of the exterior product.

Lemma 3. (a) *Let M be a finitely generated free A -module, i.e., there are elements m_1, \dots, m_k such that*

$$\begin{aligned} A^k \cong \bigoplus A e_i &\longrightarrow M \\ e_i &\longmapsto m_i \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism (here e_i denotes the i th standard basis vector of A^k). Then

$$\bigwedge^p M \xrightarrow{\cong} A^{\binom{k}{p}} \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq k} A e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}$$

We denote the vector $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p} \in \bigwedge^p M$ by e_{i_1, \dots, i_p} . In particular, $\bigwedge^k M$ is free of rank one (with generator $e_{1, 2, \dots, k} = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_k$), i.e., isomorphic to A and $\bigwedge^p M = 0$ for all $p > k$.

(b) For any $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ and any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an induced homomorphism $\bigwedge^p f : \bigwedge^p M \rightarrow \bigwedge^p N$. Let $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k A e_i$, $N \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^l A \tilde{e}_j$ and $(F_{ji}) \in M(l \times k, A)$ the matrix of f with respect to \underline{e} and $\underline{\tilde{e}}$ (i.e., $f(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^l F_{ji} \tilde{e}_j$). Then the matrix of $\bigwedge^p f$ with respect to $(\underline{e}_{i_1, \dots, i_p})$ and $(\underline{\tilde{e}}_{j_1, \dots, j_p})$ is given by

$$\left(\bigwedge^p f\right)(\underline{e}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq l} (F_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^{j_1, \dots, j_p}) \cdot \tilde{e}_{j_1, \dots, j_p}$$

where $F_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^{j_1, \dots, j_p}$ is the determinant of the submatrix of (F_{ji}) consisting of the i_1, \dots, i_p -th column and the j_1, \dots, j_p -th row.

Proof. The first part simply follows from the properties of the exterior product, i.e.,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i\right) \wedge \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i \mu_j - \mu_i \lambda_j) e_i \wedge e_j = \sum_{i < j} \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_i & \mu_i \\ \lambda_j & \mu_j \end{vmatrix} e_i \wedge e_j$$

and similar for the higher exterior products. A similar calculation shows the second part (i.e., the last formula is already the proof of (b) for the case $p = 2$, here (F_{ji}) would be the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \dots & \mu_n \end{pmatrix}$. \square

This lemma allows us to rewrite the Plücker embedding as follows (in the sequel the ring A from above is the field k).

$$\text{Pl} : \text{Gr}(l, n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^l k^n) \cong \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{l}-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L := \text{span}\langle v_1, \dots, v_l \rangle = \text{span}\langle \underline{e} \cdot A \rangle &\longmapsto v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_l = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_{i1} e_i\right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_{il} e_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l \leq n} A_{i_1, \dots, i_l} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_l} \end{aligned}$$

Note that in order to link the notation to that of the last lemma, we can write $L := \text{im}(A)$, where $A : k^l \rightarrow k^n$ is defined as $A(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ji} e_j$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. Then the minor A_{i_1, \dots, i_l} is (in the notation from above) $A_{1, \dots, l}^{i_1, \dots, i_l}$.

The homogenous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{l}-1}$ are written as $(\lambda_{1, \dots, 1} : \lambda_{2, 1, \dots, 1} : \dots : \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_l} : \dots)$ so that Pl can be expressed by saying that $\lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_l} := A_{i_1, \dots, i_l}$. We see that any $w \in \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^l k^n)$ is in the image of the Plücker map iff there are vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n \in k^n$ such that $w = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n$. Let us now specify to the case $l = 2$.

Lemma 4. *A vector $w \in \bigwedge^2 k^n$ lies in the image of the Plücker embedding if and only if $w \wedge w = 0$ in $\bigwedge^4 k^n$.*

Proof. We give an elementary proof: Let $w = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \lambda_{ij} e_{ij}$, then

$$w \wedge w = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n} (\lambda_{ij} \lambda_{kl} - \lambda_{ik} \lambda_{jl} + \lambda_{il} \lambda_{jk}) e_{ijkl}$$

which we write as

$$w \wedge w = (\lambda_{12} \lambda_{34} - \lambda_{13} \lambda_{24} + \lambda_{14} \lambda_{23}) e_{1234} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k < l; l > 4} (\lambda_{ij} \lambda_{kl} - \lambda_{ik} \lambda_{jl} + \lambda_{il} \lambda_{jk}) e_{ijkl}$$

We can suppose that at least one of the coefficients $\lambda_{12}, \lambda_{34}, \lambda_{13}, \lambda_{24}, \lambda_{14}, \lambda_{23}$ is non-zero, if not, we have reduced the length of the sum representing w by one and we continue inductively. So let $\lambda_{14} \neq 0$. We set $e_1^{(1)} := \lambda_{14} e_1 + \lambda_{24} e_2 + \lambda_{34} e_3$, $e_i^{(1)} := e_i$ for all $i > 1$, and we obtain new coefficients $\lambda_{ij}^{(1)}$ defined by

$$w = \sum_{i < j} \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} e_i^{(1)} \wedge e_j^{(1)}$$

The choice of $e_1^{(1)}$ implies that $\lambda_{24}^{(1)} = \lambda_{34}^{(1)} = 0$ and $\lambda_{14}^{(1)} = 1$. But the equation $w \wedge w = 0$ also gives $\lambda_{12}^{(1)} \lambda_{34}^{(1)} - \lambda_{13}^{(1)} \lambda_{24}^{(1)} + \lambda_{14}^{(1)} \lambda_{23}^{(1)} = 0$, so that $\lambda_{23}^{(1)} = 0$. This shows that we can write w as

$$w = e_1^{(1)} \wedge \left(\lambda_{12}^{(1)} e_2^{(1)} + \lambda_{13}^{(1)} e_3^{(1)} + \lambda_{14}^{(1)} e_4^{(1)}\right) + \sum_{i < j, j > 4} \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} e_i^{(1)} \wedge e_j^{(1)}$$

Now put: $e_1^{(2)} := e_1^{(1)}$, $e_2^{(2)} := \lambda_{12}^{(1)} e_2^{(1)} + \lambda_{13}^{(1)} e_3^{(1)} + \lambda_{14}^{(1)} e_4^{(1)}$, $e_i^{(2)} := e_i^{(1)}$ for all $i > 2$ and, as before, define the new coefficients by

$$w = \sum_{i < j} \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} e_i^{(2)} \wedge e_j^{(2)}$$

so that

$$w = e_1^{(2)} \wedge e_2^{(2)} + \sum_{i < j; j > 4} \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} e_i^{(2)} \wedge e_j^{(2)} \quad (3)$$

We continue the expansion as

$$w = e_1^{(2)} \wedge e_2^{(2)} + \lambda_{15}^{(2)} e_1^{(2)} \wedge e_5^{(2)} + \lambda_{25}^{(2)} e_2^{(2)} \wedge e_5^{(2)} + \lambda_{35}^{(2)} e_3^{(2)} \wedge e_5^{(2)} + \lambda_{45}^{(2)} e_4^{(2)} \wedge e_5^{(2)} + \sum_{i < j; j > 5} \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} e_i^{(2)} \wedge e_j^{(2)}$$

On the other hand, the equation $w \wedge w = 0$ also gives

$$\lambda_{12}^{(2)} \lambda_{35}^{(2)} - \lambda_{13}^{(2)} \lambda_{25}^{(2)} + \lambda_{15}^{(2)} \lambda_{23}^{(2)} = 0 \quad ; \quad \lambda_{12}^{(2)} \lambda_{45}^{(2)} - \lambda_{14}^{(2)} \lambda_{25}^{(2)} + \lambda_{15}^{(2)} \lambda_{24}^{(2)} = 0$$

but as $\lambda_{13}^{(2)} = \lambda_{23}^{(2)} = \lambda_{14}^{(2)} = \lambda_{24}^{(2)} = 0$ and $\lambda_{12}^{(2)} = 1$, we obtain $\lambda_{35}^{(2)} = \lambda_{45}^{(2)} = 0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} w &= e_1^{(2)} \wedge e_2^{(2)} + \lambda_{15}^{(2)} e_1^{(2)} \wedge e_5^{(2)} + \lambda_{25}^{(2)} e_2^{(2)} \wedge e_5^{(2)} + \sum_{i < j; j > 5} \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} e_i^{(2)} \wedge e_j^{(2)} \\ &= \underbrace{(e_1^{(2)} - \alpha_{25}^{(2)} e_5^{(2)})}_{e_1^{(3)}} \wedge \underbrace{(e_2^{(2)} + \alpha_{15}^{(2)} e_5^{(2)})}_{e_2^{(3)}} + \sum_{i < j; j > 5} \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} e_i^{(2)} \wedge e_j^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

so that by putting $e_i^{(3)} = e_i^{(2)}$ for all $i > 2$, we obtain the same expression as equation (3), but with the index $j > 5$, so that by induction, we can finish the proof. \square

Using this lemma, we can write down equations for the image of Pl, namely, with $w = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \lambda_{ij} e_{ij} \in \wedge^2 k^n$, we have, as already used in the proof, that

$$w \wedge w = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n} (\lambda_{ij} \lambda_{kl} - \lambda_{ik} \lambda_{jl} + \lambda_{il} \lambda_{jk}) e_{ijkl}$$

This shows that

$$\text{Im}(\text{Pl}) = V(\lambda_{ij} \lambda_{kl} - \lambda_{ik} \lambda_{jl} + \lambda_{il} \lambda_{jk})_{1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n}$$

which is a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{2}-1}$. In particular, for $n = 4$ we have a single equation $\text{Gr}(2, 4) \cong V(\lambda_{12} \lambda_{34} - \lambda_{13} \lambda_{24} + \lambda_{14} \lambda_{23})$, where $(\lambda_{12} : \lambda_{13} : \lambda_{14} : \lambda_{23} : \lambda_{24} : \lambda_{34})$ are the homogenous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^5 .

The following considerations show that the canonical affine cover of $\text{Im}(\text{Pl} : \text{Gr}(2, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{2}-1})$ with respect to the above coordinates can be simply described in terms of the matrices representing the point of $\text{Gr}(2, n)$. Let

$$L = \text{span} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \underline{e} \\ b_1 & \dots & b_i & \dots & b_j & \dots & b_n \end{pmatrix}^{tr} \right\rangle \in \text{Gr}(2, n)$$

and suppose that $a_i \neq 0$ and that $b_j - b_i \frac{a_j}{a_i} \neq 0$, then we may write

$$L = \text{span} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \underline{e} \\ \tilde{b}_1 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & \tilde{b}_n \end{pmatrix}^{tr} \right\rangle \in \text{Gr}(2, n)$$

It is easy to see that the Plücker coordinate x_{ij} is equal to one, i.e., the subset

$$\left\{ L \in \text{Gr}(2, n) \mid L = \text{span} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \underline{e} \\ \tilde{b}_1 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & \tilde{b}_n \end{pmatrix}^{tr} \right\rangle \right\}$$

is precisely the affine chart $U_{ij} := \text{Gr}(2, n) \cap \{x_{ij} \neq 0\}$. As Pl is an isomorphism, we see that $\text{Pl}^{-1}(U_{ij}) \cong k^{2(n-1)} = \{(\tilde{a}_k, \tilde{b}_l)_{k, l \neq i, j}\}$.