

Modena, 02/11/21

Writing Research Articles for International Journals: Global Principles & Practices for Non-Native Research Novices

Josef Schmied

English Language & Linguistics

Chemnitz University of Technology

https://www.tu-chemnitz.de/phil/english/sections/ling/presentations_js.php

josef.schmied@phil.tu-chemnitz.de

compilation	analysis	application
variety-specific corpora	language-specific corpora	genre-specific corpora
KE/TZ; CM; EMod English	English - German - Italian	esp. BA, MA, PhD theses

1995

Corpus of EAFE
(ICE-EA)
1.4 M words



2000

Lampeter
Corpus of Early
Modern English
Tracts
1.1 M words

English-German
Translation Corpus in
Internet Grammar
3.6 M words



	Words	Chapters	Pages
Abstracts	2,594,417	1,000,000	1,000,000
Books	1,713,540	1,000,000	1,000,000
Journal	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
Theses	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
...
Total	6,307,957	4,000,000	4,000,000



2005

Corpus of
Cameroon
English
2.5 M words

SPACE Corpus
(Specialized and
popular
academic
English)
6.8 M words

2010



ChemCorpus
(German
University
Student
Writing
in English)
6.5 M words

ZAMA Corpus
(South African/
Stellenbosch
MA theses)
6 M words

2015

Corpus of
Cameroon
Academic Writing
2.6 M words

CIAO
(Corpus of
Italian
Academic
Organization)
3 M words

ChAcE Corpus
(Chinese
Academic
English)
10 M words

TwitCollect
UK-US; Cm-Ng; Gz



1.2 Meanings of “global” (cf. Schmied 2018)

= macro-level, holistic, a bird’s eye view (in contrast to “local”)

= world-wide, similar discipline-specific conventions

= applies to several genres,
e.g. (research) articles, funding applications, conference abstracts

→ can be compared on a similar, sound empirical basis

1.3 Levels in writing

macro- → meso- → micro-level
chapter/section paragraph sentence

writing as a process:

planning – writing/drafting – editing

“Don't hand in your first draft!”

time 20% 50% 30%

writing as a result (e.g. BA/MA/PhD thesis of 40+/60+/200+ pages):

introduction – main body – conclusion

length 20% 60% 20%

1.4 "Non-native" "academic" writing

in academic writing nobody is native!

even more:

native conventions may not be functional and

thus may be "expanded", sub-rules "neglected", "simplified",
etc. without risking comprehensibility
(e.g. relative constructions, articles)

"academic" = research-based

at least at "novice"/university level (C1?, MA?)

NOT argumentative essay writing in practical language teaching/learning,
as in ICLE=International Corpus of Learner English

1.5 Three levels of Academic Writing / EAP

Student EAP: "digesting" research

incl. independent search for appropriate information, its critical evaluation and media-specific presentation

prototype genre: essay (1-5,000 words)

Doctoral EAP: "developing" research

national?

incl. a long-term independent research agenda and presentation of result of a sophisticated innovative project

with subject-specific conventions (MLA, ASA/APA)

prototype genre: PhD thesis (100,000- words?)

Research EAP: participating in research discourse

international

incl. peer-review procedures and the corresponding discourse

with subject- and journal-specific conventions

prototype genre: research article (5,000-20,000 words)

2. Concepts

2.1 Definitions of academic writing

Academic Writing, an old and a new field

Rhetoric = traditional "art" of "persuasion", convincing presentation for an audience/readership --> figures of speech ...

New Rhetoric =

English for Academic Purposes (EAP) vs. English for Specific Purposes (ESP)
etc.

Academic Writing, a theoretical and a practical field

every writer needs to be aware of conventions from seminar paper to international journal publication to Humboldt (AvH) application

new theoretical basis: from Swales to Hyland

= initiation into the culture and practices of various 'discourse communities' (Swales 1990).

From a social constructionist point of view,

'writing is always a personal and socio-cultural act of identity whereby writers both signal their membership in a range of communities, as well as express their own creative presence' (Hyland 2006: 35).

2.2 Methodology and Theories

methodology to empower students to compare their own writing with similar texts (genres) in their discourse community world-wide at advanced English levels when editing their own writings and considering their personal stance and identity as research novices

compare linguistic and sociobiographical/textual variables


- students with other students,
- progress from BA to MA theses, 1st draft to final theses, etc.

theoretical EFL concepts

- **inductive learning** = students discover usage patterns
- **consciousness-raising** = students may have noticed intuitively
- systematic functional instruction = form follows function
- integrated explicit instruction = discovery reading-->examples-->function?
- based on practical **project** discussions of our research group with international partners in SE Europe, Czech Rep., Cameroon, China, etc.
- general trend: included in MA (PhD) courses world-wide (e.g. Chemnitz)

2.3 Focus

- o **metadiscourse** = linguistic devices that assist writers to organize propositions and present them in a way that will be easily understood by readers




types of reader-writer interaction (Hyland 2005: 177)

- o global structures and consistency
- o international (Swales/Feak 2012) and national? (Siepmann et al. 2011) textbooks for teaching?

2.4 Discourses in science communication

discourse community approach (Hyland 2002, 2006, 2012; Schmied 2011)
a writer/speaker appeals to shared knowledge to create a community of discourse
incl. specific and general linguistic clues: *of course, as we know from ...*



2.5 Scientific discourse in the communities




FIGURE 3.2 Proposed social framing context for scientific discourse

Figure from Pérez-Llantada (2012)

(on dissemination platforms see Schmied, J. 2021).

2.6 Def. Genre Approach

- (1) Genres are recognizable **communicative events, characterized by a set of communicative purposes** identified and mutually understood by members of the professional or academic community in which they regularly occur.
- (2) **Genres are highly structured and conventionalised constructs**, with constraints on allowable contributions not only in terms of the intentions one would like to give expression to and the shape they often take, but also in terms of the lexico-grammatical resources one can employ to give discursal values to such formal features.
- (3) Established members of **a particular professional community** will have a much greater knowledge and understanding of the use and exploitation of genres than those who are apprentices, new members or outsiders.
- (4) Although genres are viewed as conventionalised constructs, expert members of the disciplinary and professional communities often exploit generic resources to express not only 'private' but also organizational intentions within the constructs of 'socially recognized communicative purposes'.
- (5) **Genres are reflections of disciplinary and organizational cultures**, and in that sense, they focus on social actions embedded within disciplinary, professional and other institutional practices.
- (6) All disciplinary and professional genres have integrity of their own, which is often identified with reference to a combination of textual, discursive and contextual factors. (Bhatia 2004: 23)

2.7 Genres in academic discourses

research "output"

- **research article**
- book reviews
- project proposals
- conference presentations

instructional disc./e-learning

- ppt presentations
 - lectures
 - student presentations
- textbooks
 - Wikis
 - www pages (HTML, php)

**discipline-specific
culture-specific**

science "journalism"

- popular science articles
- popular blogs (David Crystal)
- popular science films (Horizon)
- popular science books
- science slam

student "literacy"

- fieldwork notes, reports
- essays / term papers
- MA/BA/PhD thesis
- seminar presentations, disc.

"Novice Academic English"

**author-specific
culture-specific**

2.9 (Academic) Metadiscourse, Metalanguage

= language about language/discourse, i.e.
information management/reader guidance beyond the plain proposition

- academic writing in advanced language/ *lingua franca* learning (MA)
- textbooks for teaching:
international (Swales/Feak 2012) and national (Siepmann et al. 2011, Hannay/Mackenzie 2017)

fields of study:

- “global text management”, argumentation structure (see Mauranen 2012)
IMRaD -> paragraphing -> cohesion (*thus, conclude*)
- “local” text management”,
text cohesion --> cognitive coherence (*first, then*)
- writer - reader “interaction”, incl. address (*you, we*)
- writer commitment to the truth value/reliability ...
esp. hedging, (epistemic) modal aux/adv. (see also Gao 2012)
(... *may contribute, may be correct, ... arguably*)
- occasionally boosting (*certainly, in fact*)

3. Global argumentative organisation structure

3.1 IMRAD =

IMRAD

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

In scientific writing, **IMRAD** or **IMRaD** (/ˈɪmræd/) (**I**ntroduction, **M**ethods, **R**esults, and **D**iscussion)^[1] is a common organizational structure (a document format). IMRaD is the most prominent norm for the structure of a [scientific journal](#) article of the [original research](#) type.^[2]

[Contents](#) [\[show\]](#)

spreading from natural sciences into social sciences, humanities, arts ?

Overview [\[edit\]](#)

Original research articles are typically structured in this basic order^{[3][4][5]}

- Introduction – Why was the study undertaken? What was the [research question](#), the tested [hypothesis](#) or the purpose of the research?
- Methods – When, where, and how was the study done? What materials were used or who was included in the study groups (patients, etc.)?
- Results – What answer was found to the research question; what did the study find? Was the tested hypothesis true?
- Discussion – What might the answer imply and why does it matter? How does it fit in with what other researchers have found? What are the perspectives for future research?




Fig.1: Wineglass model for IMRaD structure. The above scheme

3.1 AIMAC = revised IMRAD structure with (meta-)discourse indicators to indicate consistency of headlines and text (Schmied 2015)

structure	substructure (tactical alternative)	key lexemes/phrases (as indicators)
A = abstract	keywords in context	<i>focus, discuss, approach</i>
I = issue	new	<i>not enough research yet</i>
	relevant	<i>important, academic discourse, practical application</i>
	focussed	<i>concentrate, emphasise, purpose</i>
M = methodology	previous research, i.e. lit. review incl. evaluation	<i>concept developed, review, refer to, proceed to, claim</i>
	hypotheses possible?	<i>research question</i>
	data base	<i>corpus, data collection</i>
	tests/procedure	<i>calculate</i>
A = analysis	examples as evidence	<i>illustrate, show, prove</i>
	statistical tables as summaries	<i>table, figure, diagram, graph, bar</i>
	significance to generalise	<i>significant, chi²</i>
C = conclusion	summary	<i>in conclusion, finally/at last, we have shown, discussed above</i>
	interpretation	<i>this proves that</i>
	contextualisation	<i>in a wider perspective, apply</i>
	limitations	<i>more data, beyond the scope</i>
	outlook	<i>further research is necessary, predict, dissemination/application of results</i>

Table of Contents

1 Introduction	7
2 Literature Review	10
2.1 Canada's settlement history	10
2.2 English in Canada	12
2.2.1 Standard Canadian English	12
2.2.2 Newfoundland English	13
2.3 Selected Phonetic Features of English in Canada	14
2.3.1 Canadian Raising	15
2.3.1.1 <i>Mainland Canada</i>	15
2.3.1.2 <i>Newfoundland</i>	18
2.3.1.3 <i>Quantitative, phonetic definition</i>	19
3 Methodology	22
3.1 Participants	22
3.2 Data Collection	24
3.3 Data Analysis	25
3.3.1 Selected Tokens	25
3.3.1.1 <i>Canadian Raising</i>	25
3.3.1.2 <i>The Canadian Shift</i>	25
3.3.2 Measurements	26
4 Results	28
4.1 Sample	28
4.2 Canadian Raising	29
4.3 The Canadian Shift	32
5 Discussion	39
5.1 Interpretation	40
5.2 Limitations	42
5.3 Conclusion and Outlook	44
References	46
Appendix	49

3.2 IMRaD application: BA thesis

IMRaD structures can be found/used in many academic genres (as a starting point for global text structures)

BUT

- it is most suited for small empirical case studies and
- here it serves only as a (frequent) example of global structure

HERE the emphasis is

- community-specific journal texts must be analysed and tried out by novices wishing to enter the community

3.2 IMRaD application: BA thesis non-IMRaD

Polish Migration to the UK

Contents

Abstract	1
1. Introduction	2
2. The Term Migration and Polish Migration Motivations	4
2.1. Types of Migration	5
2.2. Ravenstein's Migration Theory	8
2.3. Lee's Migration Theory: Push and Pull Factors	10
3. Polish Migration Behavior and Motivations	13
3.1. Gender-Relation	15
3.2. Age-Relation	16
3.3. Length of Stay	18
3.4. Labour Market	21
3.5. Social Networks	24
3.5.1. Polish Diaspora	28
4. Polish Migration in Historical Perspective	30
4.1. Polish Migration to the UK before 2004	30
4.2. Polish Migration to the UK after 2004	32
5. Conclusion	36

- 2. Theoretical background
 - 2.1. Definition of the key concept migration
 - 2.2. Types of migration
 - 2.3. Theories explaining migration
 - 2.3.1. Ravenstein's Migration theory
 - 2.3.2. Lee's Migration Theory: Push and Pull Factors
- 3. Case study: Polish migration to the UK
 - 3.1. Behaviour
 - 3.2. Motivation etc.

3.2 IMRaD application: Funding Application

---> appearance + substance + persuasiveness

explicit title: subtitle

(executive summary?)

1. (national) context, personal motivation?

2. Previous work

2.1. pre(liminary) studies

2.2. Literature analysis

3. Methodology

3.1. Data collection

3.2. Research questions/hypotheses

3.3. Processes/tests

4. (expected) results

5. Applications

Appendix:

? time-frame/Gantt chart: **milestones with deliverables!**

? budget

? draft questionnaire

3.3 Examples of RA paradigms non-native writers



Brno Studies in English
Volume 43, No. 2, 2017
ISSN 0524-6881, e-ISSN 1805-0867
DOI: 10.5817/BSE2017-2-1

RICHMOND SADICK NGULA

EPISTEMIC MODAL VERBS IN RESEARCH ARTICLES WRITTEN BY GHANAIAN AND INTERNATIONAL SCHOLARS: A CORPUS-BASED STUDY OF THREE DISCIPLINES

Brno Studies in English

1. Introduction and research questions
2. Epistemic modality in academic writing
3. Previous research on epistemic modality in RAs written by non-native authors
- ▲ 4. Corpora and methodology
 - 4.1 The corpora
 - 4.2 Procedure of analysis
- ▲ 5. Results and discussion
 - 5.1 Overall frequency of epistemic modal verbs
 - 5.2 The commonly used epistemic modal verbs
 - 5.3 Degrees of epistemic modal strength
 - 5.4 The phraseological pattern: May + (Very) Well+ Main Verb/V
6. Conclusion and implications
- References

Original Paper | [Published: 27 April 2021](#)

Using mixed methods to study the historical use of web beacons in web tracking

[Janne Nielsen](#) 

International Journal of Digital Humanities (2021) | [Cite this article](#)

78 Accesses | 6 Altmetric | [Metrics](#)

Abstract

Historical studies of the use of tracking technologies collecting data about web users and their behaviour can help us understand the spread and implications of web tracking. This article presents a historical study of the use of a specific tracking technology, the web beacon, on the Danish web from 2006 to 2015 using archived web materials from the national Danish web archive. The study combines a large-scale quantitative mapping of the use of web beacons on the Danish web with a qualitative study of specific websites. Using this mixed-method design, the article identifies the prevalent third-party domains setting web beacons and the different purposes for beacon use. The findings show the ratio of Danish to international third-party domains involved in the tracking and the development, over time, of what types of beacon providers are dominant on the Danish web. The article also addresses the methodological challenges related to using archived web for a mixed-method historical study of web tracking.

Download PDF

Sections

Figures

Referen

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Background and connected studies](#)

[Large-scale mapping](#)

[Qualitative analysis](#)

[Conclusion](#)

[Data availability](#)

[Code availability](#)

[Notes](#)

[References](#)

[Acknowledgements](#)

[Funding](#)

[Author information](#)

[Ethics declarations](#)

[Rights and permissions](#)

[About this article](#)

Original Paper | [Open Access](#) | [Published: 25 October 2021](#)

A semantically annotated corpus of tombstone inscriptions

[Johan Bos](#) 

[International Journal of Digital Humanities](#) (2021) | [Cite this article](#)

90 Accesses | 6 Altmetric | [Metrics](#)

Abstract

The digital preservation of funerary material is of interest to many different scientific disciplines. Textual information found on tombstones often goes far beyond the expected (name of the deceased, dates of birth and death), and may include information about commemorators, family roles, occupations, references to biblical or other texts, places of birth and death, cause of death, epitaphs and poems. Gravestones are multi-modal media, and besides text are often decorated with artistic symbols. To capture this information in a systematic way and make it available on a large scale for research purposes, a meaning representation based on linking entities by relations has been designed that will extend search capabilities beyond simple string matches. Concepts are represented as WordNet synsets, and a vocabulary of 32 relations make connections between concepts. This formalisation has been developed and evaluated based on a dataset of more than 1,000 Dutch tombstones.

Download PDF



Sections

Figures

References

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Collecting and interpreting tombstone data](#)

[Information on stones: a formal characterisation](#)

[A formal description of tombstone phenomena](#)

[Automatic reading of tombstones](#)

[Conclusion and future work](#)

[Data Availability](#)

[Notes](#)

[References](#)

[Acknowledgements](#)

[Author information](#)

[Additional information](#)

[Appendices](#)

[Rights and permissions](#)

[About this article](#)


Title: Attitude and graduation as resources of masculinity construction in YouTube vlogs

Author: ŠIMKOVÁ, MARIA

Source document: Brno studies in English. 2021, vol. 47, iss. 1, pp. 93-127

Extent: 93-127


ISSN: 0524-6881 (print); 1805-0867 (online)

DOI: 10.5817/BSE2021-1-6 

Type: Article (English)

Stable URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/11222.digilib/144296>

License: [CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

 [PDF \(0.43 MB\)](#) | [Feedback](#)

Abstract(s):

The present study examines stance-taking resources engaged in the performance of branded masculine YouTube identities. It aims at determining the role of Attitude and Graduation, two aspects of Martin and White's (2005) Appraisal framework, in the construction of branded masculinity on popular American YouTube vlog channels. The paper also presents adjustments to Appraisal system, which enable its applicability to gender identity analysis in terms Anderson's Inclusive masculinity theory (2009), namely a developed Affect:Dis/inclination subcategory and a new category of Ironic heterosexual recuperation, which prove to be of considerable importance for determining the resources for the construction of blended masculinities in YouTube vlogs. The quantitative and qualitative analyses of the Appraisal resources employed in the speech of male vloggers has shown that branded masculine identities predominantly rely on the hybridization of orthodox and inclusive masculinities construed by means of evaluative stances targeted at a range of activities, people, objects, concepts indirectly indexing the either of the two types of masculinities.

Keywords:

gender identity; branded identity; hegemonic masculinity; inclusive masculinity; Appraisal analysis; YouTube vlogs

References:

[1] Anderson, Eric (2009) **Inclusive Masculinity: The Changing Nature of Masculinities**. London: Routledge.

Reading: Lexical bundles in three oral corpora of university students

Share: [f](#) [t](#) [g+](#) [in](#)

Articles

Lexical bundles in three oral corpora of university students

Author: Purificación Sánchez Hernández 

Abstract

On the basis of previous lexical bundle studies, this paper examines the forms, structures and functions of 4-word bundles in three corpora of spoken English, one of them of native speakers of English and the remaining two of non-native speakers of English, corresponding to university students in their first year of an English Studies degree and to the same students after two years of university instruction. The study focuses on three major characteristics: the overall distribution of bundles, their typical structures and their functions. The findings show significant differences in the types of lexical bundles used by native and non-native students, as well as in their structure and function.

Our results support the idea that lexical bundles are important components in oral discourse. One of the pedagogical implications of this paper is that Spanish students should be exposed to more samples of spoken language.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.35360/njes.281> 

JUMP TO

[Abstract](#)

[Downloads](#)

4. Structural consistency & coherence

4.1 Global/Macro-level consistency

4.1.1 Title, abstract, key words

must be consistent in themselves and the complete text

4.1.2 Research Questions/Hypotheses

must be developed at the beginning and taken up again consistently at the end

4.1.3 All literature references (NOT "bibliography"!)

must be developed as necessary in the text

4.2 Cohesion to help finding coherence

4.2.1 Explicit cohesive devices in non-native writing

formal:

conjunctions: *but, while*

adverbs: *first, then, finally*

functional:

sentence adverbials, e.g. clause-initial adverb **ly,*

function

additive

adversative/contrastive

sequential/temporal

causal

prototype

and

but

then

because

elaborate

in addition

"unfortunately"

subsequently

since

4.2.2 Adjuncts in the *Nordic Journal of English Studies*

ClauseLink	KE01h	KE02h	TZ01h	TZ02h	CMall16	UK01h	mean22
adjuncts							
<i>firstly</i>				4		1	1.5
<i>secondly</i>	4			4	3	1	1.3
<i>on the one hand</i>							1.0
<i>on the other hand</i>					1		1.5
<i>finally</i>	6				1		2.4
<i>lastly</i>					1		4.0
<i>also</i>	60	38	10	16	14	7	27.0
<i>furthermore</i>				2	2		2.0
<i>however</i>	68	22	14	10	7	9	11.8
<i>moreover</i>					3		4.0
<i>similarly</i>					2		2.0
<i>nevertheless</i>	4	2	2		1		2.0
<i>though</i>		2	8		4		4.7
<i>yet</i>	4		2		2		3.0
<i>anyway</i>							2.0
<i>otherwise</i>	2		2	2	1	1	5.8
<i>accordingly</i>					2		2.6
<i>consequently</i>					3		2.4
<i>therefore</i>	2	22	2	8	6	1	12.9
<i>thus</i>	38	4		8	4	1	6.6
sum adjuncts	188	90	40	54	41	21	66.7
sum conjuncts+adjuncts	314	148	198	112	84	57	134.5

5. Conclusion

Academic English is an accessible and useful topic for research novices
global metadiscourse conventions can be learnt easily and inductively

- junior writers, MA/PhD students become aware of reader - writer interaction – and can use this in their own thesis writing ...
- through explorative investigation of model texts they find their own stance between personal identity and disciplinary conventions

issues:

- Can a global, analysis-based perspective help non-native-speakers?
 - objective – subjective?
 - frequency + attitudes?!
- Are conventions becoming more and more similar because of Anglo-American dominance (gate keepers and guidebook publications)?
- Can we establish an academic *lingua franca* norm on a functional basis? – even against Anglo-American traditions?
- How much culture-specific divergence do we accept in research English?
- Which features do we want to reduce (redundancy) or increase (explicitness)?

Remember there are no native speakers/writers of Academic English!

References

- Bhatia, V. K. (2004). *Worlds of written discourse: A genre-based view*. Continuum.
- Gao, Q. (2012). Interpersonal Functions of Epistemic Modality in Academic English Writing. *Chinese Journal of Applied Linguistics* 35, 352–364.
- Hannay, M., & Mackenzie, J. L. (2017). *Effective writing in English: A sourcebook*. 3rd ed. Coutinho.
- Hyland, K. (2002). Authority and invisibility: authorial identity in academic writing. *Journal of Pragmatics* 34, 1091–1112.
- Hyland, K. (2005). *Metadiscourse*. London: Continuum.
- Hyland, K. (2006) The 'Other' English: Thoughts on EAP and academic writing. *The European English Messenger*, 15(2), 34-57.
- Hyland, K. (2012). *Disciplinary identities: individuality and community in academic writing*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Mauranen, A. (2012). *Exploring ELF: Academic English Shaped by Non-native Speakers*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Pérez-Llantada, C. (2012). *Scientific Discourse and the Rhetoric of Globalization*. London: Continuum.
- Schmied, J. (2011) Schmied, J. (2015). . Academic writing in Europe: A survey of approaches and problems. In J. Schmied, *Academic Writing in Europe: Empirical Perspectives* (pp. 1-22). Cuvillier Verlag.
- Schmied, J. (2015). Graduate academic writing in Europe in comparison: A Research-based approach to metalanguage and genre. In J. Schmied (Ed.), *Academic Writing for South Eastern Europe: Practical and Theoretical Perspectives*. (pp. 1-24). Cuvillier Verlag.
- Schmied, J. (2018). A Global View on Writing Research Articles for International Journals: Principles & Practices. In Schmied, J./M. Hofmann/A. Esimaje (eds.). *Academic Writing for Africa: The Journal Article*. REAL15. Göttingen: Cuvillier, 1-18.
- Schmied, J. (2021). Popular digital knowledge dissemination platforms: Evaluating the pragmatic professional credibility from Wikipedia to Academia.edu and ResearchGate. *Journal of Pragmatics* 180, July 2021, 187-202.
- Siepmann D., J.D. Gallagher, M. Hannay, J. L. Mackenzie (2011). *Writing in English: A Guide for Advanced Learners*. Tübingen: A. Francke Verlag.
- Swales, J. M. (1990). *Genre analysis. English in academic and research settings*. Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J. M., Ch. B. Feak (2012, 3rd ed.). *Academic writing for graduate students: Essential tasks and skills*. The University of Michigan Press.

Modena, 02/11/21

Writing Research Articles for International Journals: Global Principles & Practices for Non-Native Research Novices

Josef Schmied

English Language & Linguistics

Chemnitz University of Technology

https://www.tu-chemnitz.de/phil/english/sections/ling/presentations_js.php

josef.schmied@phil.tu-chemnitz.de