

# GRAPH LAPLACIANS DO NOT GENERATE STRONGLY CONTINUOUS SEMIGROUPS

T. KALMES, C. SCHUMACHER

ABSTRACT. We show that for graph Laplacians  $\Delta_G$  on a connected locally finite simplicial undirected graph  $G$  with countable infinite vertex set  $V$  none of the operators  $\alpha \text{Id} + \beta \Delta_G$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$ , generate a strongly continuous semigroup on  $\mathbb{K}^V$  when the latter is equipped with the product topology.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected locally finite simplicial undirected graph with a countably infinite vertex set  $V$  and the set of edges  $E \subseteq V \times V$ . Two vertices  $v, w \in V$  of  $G$  are adjacent,  $v \sim w$ , if  $(v, w) \in E$ , i.e.  $(v, w)$  is an edge of  $G$ . Recall that a graph is locally finite if for every vertex  $v$  of  $G$  the number  $\deg(v)$  of adjacent vertices is finite and that  $G$  is connected if for any pair of different vertices  $v, w \in V$  there is a path connecting  $v$  and  $w$ , i.e. a finite number of edges  $(v_0, v_1), \dots, (v_{n-1}, v_n)$  of  $G$  with  $v \in \{v_0, v_n\}$  and  $w \in \{v_0, v_n\}$ . The length of a path is the number of its edges. The graph  $G$  is simplicial if it does have no multiple edges, which we excluded implicitly by  $E \subseteq V \times V$ , and no loops, i.e.  $(v, v)$  is not an edge of  $G$  for any vertex  $v$ . Finally,  $G$  is undirected if  $(w, v)$  is an edge of  $G$  whenever  $(v, w)$  is an edge of  $G$ .

A Laplacian on  $G$  is a linear operator acting on the real valued functions on  $V$ ,  $\Delta_G: \mathbb{K}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^V$ , defined by

$$\forall v \in V: \Delta_G f(v) = \sum_{v \sim w} \gamma_{v,w} (f(v) - f(w))$$

with positive weights  $\gamma_{v,w} > 0$ . Common choices are  $\gamma_{v,w} = 1$  or  $\gamma_{v,w} = 1/\deg(v)$ . If we equip  $\mathbb{K}^V$  with the product topology it is clear that  $\mathbb{K}^V$  is a locally convex vector space on which  $\Delta_G$  is a continuous linear mapping.  $\Delta_G$  has been investigated e.g. in [1], [2], and [4].

The definitions and most basic results for semigroups on locally convex spaces  $X$  are the same as for Banach spaces, we refer to [5], [6], [7], [8]. A strongly continuous semigroup  $T$  on  $X$  is thus a morphism from the semigroup  $([0, \infty), +)$  to that of continuous linear operators  $(L(X), \circ)$  such that all orbits  $t \mapsto T_t(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ , are continuous.

Although under rather weak assumptions on  $X$  many results from the Banach space setting carry over to strongly continuous semigroups of locally convex spaces there are some crucial differences, e.g. in general not every continuous linear operator on  $X$  is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup on  $X$ .

The purpose of this short note is to prove the following theorem. For the definition of a quasiaadjacency matrix with respect to  $G$  see section 2.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47D06, 05C63, 46A04  
*Key words and phrases:* graph Laplacians, strongly continuous semigroup on locally convex spaces.

**Theorem 1.** *For every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  and each quasiadjacency matrix  $B$  with respect to  $G$  the continuous linear operator  $\lambda \text{Id} - B$  does not generate a strongly continuous semigroup on  $\omega$ . In particular  $\alpha \text{Id} + \beta \Delta_G$  is not the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup on  $\mathbb{K}^V$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$ .*

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Enumeration of the vertices  $V = \{v_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $G$  with  $v_k \neq v_j$  for  $j \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$  gives an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{K}^V$  onto  $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . In order to keep notation simple, for a linear mapping  $A: \mathbb{K}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^V$  we denote by  $A$  also the linear operator on  $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  induced by the isomorphism between  $\mathbb{K}^V$  and  $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

We equip  $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with its usual Fréchet space topology, i. e. the locally convex topology defined by the increasing fundamental system of seminorms  $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by

$$\forall f = (f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}: p_k(f) = \sum_{j=1}^k |f_j|.$$

As usual, we denote this Fréchet space by  $\omega$ .  $\omega$  is the projective limit of the projective spectrum of Banach spaces  $(\mathbb{K}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with surjective linking maps  $\pi_m^n: \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ ,  $\pi_m^n(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $m \geq n$ , thus  $\omega$  is a quojection. Moreover, let  $\pi_m: \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^m$ ,  $\pi_m((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .

Using that  $G$  is connected and locally finite, a straightforward calculation shows that  $A: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  is continuous if and only if the inducing  $A: \mathbb{K}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^V$  has finite hopping range, i. e. if for every  $v \in V$  there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\forall f, g \in \mathbb{K}^V: f|_{U_n(v)} = g|_{U_n(v)} \implies A(f)(v) = A(g)(v),$$

where  $U_n(v)$  is the  $n$ -neighbourhood of  $v$ , i. e.  $U_n(v)$  is the union of  $\{v\}$  with the set of all endpoints of paths in  $G$  starting in  $v$  and of length not exceeding  $n$ . Obviously,  $\Delta_G$  has finite hopping range and is thus a continuous linear mapping on  $\omega$ .

Finally, we call a matrix  $B = (b_{k,l}) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  *quasiadjacency matrix with respect to  $G$*  if there is  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $\alpha b_{k,l} \geq 0$  for all  $k, l$  and  $b_{k,l} \neq 0$  precisely when  $v_k$  and  $v_l$  are adjacent. Obviously,  $\Delta_G = \text{Id} - B$  for some quasiadjacency matrix  $B$  with respect to  $G$ . Moreover, for each quasiadjacency matrix  $B$  with respect to  $G$  and for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  the linear operator  $\lambda \text{Id} - B$  has finite hopping range and is thus continuous on  $\omega$ .

Since  $\omega$  is a quojection, according to [3, Theorem 2]  $\lambda \text{Id} - B$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup if and only if

$$(1) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0, x \in \omega: (\pi_m(x) = 0 \implies \pi_n((\lambda \text{Id} - B)^k x) = 0).$$

Denoting the unit vectors by  $e_l = (\delta_{k,l})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  we have for  $l \geq 2$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\pi_1((\lambda \text{Id} - B)^k e_l) = \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^j \lambda^{k-j} \pi_1(B^j e_l).$$

Since  $B$  is a quasiadjacency matrix with respect to  $G$  it follows that  $\pi_1(B^j e_l)$  does not vanish precisely when there is a path of length  $j$  in  $G$  connecting  $v_1$  and  $v_l$ .

Now fix  $m$  and  $l > m$  so that  $\pi_m(e_l) = 0$ . Moreover, set

$$k := \min\{\text{length } j \text{ of a path connecting } e_1 \text{ and } e_l\}.$$

Since  $G$  is connected,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\pi_1((\lambda \text{Id} - B)^k e_l) = \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^j \lambda^{k-j} \pi_1(B^j e_l) = (-1)^k \pi_1(B^k e_l) \neq 0.$$

Since  $m$  is arbitrary, (1) is not fulfilled for  $n = 1$  proving the theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, M. Coornaert, *A note on Laplace operators on groups*, in: *Limits of graphs in groups theory and computer science*, 37–40, EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2009.
- [2] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, M. Coornaert, J. Dodziuk, *The surjectivity of the combinatorial Laplacian on infinite graphs*, *Enseign. Math. (2)*, vol. 58(1-2):125–130, 2012.
- [3] L. Frerick, E. Jordá, Enrique, T. Kalmes, J. Wengenroth, *Strongly continuous semigroups on some Fréchet spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 412:121–124, 2014.
- [4] T. Kalmes, *A short remark on the surjectivity of the combinatorial Laplacian on infinite graphs*, arXiv-preprint 1412.5854, 2014.
- [5] H. Komatsu, *Semi-groups of operators in locally convex spaces*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 16:230–262, 1964.
- [6] T. Kōmura, *Semigroups of operators in locally convex spaces*, *J. Functional Analysis* 2:258–296, 1968.
- [7] S. Ōuchi, *Semi-groups of operators in locally convex spaces*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 25:265–276, 1973.
- [8] K. Yosida, *Functional analysis, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 123*, Academic Press Inc., New York 1965.

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT CHEMNITZ, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, 09107 CHEMNITZ, GERMANY

*E-mail addresses:* [thomas.kalmes@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de](mailto:thomas.kalmes@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de),  
[christoph.schumacher@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de](mailto:christoph.schumacher@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de)