## On lower bounds for $C_0$ -semigroups

Yuri Tomilov

IM PAN, Warsaw

Chemnitz, August, 2017

Yuri Tomilov (IM PAN, Warsaw)

On lower bounds for C<sub>0</sub>-semigroups

Chemnitz, August, 2017 1 / 17

Э

・ロ・・ (日・・ ヨ・・ (日・)

#### Trivial bounds For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ define its Fourier transform by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\xi} f(t) dt,$$

and for  $f \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$  define its Fourier coefficients (transform) by

$$\widehat{f}(n)=rac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}e^{-int}f(t)\,dt,\qquad n\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

### Trivial bounds For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ define its Fourier transform by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\xi} f(t) dt,$$

and for  $f \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$  define its Fourier coefficients (transform) by

$$\widehat{f}(n) = rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} f(t) \, dt, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma:

$$\hat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}),$$
  $(\hat{f}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in c_0(\mathbb{Z}).$ 

From Plancherel's (Parseval) theorem:

$$\hat{f}\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$$
 jeśli  $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}),$   $(\hat{f}(n))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\in I_2$  dla  $f\in L^2(0,2\pi)).$ 

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

#### Problem.

#### QUESTION: How 'large' can the Fourier transform be ?

Yuri Tomilov (IM PAN, Warsaw)

On lower bounds for C<sub>0</sub>-semigroups

Chemnitz, August, 2017 3 / 17

#### Problem.

QUESTION: How 'large' can the Fourier transform be ?

ANSWER: The Fourier transform can be 'as large as possible'.

#### Problem.

QUESTION: How 'large' can the Fourier transform be ?

ANSWER: The Fourier transform can be 'as large as possible'.

OUR AIM: Get the 'answer' in the framework of weak orbits of  $C_0$ -semigroups.

# Fourier transforms of integrable functions

Theorem (Kolmogorov-Titchmarsh, 1920s)

1. Given  $c = (c(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in c_0(\mathbb{Z})$  there exists  $f \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$  such that

 $|\hat{f}(n)| \ge |c(n)|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

4 周 2 4 3 2 4 3 5

## Fourier transforms of integrable functions

Theorem (Kolmogorov-Titchmarsh, 1920s)

1. Given  $c = (c(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in c_0(\mathbb{Z})$  there exists  $f \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$  such that

 $|\hat{f}(n)| \ge |c(n)|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

2. Given  $c \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  there exists  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \ge |c(\xi)|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

Yuri Tomilov (IM PAN, Warsaw)

On lower bounds for C<sub>0</sub>-semigroups

Chemnitz, August, 2017 4 / 17

マロッ イラン イラン 一戸

# Fourier transforms of integrable functions

Theorem (Kolmogorov-Titchmarsh, 1920s)

1. Given  $c = (c(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in c_0(\mathbb{Z})$  there exists  $f \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$  such that

 $|\hat{f}(n)| \ge |c(n)|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

2. Given  $c \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  there exists  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \ge |c(\xi)|, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

**Generalization**[Curtis, Figa-Talamanca, 1966]: The same result is true in the context of locally compact abelian groups.

# Fourier transforms of continuous functions

**Remark.** The Fourier transform is an isometric isomorphism on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  (or on  $L^2(0, 2\pi)$ ).

# Fourier transforms of continuous functions

**Remark.** The Fourier transform is an isometric isomorphism on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  (or on  $L^2(0, 2\pi)$ ).

Theorem (de-Leeuw-Kahane-Katznelson-Demailly, 1977-1984) 1. Given  $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l_2(\mathbb{Z})$  there exists a  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $f \in C([0, 2\pi])$  such that

$$|\hat{f}(n)| \geq |c_n|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

< 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

# Fourier transforms of continuous functions

**Remark.** The Fourier transform is an isometric isomorphism on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  (or on  $L^2(0, 2\pi)$ ).

Theorem (de-Leeuw-Kahane-Katznelson-Demailly, 1977-1984)

1. Given  $\{c_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in l_2(\mathbb{Z})$  there exists a  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $f \in C([0, 2\pi])$  such that

$$|\hat{f}(n)| \geq |c_n|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

2. Given  $c \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  there exists a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \ge |c(\xi)|$  for almost every  $\xi$ .

Many other settings !!!

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Abstract setting

#### Theorem (K. Ball, Inventiones M. 1991, BLMS 1994)

1. If  $\{x_n^* : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a sequence of bounded linear functionals of norm 1 on a Banach space X and  $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in I_1(\mathbb{Z}), ||a||_{I_1} < 1$ , then there exists  $x \in X, ||x|| \le 1$ , such that

 $|\langle x_n^*,x\rangle|\geq |a_n|, \qquad n\in\mathbb{Z}.$ 

・吊 ・ ・ ラ ・ ・ ラ ・

# Abstract setting

Theorem (K. Ball, Inventiones M. 1991, BLMS 1994)

1. If  $\{x_n^* : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a sequence of bounded linear functionals of norm 1 on a Banach space X and  $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in I_1(\mathbb{Z}), ||a||_{I_1} < 1$ , then there exists  $x \in X, ||x|| \le 1$ , such that

 $|\langle x_n^*,x\rangle|\geq |a_n|, \qquad n\in\mathbb{Z}.$ 

2. If  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a sequence of elements of norm 1 in a Hilbert space H and  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in I_2(\mathbb{Z}), ||a||_{I_2} < 1$ , then there exists  $x \in H$ ,  $||x|| \le 1$ , such that

 $|(x_n,x)| \geq |a_n|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

#### Implication for the Fourier transform:

Define the bounded linear functionals  $x_n^*$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , on  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$x_n^*(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-int} x(t) dt, \quad x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

#### Implication for the Fourier transform:

Define the bounded linear functionals  $x_n^*$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , on  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$x_n^*(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-int} x(t) dt, \quad x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then  $||x_n^*|| = 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and for every  $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l_1(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $||a||_{l_1} < 1$ , there exists  $x \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $||x||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \le 1$ , such that

 $|x_n^*(x)| \ge |a_n|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

#### Implication for the Fourier transform:

Define the bounded linear functionals  $x_n^*$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , on  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$x_n^*(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-int} x(t) dt, \quad x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then  $||x_n^*|| = 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and for every  $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l_1(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $||a||_{l_1} < 1$ , there exists  $x \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $||x||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \le 1$ , such that

$$|x_n^*(x)| \ge |a_n|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This is still far from the result by Kolmogorov and Titchmarsh where  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in c_0(\mathbb{Z})!$ 

Yuri Tomilov (IM PAN, Warsaw)

On lower bounds for C<sub>0</sub>-semigroups

The Fourier transform via operator (semi-)groups Let  $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  be a family of unitary operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$(U(t)f)(s) = e^{-its}f(s), \qquad s \in \mathbb{R}$$

- コン (雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

The Fourier transform via operator (semi-)groups Let  $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  be a family of unitary operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$(U(t)f)(s) = e^{-its}f(s), \qquad s \in \mathbb{R}$$

Observe that for fixed  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$(U(t)f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-its} f(t)\overline{g(t)} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-its} \varphi(t) dt, \quad \varphi := f\bar{g} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

$$|(U(t)f,g)| = |(U(-t)f,g)|, \quad t \ge 0.$$

it is enough to study bounds for one-sided weak orbits of  $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ . **NOTE**:  $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a strongly continuous operator (semi-)group.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > -

The Fourier transform via operator (semi-)groups Let  $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  be a family of unitary operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$(U(t)f)(s) = e^{-its}f(s), \qquad s \in \mathbb{R}$$

Observe that for fixed  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$(U(t)f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-its} f(t)\overline{g(t)} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-its} \varphi(t) dt, \quad \varphi := f\bar{g} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

$$|(U(t)f,g)| = |(U(-t)f,g)|, \quad t \ge 0.$$

it is enough to study bounds for one-sided weak orbits of  $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ .

**NOTE**:  $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a strongly continuous operator (semi-)group. **NOTE**: The *weak orbit* (U(t)f, g) of  $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is the Fourier transform of the  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -function  $f\bar{g}$ .

Yuri Tomilov (IM PAN, Warsaw)

On lower bounds for C<sub>0</sub>-semigroups

Chemnitz, August, 2017 9 / 17

For a  $C_0$ -sem.  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  on a Ban. space X with generator A define

$$\begin{split} s(A) &:= \sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}\\ s_b(A) &:= \inf\{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : R(\lambda, A) \text{ is uniformly bounded for } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \omega\}\\ \omega_0 &:= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln \|T(t)\|}{t} \end{split}$$

Clearly,  $s(A) \leq s_b(A) \leq \omega_0$ .

Yuri Tomilov (IM PAN, Warsaw)

For a  $C_0$ -sem.  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  on a Ban. space X with generator A define

$$\begin{split} s(A) &:= \sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}\\ s_b(A) &:= \inf\{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : R(\lambda, A) \text{ is uniformly bounded for } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \omega\}\\ \omega_0 &:= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln \|T(t)\|}{t} \end{split}$$

Clearly,  $s(A) \leq s_b(A) \leq \omega_0$ .

Main Problem: Lack of the spectral mapping theorem for  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ 

For a  $C_0$ -sem.  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  on a Ban. space X with generator A define

$$\begin{split} s(A) &:= \sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}\\ s_b(A) &:= \inf\{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : R(\lambda, A) \text{ is uniformly bounded for } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \omega\}\\ \omega_0 &:= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln \|T(t)\|}{t} \end{split}$$

Clearly, 
$$s(A) \leq s_b(A) \leq \omega_0$$
.

Main Problem: Lack of the spectral mapping theorem for  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ 

For any  $a \ge b \ge c$  there exist a reflexive Banach space X and a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(T(t))_{t\ge 0}$  on X :

$$s(A) = a,$$
  $s_b(A) = b,$   $w_0 = c.$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

For a  $C_0$ -sem.  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  on a Ban. space X with generator A define

$$\begin{split} s(A) &:= \sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}\\ s_b(A) &:= \inf\{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : R(\lambda, A) \text{ is uniformly bounded for } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \omega\}\\ \omega_0 &:= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln \|T(t)\|}{t} \end{split}$$

Clearly, 
$$s(A) \leq s_b(A) \leq \omega_0$$
.

Main Problem: Lack of the spectral mapping theorem for  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ 

For any  $a \ge b \ge c$  there exist a reflexive Banach space X and a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(T(t))_{t\ge 0}$  on X :

$$s(A) = a,$$
  $s_b(A) = b,$   $w_0 = c.$ 

If X is Hilbert, then  $\omega_0 = s_b$ .

イロト イポト イラト イラト 一戸

#### What can we say about the size of weak orbits

 $(T(t)x, x^*), \qquad t \ge 0, x \in X, x^* \in X^*,$ 

for  $C_0$ -semigroups  $(T(t))_{t \ge 0}$ ?

Yuri Tomilov (IM PAN, Warsaw)

What can we say about the size of weak orbits

 $(T(t)x, x^*), \qquad t \ge 0, x \in X, x^* \in X^*,$ 

for  $C_0$ -semigroups  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ ?

. . .

History: ... essentially, no history exists, apart from sporadic papers ...

Semigroups framework: van Neerven, Weiss, Nikolski, ...

Operator framework: Beauzamy, Müller, Radjavi, Rosenthal, Nordgren,

What can we say about the size of weak orbits

 $(T(t)x, x^*), \qquad t \ge 0, x \in X, x^* \in X^*,$ 

for  $C_0$ -semigroups  $(T(t))_{t \ge 0}$ ?

History: ... essentially, no history exists, apart from sporadic papers ...

Semigroups framework: van Neerven, Weiss, Nikolski, ...

Operator framework: Beauzamy, Müller, Radjavi, Rosenthal, Nordgren, ...

A bit more notation: Let  $A : D(A) \subset X \to X$  be a densely defined closed operator with  $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Define

$$C^{\infty}(A) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A^n)$$
 and note  $\overline{C^{\infty}(A)} = X$ .

#### Theorem (Müller-T.)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0})$  be a weakly stable  $C_0$ -semigroup a Hilbert space H with generator A  $(T(t) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty \text{ in WOT}).$ 

#### Theorem (Müller-T.)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a weakly stable  $C_0$ -semigroup a Hilbert space H with generator A  $(T(t) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty \text{ in WOT})$ . Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ .

#### Theorem (Müller-T.)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0})$  be a weakly stable  $C_0$ -semigroup a Hilbert space H with generator A  $(T(t) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty \text{ in WOT})$ . Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . a) Suppose that  $0 \in \sigma(A)$ . Then there exists  $x \in C^{\infty}(A)$  such that  $||x|| < \sup\{f(t) : t \geq 0\} + \epsilon$  and

 $\operatorname{Re}\langle T(t)x,x\rangle \geq f(t)$ 

for all  $t \ge 0$ .

#### Theorem (Müller-T.)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0})$  be a weakly stable  $C_0$ -semigroup a Hilbert space H with generator A  $(T(t) \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty \text{ in WOT})$ . Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . a) Suppose that  $0 \in \sigma(A)$ . Then there exists  $x \in C^{\infty}(A)$  such that  $||x|| < \sup\{f(t) : t \geq 0\} + \epsilon$  and

 $\operatorname{Re}\langle T(t)x,x\rangle\geq f(t)$ 

for all  $t \ge 0$ .

b) Suppose that  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $x \in C^{\infty}(A)$  such that  $||x|| < \sup\{f(t) : t \ge 0\} + \epsilon$  and

 $|\langle T(t)x,x\rangle| \geq f(t)$ 

for all  $t \ge 0$ .

#### Theorem (Müller-T, '13)

# Let $(T(t)_{t\geq 0})$ be a weakly stable $C_0$ -semigroup on a Hilbert space H with generator A.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

#### Theorem (Müller-T, '13)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0})$  be a weakly stable  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Hilbert space H with generator A. Suppose that  $\omega_0(=s_b) = 0$ . Let  $f : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ .

-

#### Theorem (Müller-T, '13)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a weakly stable  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Hilbert space Hwith generator A. Suppose that  $\omega_0(=s_b) = 0$ . Let  $f : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists  $x \in H$  such that  $||x|| < \sup\{f(s) : s \ge 0\} + \epsilon$  and

 $|\langle T(t)x,x\rangle| \geq f(t)$ 

for all  $t \ge 0$ .

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Theorem (Müller-T, '13)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a weakly stable  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Hilbert space Hwith generator A. Suppose that  $\omega_0(=s_b) = 0$ . Let  $f : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists  $x \in H$  such that  $||x|| < \sup\{f(s) : s \ge 0\} + \epsilon$  and

 $|\langle T(t)x,x\rangle| \geq f(t)$ 

for all  $t \ge 0$ .

#### Weak stability of $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is essential !

For  $S \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  define its *density* d(S) as  $mes(S \cap [0, t])$ 

$$d(S) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\max(S + [0, t])}{t}$$

whenever the limit exists.

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

$$d(S) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{mes}(S \cap [0, t])}{t}$$

whenever the limit exists.

Theorem (Müller-T, '13)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0})$  be a bdd  $C_0$ -semigroup a Hilbert space H with generator A.

$$d(S) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{mes}(S \cap [0, t])}{t}$$

whenever the limit exists.

Theorem (Müller-T, '13)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0})$  be a bdd  $C_0$ -semigroup a Hilbert space H with generator A. Let  $f : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ .

$$d(S) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{mes}(S \cap [0, t])}{t}$$

whenever the limit exists.

### Theorem (Müller-T, '13)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0})$  be a bdd  $C_0$ -semigroup a Hilbert space H with generator A.

Let  $f : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . a) Suppose that  $0 \in \sigma(A)$ . Then there exists  $x \in C^{\infty}(A)$  and a

measurable  $B \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ , d(B) = 1, such that  $||x|| < \sup\{f(t) : t \ge 0\} + \epsilon$ and

 $\operatorname{Re}\langle T(t)x,x\rangle \geq f(t), \qquad t\in B.$ 

13/17

$$d(S) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{mes}(S \cap [0, t])}{t}$$

whenever the limit exists.

## Theorem (Müller-T, '13)

Let  $(T(t)_{t\geq 0})$  be a bdd  $C_0$ -semigroup a Hilbert space H with generator A. Let  $f : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . a) Suppose that  $0 \in \sigma(A)$ . Then there exists  $x \in C^{\infty}(A)$  and a measurable  $B \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ , d(B) = 1, such that  $||x|| < \sup\{f(t) : t \ge 0\} + \epsilon$  and

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle T(t)x,x\rangle \geq f(t), \qquad t\in B.$$

b) Suppose that  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $x \in C^{\infty}(A)$  and a measurable  $B \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ , d(B) = 1, such that  $||x|| < \sup\{f(t) : t \ge 0\} + \epsilon$  and

Let  $\mu$  be a finite (positive) Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

 $\hat{\mu} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}).$ 

Let  $\mu$  be a finite (positive) Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

 $\hat{\mu} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}).$ 

#### Theorem

Given a bounded  $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  there exist a positive  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$ :

Let  $\mu$  be a finite (positive) Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

 $\hat{\mu} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}).$ 

#### Theorem

Given a bounded  $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  there exist a positive  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$ :

(i)  $\widehat{g} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R});$ 

Let  $\mu$  be a finite (positive) Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

 $\hat{\mu} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}).$ 

#### Theorem

Given a bounded  $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  there exist a positive  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$ :

(i)  $\widehat{g} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R});$ 

(ii)  $|\widehat{g}(t)| \ge f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

Let  $\mu$  be a finite (positive) Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

3

Let  $\mu$  be a finite (positive) Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Theorem

Given a bounded  $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  there exist a positive  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$  and a measurable  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ , Dens (B) = 1:

Let  $\mu$  be a finite (positive) Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Theorem

Given a bounded  $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  there exist a positive  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$  and a measurable  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ , Dens (B) = 1:

(i)  $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R});$ 

Let  $\mu$  be a finite (positive) Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Theorem

Given a bounded  $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} f(t) = 0$  there exist a positive  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$  and a measurable  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ , Dens (B) = 1:

(i)  $\widehat{g} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R});$ (ii)  $|\widehat{g}(t)| \ge f(t), \qquad t \in B.$ 

Recall, that by Wiener's theorem, if  $\mu$  has no atoms, then

 $\widehat{\mu}(t) \to 0$ , when  $t \to \infty$  along *B* with dens(*B*) = 1.

## What if the boundedness of $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is dropped ?

What if the boundedness of  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is dropped ? For  $S \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  define its *upper density* d(S) as

Yuri Tomilov (IM PAN, Warsaw)

$$d(S) = \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{mes}(S \cap [0, t])}{t}.$$

What if the boundedness of  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is dropped ? For  $S \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  define its *upper density* d(S) as

$$d(S) = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{mes}(S \cap [0, t])}{t}.$$

#### Theorem (Müller-T., '17)

Let  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Banach space X with generator A, such that  $s_b(A) \geq 0$ . Let  $f : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$ . Then there exist  $x \in X$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  and a measurable  $B \subset [0, \infty)$  such that  $\overline{\text{Dens } B} = 1$  and

 $|\langle T(t)x, x^* \rangle| \ge f(t), \qquad t \in B.$ 

What if the boundedness of  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is dropped ? For  $S \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  define its *upper density* d(S) as

$$d(S) = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{mes}(S \cap [0, t])}{t}.$$

### Theorem (Müller-T., '17)

Let  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Banach space X with generator A, such that  $s_b(A) \geq 0$ . Let  $f : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  be a bounded function such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$ . Then there exist  $x \in X$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  and a measurable  $B \subset [0, \infty)$  such that Dens B = 1 and

## $|\langle T(t)x, x^* \rangle| \geq f(t), \qquad t \in B.$

**Lemma.** Let A, B be finite sets,  $|A| \ge |B| \ge 2$ . Let  $M \subset A \times B$ ,  $|M| \ge |A| + |B| - 1$ . Then there exist  $a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B$  such that  $a' \ne a, b' \ne b$  and  $(a, b), (a, b'), (a', b) \in M$ .

## Theorem (Müller-T., '17)

Let  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a reflexive Banach space X with generator  $\overline{A}$ , such that  $s_b(A) \geq 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed. Then

(i) there exist  $x \in X$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  and a measurable  $B \subset [1, \infty)$  with Dens B = 1 such that

$$|\langle T(t)x,x^*\rangle|\geq \frac{1}{t^{1/2+\epsilon}}, \qquad t\in B.$$

-

- コン (雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

## Theorem (Müller-T., '17)

Let  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a reflexive Banach space X with generator  $\overline{A}$ , such that  $s_b(A) \geq 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed. Then

(i) there exist  $x \in X$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  and a measurable  $B \subset [1, \infty)$  with Dens B = 1 such that

$$|\langle T(t)x,x^*\rangle|\geq \frac{1}{t^{1/2+\epsilon}}, \qquad t\in B.$$

(ii) there exist  $x \in X$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  and a measurable set  $B \subset [1, \infty)$  with  $meas([1, \infty) \setminus B) < \epsilon$  such that

$$|\langle T(t)x,x^*\rangle|\geq \frac{1}{t^{2+\epsilon}}, \qquad t\in B.$$

Yuri Tomilov (IM PAN, Warsaw)

On lower bounds for C<sub>0</sub>-semigroups

Chemnitz, August, 2017 17 / 17

## Theorem (Müller-T., '17)

Let  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a reflexive Banach space X with generator  $\overline{A}$ , such that  $s_b(A) \geq 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed. Then

(i) there exist  $x \in X$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  and a measurable  $B \subset [1, \infty)$  with Dens B = 1 such that

$$|\langle T(t)x,x^*\rangle|\geq \frac{1}{t^{1/2+\epsilon}}, \qquad t\in B.$$

(ii) there exist  $x \in X$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  and a measurable set  $B \subset [1, \infty)$  with  $meas([1, \infty) \setminus B) < \epsilon$  such that

$$|\langle T(t)x,x^*\rangle| \geq \frac{1}{t^{2+\epsilon}}, \qquad t\in B.$$

#### Optimality is widely open ...

Yuri Tomilov (IM PAN, Warsaw)

On lower bounds for *C*<sub>0</sub>-semigroups

Chemnitz, August, 2017 17 / 17