## Furuta's inequality and p-wA(s, t)operator

#### Chō, Prasad, Rashid, Tanahashi, Uchiyama

#### 2017, August, 15 Chemnitz

The aim of this talk is to speak about a small history with Furata's inequality and related classes of operator, that is, *p*-hyponormal operator, class A(s, t) operator, class wA(s, t) and p-wA(s, t) operator where 0 and <math>0 < s, t.

#### References

[1] M. Chō, M. Rashid, K. Tanahashi and A. Uchiyama, Spectrum of class p-wA(s, t) operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 82 (2016), 641-649. [2] K. Tanahashi, T. Prasad and A. Uchiyama, Quasinormalty and subscalarity of class p-wA(s, t) operators, Functional Analysis, Approximation Computation, 9 (1) (2017), 61-68. [3] T. Prasad and K. Tanahashi, On class p-wA(s, t) operators, Functional Analysis, Approximation Computation, 6 (2) (2014), 39–42. [4] T. Prasad, M. Chō, M.H.M Rashid, K. Tanahashi and A. Uchiyama, Class p-wA(s, t) operators and range kernel orthogonality, SCMJ, to appear.

Let T be a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $TT^* = T^*T$ , T is called normal. Then T admits a spectral decomposition  $T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda), \text{ and we can caluculate}$  $f(T) = \int_{\sigma(T)} f(\lambda) dE(\lambda) \text{ for a continuous function}$  $f(\lambda) \text{ on } \sigma(T). \text{ Hence normal operator is standard.}$ However there are many non-normal operators.

#### **Motivation**

 T ∈ B(H) is called hyponormal, if TT\* ≤ T\*T. Many mathematicians studied hyponormal operator (Xia, Putnam, Stampfli, ...). Hyponormal operator does not admit spectral decomposition, but has several interesting properties.

- T ∈ B(H) is called hyponormal, if TT\* ≤ T\*T. Many mathematicians studied hyponormal operator (Xia, Putnam, Stampfli, ...). Hyponormal operator does not admit spectral decomposition, but has several interesting properties.
- 2. Our motivation is to find new generalization of hyponormal operator and study its spectral properties.

1. Let 
$$0 < p, q, r$$
 satisfy  
 $p + 2r \le (1 + 2r)q$  and  $1 \le q$ . (Furuta's area)  
If  $0 \le B \le A$ , then  
 $B^{\frac{p+2r}{q}} \le (B^r A^p B^r)^{\frac{1}{q}}$  and  $(A^r B^p A^r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le A^{\frac{p+2r}{q}}$ .

1. Let 
$$0 < p, q, r$$
 satisfy  
 $p + 2r \le (1 + 2r)q$  and  $1 \le q$ . (Furuta's area)  
If  $0 \le B \le A$ , then  
 $B^{\frac{p+2r}{q}} \le (B^r A^p B^r)^{\frac{1}{q}}$  and  $(A^r B^p A^r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le A^{\frac{p+2r}{q}}$ .

2. Furuta's area was mysterious to me. So I asked Furuta, how do you find this area?

# 1. If r = 0, then $p + 2r \le (1 + 2r)q$ means $p \le q \text{ or } 0 < \frac{p}{q} \le 1$ and $B^{\frac{p+2r}{q}} \le (B^r A^p B^r)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ means $B^{\frac{p}{q}} \le (B^0 A^p B^0)^{\frac{1}{q}} = A^{\frac{p}{q}}.$

1. If r = 0, then  $p + 2r \le (1 + 2r)q$  means  $p \le q \text{ or } 0 < \frac{p}{q} \le 1$ and  $B^{\frac{p+2r}{q}} \le (B^r A^p B^r)^{\frac{1}{q}}$  means  $B^{\frac{p}{q}} \le (B^0 A^p B^0)^{\frac{1}{q}} = A^{\frac{p}{q}}.$ 

2. Hence Furuta's inequality is an extension of Löwner-Heinz's inequality.

 $0 \leq B \leq A$  and 0 .

Red domain is Furuta's area.



Chō, Prasad, Rashid, Tanahashi, Uchiyama

Furuta's inequality and p-wA(s, t) operator

Blue domain is Löwner-Heinz's area. So Furuta extends Löwner-Heinz's inequality.



#### *p*-hyponormal operator(1990)

1. The first application of Furuta's inequality is *p*-hyponormal operator by Aluthge(1990).

#### *p*-hyponormal operator(1990)

 The first application of Furuta's inequality is *p*-hyponormal operator by Aluthge(1990).
 T ∈ B(H) is called *p*-hyponormal if

 $(TT^*)^p \leq (T^*T)^p$ 

where 0 .

#### *p*-hyponormal operator(1990)

- The first application of Furuta's inequality is *p*-hyponormal operator by Aluthge(1990).
   T = D(21) is all the last statements of the statement of the
- 2.  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is called *p*-hyponormal if

 $(TT^*)^p \leq (T^*T)^p$ 

where 0 .

3. If p = 1, then T is hyponormal  $TT^* \leq T^*T$ . Hence p-hyponormal operator is a generalization of hyponormal operator. **Proposition.** Let  $A_p$  be the set of all *p*-hyponormal operators. If 0 < q < p, then  $A_p \subset A_q$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $A_p$  be the set of all *p*-hyponormal operators. If 0 < q < p, then  $A_p \subset A_q$ .

**proof.** Let  $T \in A_p$ , then  $(TT^*)^p \leq (T^*T)^p$ . Since 0 < q/p < 1, by taking q/p power, we have

$$(TT^*)^q = ((T^*T)^p)^{\frac{q}{p}} \le ((TT^*)^p)^{\frac{q}{p}} = (TT^*)^q.$$

**Proposition.** Let  $A_p$  be the set of all *p*-hyponormal operators. If 0 < q < p, then  $A_p \subset A_q$ .

**proof.** Let  $T \in A_p$ , then  $(TT^*)^p \leq (T^*T)^p$ . Since 0 < q/p < 1, by taking q/p power, we have

$$(TT^*)^q = ((T^*T)^p)^{\frac{q}{p}} \le ((TT^*)^p)^{\frac{q}{p}} = (TT^*)^q.$$

**Remark.** Hence parameterized operator class  $A_p$  is increasing when  $1 \ge p \rightarrow +0$ .

1. If 
$$(T - \lambda)x = 0$$
, then  $(T - \lambda)^*x = 0$ . normal eigen value

- 1. If  $(T \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $(T \lambda)^*x = 0$ . normal eigen value
- 2.  $||T|| = r(T) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$ normaloid.

- 1. If  $(T \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $(T \lambda)^*x = 0$ . normal eigen value
- 2.  $||T|| = r(T) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$ normaloid.
- 3.  $\pi \|T^*T TT^*\| \leq \int_{\sigma(T)} r dr d\theta = \text{meas } \sigma(T)$ Hence if meas  $\sigma(T) = 0$ , then T is normal. Putnam's inequality.

By using Aluthge transformation, Aluthge, Cho, Huruya poved that  $A_p$  has good properties.

By using Aluthge transformation, Aluthge, Cho, Huruya poved that  $A_p$  has good properties.

1. If  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $(T - \lambda)^*x = 0$ . normal eigen value

By using Aluthge transformation, Aluthge, Cho, Huruya poved that  $A_p$  has good properties.

1. If  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $(T - \lambda)^*x = 0$ . normal eigen value

2. 
$$||T|| = r(T) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$$
  
normaloid.

By using Aluthge transformation, Aluthge, Cho, Huruya poved that  $A_p$  has good properties.

1. If  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $(T - \lambda)^*x = 0$ . normal eigen value

2. 
$$||T|| = r(T) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$$
  
normaloid.

3.  $\pi \| (T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p \| \le p \int_{\sigma(T)} r^{2p-1} dr d\theta$ Hence if meas  $\sigma(T) = 0$ , then T is normal. Putnam's inequality.

#### Aluthge transformation(1990)

We explain Aluthge's idea.

1. Let *T* be *p*-hyponormal,  $(TT^*)^p \le (T^*T)^p$ (0

#### Aluthge transformation(1990)

We explain Aluthge's idea.

- 1. Let *T* be *p*-hyponormal,  $(TT^*)^p \le (T^*T)^p$ (0
- 2. Take the polar decomposition of  $T = U|T| = U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and define  $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \equiv T(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . (Aluthge transformation) Then

#### Aluthge transformation(1990)

We explain Aluthge's idea.

- 1. Let *T* be *p*-hyponormal,  $(TT^*)^p \le (T^*T)^p$ (0
- 2. Take the polar decomposition of  $T = U|T| = U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and define  $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \equiv T(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . (Aluthge transformation) Then
- 3.  $\left(T(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})T(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})^*\right)^{p+\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(T(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})^*T(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})\right)^{p+\frac{1}{2}}$ Hence  $T(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$  is  $(p+\frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal and  $T^2(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$  is hyponormal by Furuta's inequality

#### Generalized Aluthge transformation(1997)

1. Aluthge transformation  $T(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  was generalized by Furuta and Yoshino (1997).

#### Generalized Aluthge transformation(1997)

- 1. Aluthge transformation  $T(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  was generalized by Furuta and Yoshino (1997).
- 2. If T = U|T| is *p*-hyponormal (where small *p* as  $0 ), then <math>T(s, t) = |T|^{s}U|T|^{t}$  satisfies

 $|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2(p+t)}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2(p+t)}, |T|^{2(p+s)} \ge |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2(p+s)}{s+t}}$ 

### Generalized Aluthge transformation(1997)

- 1. Aluthge transformation  $T(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  was generalized by Furuta and Yoshino (1997).
- 2. If T = U|T| is *p*-hyponormal (where small *p* as  $0 ), then <math>T(s, t) = |T|^{s}U|T|^{t}$  satisfies

$$|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2(p+t)}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2(p+t)}, |T|^{2(p+s)} \ge |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2(p+s)}{s+t}}$$

3. We can take p = 0 by Löwner-Heinz's inequality. Then

## class A(s, t), wA(s, t) oprator (1998)

1. 
$$|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2t}, |T|^{2s} \ge |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2s}{s+t}}$$

### class A(s, t), wA(s, t) oprator (1998)

1. 
$$|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2t}, |T|^{2s} \ge |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2s}{s+t}}$$

2. Ito, Furuta, Yamazaki(1998) defined that T is class wA(s, t) operator if

$$|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2t}, |T|^{2s} \ge |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2s}{s+t}}$$

and class A(s, t) operator if

$$|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}}\geq |T|^{2t}.$$

#### Ito Yamazaki's result (2002)

#### 1. Ito and Yamazaki(2002) proved that

$$|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2t} \Longrightarrow |T|^{2s} \ge |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2s}{s+t}}$$

#### 1. Ito and Yamazaki(2002) proved that

$$|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2t} \Longrightarrow |T|^{2s} \ge |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2s}{s+t}}$$

#### 2. So class wA(s, t) is class A(s, t), now.

#### class p-wA(s, t) oprator (2014)

1. We define that T is class p-wA(s,t) operator if  $|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2pt}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2pt}, |T|^{2ps} \ge |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2ps}{s+t}}$ 

for  $0 and <math>0 < s, t \leq 1$ .

### class p-wA(s, t) oprator (2014)

1. We define that T is class p-wA(s, t) operator if

$$|T(s,t)|^{\frac{2pt}{s+t}} \ge |T|^{2pt}, |T|^{2ps} \ge |T(s,t)^*|^{\frac{2ps}{s+t}}$$

for  $0 and <math>0 < s, t \leq 1$ .

 Hence p-w(s, t) is a generalization of wA(s, t).
 We assert (hope) p-wA(s, t) is good generalization.

#### **Properties of** p-wA(s, t) **operator**

1. If  $0 < p_1 < p_2 \le 1, 0 < s_2 < s_1, 0 < t_2 < t_1$ , then class  $p_2$ - $wA(s_2, t_2)$  operator is class  $p_1$ - $wA(s_1, t_1)$ .

#### **Properties of** p-wA(s, t) **operator**

- 1. If  $0 < p_1 < p_2 \le 1, 0 < s_2 < s_1, 0 < t_2 < t_1$ , then class  $p_2$ - $wA(s_2, t_2)$  operator is class  $p_1$ - $wA(s_1, t_1)$ .
- 2. If  $(T \lambda)x = 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $(T - \lambda)^*x = 0$ . normal eigen value

#### **Properties of** p-wA(s, t) **operator**

- 1. If  $0 < p_1 < p_2 \le 1, 0 < s_2 < s_1, 0 < t_2 < t_1$ , then class  $p_2$ - $wA(s_2, t_2)$  operator is class  $p_1$ - $wA(s_1, t_1)$ .
- 2. If  $(T \lambda)x = 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $(T - \lambda)^*x = 0$ . normal eigen value
- 3.  $||T|| = r(T) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$ normaloid

4. Putnam (type) inequality

$$\left\| \left| \left| T(s,t) \right|^{\frac{2\min\{sp,tp\}}{s+t}} - \left| (T(s,t))^* \right|^{\frac{2\min\{sp,tp\}}{s+t}} \right\| \right| \\ \leq \frac{\min\{sp,tp\}}{\pi} \iint_{\sigma(T)} r^{2\min\{sp,tp\}-1} \, dr d\theta.$$

Moreover, if meas  $(\sigma(T)) = 0$ , then T is normal.

# Question. If T is class p-wA(s, t) and $\mathcal{M}$ is T-invariant, then $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is p-wA(s, t)?

#### photo of Furuta (2004)



Chō, Prasad, Rashid, Tanahashi, Uchiyama Furuta's inequality and p-wA(s, t) operator

## Thank you.