Frames and operator representations of frames

Ole Christensen Joint work with Marzieh Hasannasab

HATA – DTU DTU Compute, Technical University of Denmark

HATA: Harmonic Analysis - Theory and Applications https://hata.compute.dtu.dk/ Ole Christensen Jakob Lemvig Mads Sielemann Jakobsen Marzieh Hasannasab Kamilla Haahr Nielsen Ehsan Rashidi Jordy van Velthoven

August 17, 2017

IWOTA, Chemnitz 2017

Frames and overview of the talk

If a sequence {f_k}[∞]_{k=1} in a Hilbert spaces H is a frame, there exists another frame {g_k}[∞]_{k=1} such that

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, g_k \rangle f_k, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Similar to the decomposition in terms of an orthonormal basis, but MUCH MORE flexible.

Frames and overview of the talk

If a sequence {f_k}[∞]_{k=1} in a Hilbert spaces H is a frame, there exists another frame {g_k}[∞]_{k=1} such that

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, g_k \rangle f_k, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Similar to the decomposition in terms of an orthonormal basis, but MUCH MORE flexible.

• We will consider representations of frames on the form

$${f_k}_{k=1}^{\infty} = {T^n f_1}_{n=0}^{\infty} = {f_1, Tf_1, T^2 f_1, \cdots},$$

where $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a linear operator, possibly bounded.

Frames and overview of the talk

If a sequence {f_k}[∞]_{k=1} in a Hilbert spaces H is a frame, there exists another frame {g_k}[∞]_{k=1} such that

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, g_k \rangle f_k, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Similar to the decomposition in terms of an orthonormal basis, but MUCH MORE flexible.

• We will consider representations of frames on the form

$${f_k}_{k=1}^{\infty} = {T^n f_1}_{n=0}^{\infty} = {f_1, Tf_1, T^2 f_1, \cdots},$$

where $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a linear operator, possibly bounded.

Main conclusion: Frame theory is operator theory, with several interesting and challenging open problems!

(DTU)

IWOTA, Chemnitz 2017

August 17, 2017 2 / 27

Bessel sequences

Definition A sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{H} is called a Bessel sequence if there exists a constant B > 0 such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_k \rangle|^2 \le B ||f||^2, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

• □ ▶ • 4 🖓 ▶ • Ξ ▶ •

Bessel sequences

Definition A sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{H} is called a Bessel sequence if there exists a constant B > 0 such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_k \rangle|^2 \le B ||f||^2, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Theorem Let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{H} , and B > 0 be given. Then $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a Bessel sequence with Bessel bound *B* if and only if

$$T: \{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \to \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k f_k$$

defines a bounded operator from $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ into \mathcal{H} and $||T|| \leq \sqrt{B}$.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Bessel sequences

Pre-frame operator or synthesis operator associated to a Bessel sequence:

$$T: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathcal{H}, \ T\{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k f_k$$

The adjoint operator - the analysis operator:

$$T^*: \mathcal{H} \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N}), \ T^*f = \{\langle f, f_k \rangle\}_{k=1}^{\infty}.$$

The frame operator:

$$S: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, \ Sf = TT^*f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_k \rangle f_k.$$

The series defining *S* converges unconditionally for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

(DTU)

Frames

Definition: A sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{H} is a *frame* if there exist constants A, B > 0 such that

$$A ||f||^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_k \rangle|^2 \leq B ||f||^2, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

A and *B* are called *frame bounds*. Note:

- Any orthonormal basis is a frame;
- Example of a frame which is not a basis:

$$\{e_1, e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots\},\$$

where $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an ONB.

The frame decomposition

If $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a frame, the frame operator

$$S: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, Sf = \sum \langle f, f_k \rangle f_k$$

is well-defined, bounded, invertible, and self-adjoint.

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

The frame decomposition

If $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a frame, the frame operator

$$S: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, Sf = \sum \langle f, f_k \rangle f_k$$

is well-defined, bounded, invertible, and self-adjoint. Theorem - the frame decomposition Let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a frame with frame operator *S*. Then

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, S^{-1}f_k \rangle f_k, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

It might be difficult to compute S^{-1} !

The frame decomposition

If $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a frame, the frame operator

$$S: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, Sf = \sum \langle f, f_k \rangle f_k$$

is well-defined, bounded, invertible, and self-adjoint. Theorem - the frame decomposition Let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a frame with frame operator *S*. Then

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, S^{-1} f_k \rangle f_k, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

It might be difficult to compute S^{-1} !

Important special case: If the frame $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is tight, A = B, then S = AI and

$$f = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_k \rangle f_k, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

< □ > < @ > < @ > < @ > < @ > < @</p>

General dual frames

A frame which is not a basis is said to be *overcomplete*.

Theorem: Assume that $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an overcomplete frame. Then there exist frames

 $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \neq \{S^{-1}f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$

for which

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, g_k \rangle f_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, S^{-1} f_k \rangle f_k, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

- $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is called a *dual frame* of $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.
- The *excess* of a frame is the maximal number of elements that can be removed such that the remaining set is still a frame. The excess equals $\dim N(T)$ the dimension of the kernel of the synthesis operator.
- When the excess is large, the set of dual frames is large.

General dual frames

Note: Let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a Bessel sequence with pre-frame operator

$$T: \mathcal{H} \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N}), \ T\{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k f_k \qquad [T^*f = \{\langle f, f_k \rangle\}_{k=1}^\infty]$$

and $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a Bessel sequence with pre-frame operator

$$U: \mathcal{H} \to \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}), \ U\{c_{k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}g_{k} \qquad [U^{*}f = \{\langle f, g_{k} \rangle\}_{k=1}^{\infty}]$$

Then ${f_k}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and ${g_k}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are dual frames if and only if

$$f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\langle f,g_k\rangle f_k,\ \forall f\in\mathcal{H},$$

i.e., if and only if

$$TU^* = I.$$

Key tracks in frame theory:

- Frames in finite-dimensional spaces;
- Frames in general separable Hilbert spaces
- Concrete frames in concrete Hilbert spaces:
 - Gabor frames in $L^2(\mathbb{R}), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
 - Wavelet frames;
 - Shift-invariant systems, generalized shift-invariant (GSI) systems;
 - Shearlets, etc.
- Frames in Banach spaces;
- (GSI) Frames on LCA groups
- Frames via integrable group representations, coorbit theory.

Key tracks in frame theory:

- Frames in finite-dimensional spaces;
- Frames in general separable Hilbert spaces
- Concrete frames in concrete Hilbert spaces:
 - Gabor frames in $L^2(\mathbb{R}), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
 - Wavelet frames;
 - Shift-invariant systems, generalized shift-invariant (GSI) systems;
 - Shearlets, etc.
- Frames in Banach spaces;
- (GSI) Frames on LCA groups
- Frames via integrable group representations, coorbit theory.

Research Group HATA DTU (Harmonic Analysis - Theory and Applications, Technical University of Denmark),

https://hata.compute.dtu.dk/

< □ > < @ > < B > < B >

Key tracks in frame theory:

- Frames in finite-dimensional spaces;
- Frames in general separable Hilbert spaces
- Concrete frames in concrete Hilbert spaces:
 - Gabor frames in $L^2(\mathbb{R}), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
 - Wavelet frames;
 - Shift-invariant systems, generalized shift-invariant (GSI) systems;
 - Shearlets, etc.
- Frames in Banach spaces;
- (GSI) Frames on LCA groups
- Frames via integrable group representations, coorbit theory.

Research Group HATA DTU (Harmonic Analysis - Theory and Applications, Technical University of Denmark),

https://hata.compute.dtu.dk/

An Introduction to frames and Riesz bases, 2.edition, Birkhäuser 2016

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Towards concrete frames - operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

Translation by $a \in \mathbb{R}$: $T_a : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}), \ (T_a f)(x) = f(x - a).$ Modulation by $b \in \mathbb{R}$: $E_b : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}), \ (E_b f)(x) = e^{2\pi i b x} f(x).$ All these operators are unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R}).$

4 日 2 4 冊 2 4 三 2 4 三 3

Towards concrete frames - operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

Translation by $a \in \mathbb{R}$: $T_a : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}), \ (T_a f)(x) = f(x - a).$ Modulation by $b \in \mathbb{R}$: $E_b : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}), \ (E_b f)(x) = e^{2\pi i b x} f(x).$ All these operators are unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R}).$

Gabor systems in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$: have the form

$$\{e^{2\pi imbx}g(x-na)\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

for some $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, a, b > 0. Short notation:

$$\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}=\{e^{2\pi imbx}g(x-na)\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

It is known how to construct frames and dual pairs of frames with the Gabor structure $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{e^{2\pi i mbx}g(x-na)\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$

- Typical choices of g: B-splines or the Gaussian.
- $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ can only be a frame if $ab \leq 1$.
- If $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame, then it is a basis if and only if ab = 1.
- Gabor frames {*E*_{mb}*T*_{na}*g*}_{m,n∈ℤ} are always linearly independent, and they have infinite excess if *ab* < 1.

Introduced in papers by Aldroubi, Davis & Krishtal, and Aldroubi, Cabrelli, Molter & Tang. Further developed in papers by

Aceska, Aldroubi, Cabrelli, Çakmak, Kim, Molter, Paternostro, Petrosyan, Philipp.

Let \mathcal{H} denote a Hilbert space, and \mathcal{A} a class of operators $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. For $T \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, consider the iterated system

$${T^n\varphi}_{n=0}^{\infty} = {\varphi, T\varphi, T^2\varphi\cdots}.$$

Introduced in papers by Aldroubi, Davis & Krishtal, and Aldroubi, Cabrelli, Molter & Tang. Further developed in papers by

Aceska, Aldroubi, Cabrelli, Çakmak, Kim, Molter, Paternostro, Petrosyan, Philipp.

Let \mathcal{H} denote a Hilbert space, and \mathcal{A} a class of operators $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. For $T \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, consider the iterated system

$${T^n\varphi}_{n=0}^{\infty} = {\varphi, T\varphi, T^2\varphi\cdots}.$$

Key questions:

- Can $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a basis for \mathcal{H} for some $T \in \mathcal{A}, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$?
- Can $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} for some $T \in \mathcal{A}, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$?

Consider a bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. Recall:

• A vector $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is cyclic if $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}$.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Consider a bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. Recall:

• A vector $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is cyclic if $\overline{\text{span}}\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}$. This is much weaker than the condition that $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a frame.

Consider a bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. Recall:

- A vector $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is cyclic if $\overline{\text{span}}\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}$. This is much weaker than the condition that $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a frame.
- A vector $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is hypercyclic if $\{T^n \varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} .

Consider a bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. Recall:

- A vector $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is cyclic if $\overline{\text{span}}\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \mathcal{H}$. This is much weaker than the condition that $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a frame.
- A vector φ ∈ H is hypercyclic if {Tⁿφ}_{n=0}[∞] is dense in H. This is too strong in the frame context - it excludes that {Tⁿφ}_{n=0}[∞] is a Bessel sequence.

Recall the key questions in dynamical sampling:

- Can $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a basis for \mathcal{H} for some $T \in \mathcal{A}, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$?
- Can $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} for some $T \in \mathcal{A}, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$?

Recall the key questions in dynamical sampling:

- Can $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a basis for \mathcal{H} for some $T \in \mathcal{A}, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$?
- Can $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} for some $T \in \mathcal{A}, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$?

Dual approach by C. & Marzieh Hasannasab:

• When does a given frame $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a representation

$${f_k}_{k=1}^{\infty} = {T^n \varphi}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

for some operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$?

Recall the key questions in dynamical sampling:

- Can $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a basis for \mathcal{H} for some $T \in \mathcal{A}, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$?
- Can $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} for some $T \in \mathcal{A}, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$?

Dual approach by C. & Marzieh Hasannasab:

• When does a given frame $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a representation

$${f_k}_{k=1}^\infty = {T^n \varphi}_{n=0}^\infty$$

for some operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$?

- Under what conditions is such a representation possible with a bounded operator *T*?
- What are the properties of such frames?
- What are the properties of the relevant operators *T*?

Plan for the rest of the talk:

- A sample of results from the literature
- A characterization of the frames that have a representation $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n \varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for some operator $T : \operatorname{span}\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}$.
- Characterizations of the case where *T* can be chosen to be bounded.
- Properties of $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and properties of *T*.
- Open problems.

Results from the literature

- If *T* is normal, then $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is not a basis (Aldroubi, Cabrelli, Çakmak, Molter, Petrosyan).
- If T is unitary, then $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is not a frame (Aldroubi, Petrosyan).
- If T is compact, then $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is not a frame (C., Hasannasab, Rashidi).

A positive result from the literature

Example (Aldroubi, Cabrelli, Molter, Tang) Consider an operator *T* of the form $T = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k P_k$, where $P_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are rank 1 orthogonal projections such that $P_j P_k = 0, j \neq k, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k = I$, and $|\lambda_k| < 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

• There exists an ONB $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$Tf = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \langle f, e_k \rangle e_k, f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

• Assume that $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the Carleson condition, i.e.,

$$\inf_{k} \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{|\lambda_j - \lambda_k|}{|1 - \lambda_j \overline{\lambda_k}|} > 0.$$

- Letting $\varphi := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{1 |\lambda_k|^2} e_k$, the family $\{T^n \varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} .
- Concrete case: $\lambda_k = 1 \alpha^{-k}$ for some $\alpha > 1$.

The key question, formulated in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$

Motivated by all the talks on Hilbert/Hankel/Helson/Toeplitz matrices:

Key question: Identify a class of infinite non-diagonalizable matrices $A = (a_{m,n})_{m,n \ge 1}$ and some vector $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ such that the collection of vectors

$$\{A^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{\varphi, A\varphi, A^2\varphi, \cdots\}$$

form a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

Existence of the representation $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$

Proposition (Hasannasab & C., 2016): Consider a frame $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then the following are equivalent:

• There exists a linear operator $T : \operatorname{span} \{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty},$$

• The sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is linearly independent.

• If $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a Bessel sequence, the *synthesis operator*

$$U: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathcal{H}, U\{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty := \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k f_k$$

is well-defined and bounded.

• The kernel of *U* is

$$\mathcal{N}(U) = \left\{ \{c_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \mid \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k f_k = 0 \right\}.$$

• Consider the right-shift operator \mathcal{T} on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(c_1,c_2,\cdots)=(0,c_1,c_2,\cdots).$$

Theorem (Hasannasab & C., 2017): Consider a frame $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) The frame has a representation $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for some bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem (Hasannasab & C., 2017): Consider a frame $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) The frame has a representation $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for some bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$.
- (ii) ${f_k}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is linearly independent and the kernel $\mathcal{N}(U)$ of the synthesis operator *U* is invariant under the right-shift operator \mathcal{T} .

Theorem (Hasannasab & C., 2017): Consider a frame $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) The frame has a representation $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for some bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$.
- (ii) ${f_k}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is linearly independent and the kernel $\mathcal{N}(U)$ of the synthesis operator *U* is invariant under the right-shift operator \mathcal{T} .
- (iii) For some dual frame $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ (and hence all),

$$f_{j+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_j, g_k \rangle f_{k+1}, \, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem (Hasannasab & C., 2017): Consider a frame $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) The frame has a representation $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for some bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$.
- (ii) ${f_k}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is linearly independent and the kernel $\mathcal{N}(U)$ of the synthesis operator *U* is invariant under the right-shift operator \mathcal{T} .
- (iii) For some dual frame $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ (and hence all),

$$f_{j+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_j, g_k \rangle f_{k+1}, \, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In the affirmative case, letting $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ denote an arbitrary dual frame of $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, the operator *T* has the form

$$Tf = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f, g_k \rangle f_{k+1}, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

August 17, 2017 21 / 27

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Corollary: Any Riesz basis $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has the form $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for some bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$.

Surprisingly, the availability of such a representation fails for frames with finite excess:

Proposition: Assume that $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a frame and $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for a linear operator *T*. If $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has finite and strictly positive excess, then *T* is unbounded.

Note: The properties of a frame with a representation $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (linear independence and infinite excess) match precisely the properties of Gabor frames $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for ab < 1!

Note: The properties of a frame with a representation $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (linear independence and infinite excess) match precisely the properties of Gabor frames $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for ab < 1!

Can a Gabor frame $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with ab < 1 be represented on this form with a bounded operator *T*?

Note: The properties of a frame with a representation $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (linear independence and infinite excess) match precisely the properties of Gabor frames $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for ab < 1!

Can a Gabor frame $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with ab < 1 be represented on this form with a bounded operator *T*?

No!

Note: The properties of a frame with a representation $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (linear independence and infinite excess) match precisely the properties of Gabor frames $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for ab < 1!

Can a Gabor frame $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with ab < 1 be represented on this form with a bounded operator *T*?

No!

Question: Can a Gabor frame $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with ab < 1 be represented on the

$$\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}=\{a_nT^ng\}_{n=0}^\infty$$

for some scalars $a_n > 0$ and a bounded operator $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$?

A comment on the indexing

Let us index the frames by \mathbb{Z} instead of \mathbb{N}_0 :

- Frames with a representation {*f_k*}[∞]_{k=1} = {*T^kf*₀}_{k∈ℤ} have a similar characterization as for the index set ℕ₀.
- The conditions for boundedness of *T* are similar.

A comment on the indexing

Let us index the frames by \mathbb{Z} instead of \mathbb{N}_0 :

- Frames with a representation {*f_k*}[∞]_{k=1} = {*T^kf*₀}_{k∈ℤ} have a similar characterization as for the index set ℕ₀.
- The conditions for boundedness of *T* are similar.
- The standard operators in applied harmonic analysis easily leads to such frames represented by bounded operators:
 - Translation: $\{T_k\varphi\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{(T_1)^k\varphi\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}};$
 - Modulation: $\{E_{mb}\varphi\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{(E_b)^m\varphi\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}};$
 - Scaling: $\{D_{a^j}\varphi\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{(D_a)^j\varphi\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}};$

A comment on the indexing

Let us index the frames by $\mathbb Z$ instead of $\mathbb N_0$:

- Frames with a representation {*f_k*}[∞]_{k=1} = {*T^kf*₀}_{k∈ℤ} have a similar characterization as for the index set ℕ₀.
- The conditions for boundedness of *T* are similar.
- The standard operators in applied harmonic analysis easily leads to such frames represented by bounded operators:
 - Translation: $\{T_k\varphi\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{(T_1)^k\varphi\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}};$
 - Modulation: $\{E_{mb}\varphi\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{(E_b)^m\varphi\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}};$
 - Scaling: $\{D_{a^j}\varphi\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{(D_a)^j\varphi\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}};$

Question: Consider a Gabor frame $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with ab < 1. Does the frame $\{E_{mb}T_{nag}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ have a representation

$$\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}=\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$$

for a bounded operator $T: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and some $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$?

Frames of the form $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{T^n f_1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are special!

Theorem (C., Hasannasab, Rashidi, 2017) Assume that $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an overcomplete frame for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and some bounded operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. Then the following hold:

(i) The image chain for the operator T has finite length q(T).

(ii) If
$$N \in \mathbb{N}$$
, then $T^N \varphi \in \overline{\operatorname{span}} \{T^n \varphi\}_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \Leftrightarrow N \ge q(T)$.

For any $N \ge q(T)$, let $V := \overline{\text{span}} \{T^n \varphi\}_{n=N}^{\infty}$. Then the following hold:

(iii) The space V is independent of N and has finite codimension.

- (iv) The sequence $\{T^n\varphi\}_{n=N+\ell}^{\infty}$ is a frame for V for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- (v) V is invariant under T, and $T: V \to V$ is surjective.
- (vi) If the null chain of T has finite length then $T: V \rightarrow V$ is injective; in particular this is the case if T is normal.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

A way to achieve boundedness - multiple operators

Theorem (Hasannasab & C., 2016) Consider a frame $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ which is norm-bounded below. Then there is a finite collection of vectors from $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, to be called $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_J$, and corresponding bounded operators $T_j : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, such that

$$\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \{T_j^n \varphi_j\}_{n=0}^{\infty}.$$

Remark: The assumption that $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is norm-bounded below can not be removed.

References

- Aceska, R., and Yeon Hyang Kim, Y. H.: *Scalability of frames generated by dynamical operators.* arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.05622 (2016).
- Aldroubi, A., Cabrelli, C., Molter, U., and Tang, S.: *Dynamical sampling*. Appl. Harm. Anal. Appl. **42** no. 3 (2017), 378-401.
- Aldroubi, A., Cabrelli, C., Çakmak, A. F., Molter, U., and Petrosyan, A.: *Iterative actions of normal operators*. J. Funct. Anal. **272** no. 3 (2017),
- Cabrelli, C., Molter, U, Paternostro, V., and Philipp, F.: *Dynamical sampling on finite index sets.* Preprint, 2017.
- Christensen, O., and Hasannasab, M.: *Frame properties of systems arising via iterative actions of operators* Preprint, 2016.
- Christensen, O., and Hasannasab, M.: *Operator representations of frames: boundedness, duality, and stability.* Int. Eq. & Op. Theory, to appear.



Christensen, O., and Hasannasab, M.: An open problem concerning operator representations of frames. Preprint, 2017