

An asymptotic lower bound for the norm of the Laplace operator on a space of polynomials

Christian Rebs

Technische Universität Chemnitz,
17.8.2017

Introduction

A. Böttcher, C.Rebs:

On the constants in Markov inequalities for the Laplace operator on polynomials with the Laguerre norm,

Asymptotic Analysis 101 (2017), 227-239.

For $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define \mathcal{P}_n^N as the finite dimensional linear space of all complex polynomials f of the form

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_N) = \sum_{\left(\frac{i_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{i_N}{n}\right) \in [0, 1]^N} f_{i_1, \dots, i_N} t_1^{i_1} \dots t_N^{i_N},$$

$f_{i_1, \dots, i_N} \in \mathbb{C}$.

We equip these space with the Laguerre norm

$$\|f\|^2 := \int_{(0, \infty)^N} |f(t_1, \dots, t_N)|^2 e^{-t_1} \dots e^{-t_N} dt_1 \dots dt_N.$$

The Laplace operator

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_N^2} : \mathcal{P}_n^N \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n^N$$

is a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{P}_n^N .

There exists a constant $C = C(n, N)$, such that

$$\|\Delta f\| \leq C\|f\|$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{P}_n^N$.

The best constant with this property is $C = \|\Delta\|$.

Aim: Calculate (asymptotic) bounds for $\|\Delta\|$

Main result:

Theorem 1. *Let $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let ω_0 be the positive solution of the equation $2 + 2 \cosh(\omega) - \omega \sinh(\omega) = 0$. Then we have for the operator norm of the Laplace operators on \mathcal{P}_n^N with respect to the Laguerre norm*

$$\|\Delta\| \geq \frac{N}{\omega_0^2} (n+1)^2 + o((n+1)^2)$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

With $\frac{2}{\omega_0^2} = 0,34741\dots$ this implies

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\Delta\|}{Nn^2} \geq 0.1737.$$

The matrix representation of Δ

The norm $\|\Delta\|$ is the largest singular value of the matrix representation $[\Delta]$ of Δ in an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{P}_n^N .

We set $\mathcal{P}_n := \mathcal{P}_n^1$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we set

$$L_k(t) := 1 - \binom{k}{1} \frac{t}{1!} + \binom{k}{2} \frac{t^2}{2!} - \cdots + (-1)^k \binom{k}{k} \frac{t^k}{k!}$$

and get an orthonormal basis $\{L_0, L_1, \dots, L_n\}$ in \mathcal{P}_n .

The ordinary differential operator D^2 is defined by $D^2 : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n, f \mapsto f''$.

- [1] L. F. Shampine: *Some L_2 Markoff inequalities*,
 J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards 69B (1965), 155-158:

The matrix representation of D^2 is the $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix

$$[D^2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & \dots & n-2 & n-1 \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & n-3 & n-2 \\ & & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ & & & \dots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ & & & & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We identify \mathcal{P}_n^N with $\bigotimes_{j=1}^N \mathcal{P}_n$. The polynomials $L_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{j_N}$ with $j_1, \dots, j_N \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ form an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{P}_n^N . The matrix representation of the Laplace operator in this basis is

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Delta] &= \left[D^2 \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes I + I \otimes D^2 \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes I + \cdots + I \otimes \cdots \otimes I \otimes D^2 \right] \\
&= \left[D^2 \right] \otimes I_{n+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n+1} + I_{n+1} \otimes \left[D^2 \right] \otimes I_{n+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n+1} \\
&\quad + \cdots + I_{n+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{n+1} \otimes \left[D^2 \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^N I_{(n+1)^{k-1}} \otimes \left[D^2 \right] \otimes I_{(n+1)^{N-k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of the main result

For a $n \times n$ matrix A we set $H(A) := \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$.

- [2] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson: *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, Sao Paulo, 8th printing, 2007:

Theorem 2: [Corollary 3.1.5 in [2]]

For a $n \times n$ matrix A we denote by $\sigma_1(A) \geq \sigma_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n(A)$ the singular values A and by $\lambda_1(H(A)) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(H(A))$ the eigenvalues of $H(A)$. Then we have the estimate

$$\sigma_k(A) \geq \lambda_k(H(A))$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, n$.

For a $n \times n$ matrix A and a $m \times m$ matrix B we define the Kronecker sum

$$A \oplus B := (I_m \otimes A) + (B \otimes I_n).$$

Theorem 3: [Theorem 4.4.5 in [2]]

If λ is an eigenvalue of A and if μ is an eigenvalue of B , then $\lambda + \mu$ is an eigenvalue of $A \oplus B$.

If ν is an eigenvalue of $A \oplus B$, then there exist eigenvalues λ of A and μ of B such that $\nu = \lambda + \mu$.

We need Kronecker sums with more than two summands:

For matrices A_1 of order n_1 , ..., A_N of order n_N we set

$$A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_N := A_1 \oplus (A_2 \oplus (A_3 \oplus (\dots \oplus (A_{N-1} \oplus A_N) \dots))).$$

For the Kronecker sum with N summands we get the formula

$$A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_N = \sum_{j=1}^N I_{\prod_{k=j+1}^N n_k} \otimes A_j \otimes I_{\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} n_k},$$

where we set $\prod_{k=j}^l n_k = 1$ for $l < j$.

Eigenvalues:

For eigenvalues λ_1 of A_1 , λ_2 of A_2 , ..., λ_N of A_N , $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N$ is an eigenvalue of $A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_N$.

Every eigenvalue λ of $A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_N$ is a sum $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N$ of eigenvalues λ_k of A_k , $k = 1, \dots, N$.

Now we get an estimate for the norm of the Laplace operator:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta\| &= \sigma_{\max}([\Delta]) \geq \lambda_{\max}\left(\frac{1}{2}([\Delta] + [\Delta]^T)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\max}\left(\sum_{k=1}^N I_{(n+1)^{k-1}} \otimes [D^2] \otimes I_{(n+1)^{N-k}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^N I_{(n+1)^{k-1}} \otimes [D^2]^T \otimes I_{(n+1)^{N-k}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\max}\left(\sum_{k=1}^N I_{(n+1)^{k-1}} \otimes \left([D^2] + [D^2]^T\right) \otimes I_{(n+1)^{N-k}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\max}\left(\left([D^2] + [D^2]^T\right) \oplus \dots \oplus \left([D^2] + [D^2]^T\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{N}{2}\lambda_{\max}\left([D^2] + [D^2]^T\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have to calculate the largest eigenvalue of

$$[D^2] + [D^2]^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & \dots & n-2 & n-1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & & n-2 \\ 1 & 0 & & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2 & 1 & \dots & \dots & & & \\ & & \dots & \dots & & 1 & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & 0 & 1 \\ n-2 & & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ n-1 & n-2 & \dots & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- [3] J. M. Bogoya, A. Böttcher, S. M. Grudsky:
*Eigenvalues of Hermitian Toeplitz matrices
with polynomially increasing entries,*
Journal of Spectral Theory 2 (2012), 267-292:

For $\alpha > 0$ we define the integral operator K_α on $L^2(0, 1)$ as

$$(K_\alpha f)(x) := \int_0^1 |x - y|^\alpha f(y) dy$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. We denote by $\mu_1(K_\alpha) \geq \mu_2(K_\alpha) \geq \dots > 0$ the positive eigenvalues of K_α and by \mathcal{L}_+ the index set of this eigenvalues.

Theorem 4: [Theorem 1.2 in [3]]

The operator K_1 has only one positive eigenvalue

$$\mu_1(K_1) = \frac{2}{\omega_0^2} = 0,34741\dots$$

Here is ω_0 the positive solution of the equation
 $2 + 2 \cosh(\omega) - \omega \sinh(\omega) = 0$.

We denote by $T_n[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]$ the hermitian Toeplitz matrix

$$T_n = T_n[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}] = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ \bar{a}_1 & a_0 & \dots & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{a}_{n-1} & \bar{a}_{n-2} & \dots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the eigenvalues $\tilde{\lambda}_1(T_n) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_2(T_n) \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\lambda}_n(T_n)$ of T_n we have:

Theorem 5: [Theorem 1.1 in [3]]

We assume $a_k = k^\alpha + o(k^\alpha)$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha > 0$. Then the eigenvalues of $T_n = T_n[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]$ satisfy, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{n+1-l}(T_n) = \mu_l(K_\alpha) n^{\alpha+1} + o(n^{\alpha+1})$$

for $l \in \mathcal{L}_+$.

We have $[D^2] + [D^2]^T = T_{n+1}[0, 0, 1, \dots, n-1] = T_{n+1}[a_0, \dots, a_n]$ with $a_k = k + o(k)$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we apply Theorem 1.1 from [3] and get for the largest eigenvalue of $[D^2] + [D^2]^T$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\max} \left([D^2] + [D^2]^T \right) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{n+1} \left(T_{n+1}[0, 0, 1, \dots, n-1] \right) \\
&= \tilde{\lambda}_{n+2-1} \left(T_{n+1}[0, 0, 1, \dots, n-1] \right) \\
&= \mu_1(K_1)(n+1)^2 + o\left((n+1)^2\right) \\
&= \frac{2}{\omega_0^2}(n+1)^2 + o\left((n+1)^2\right). \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}$$

This leads for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ to the estimate

$$\|\Delta\| \geq \frac{N}{2} \lambda_{\max} \left([D^2] + [D^2]^T \right) = \frac{N}{\omega_0^2} (n+1)^2 + o\left((n+1)^2\right)$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

An upper bound

We have $\|\Delta\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N I_{(n+1)^{k-1}} \otimes [D^2] \otimes I_{(n+1)^{N-k}} \right\| \leq N \|[D^2]\|$.

From

- [1] L. F. Shampine: *Some L_2 Markoff inequalities*,
J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards 69B (1965), 155-158:

we know

$$\frac{\|[D^2]\|}{n^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu^2} = 0.28441\dots,$$

where μ is the smallest positive solution of $1 + \cos \mu \cosh \mu = 0$.

This leads to

$$0.1737 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\Delta\|}{Nn^2} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\Delta\|}{Nn^2} \leq 0.2845.$$

$N = 1$	n	10	50	100	500	1000
	$\frac{\ \Delta\ }{n^2}$	0.2829	0.2844	0.2844	0.2844	0.2844
$N = 2$	n	10	50	100	500	1000
	$\frac{\ \Delta\ }{2n^2}$	0.2107	0.2175	0.2183	0.2189	0.2190
$N = 3$	n	10	20	30	50	100
	$\frac{\ \Delta\ }{3n^2}$	0.1950	0.1993	0.2008	0.2020	0.2029
$N = 4$	n	10	20	30	50	100
	$\frac{\ \Delta\ }{4n^2}$	0.1855	0.1906	0.1923	0.1937	0.1947
$N = 5$	n	10	20	30	50	
	$\frac{\ \Delta\ }{5n^2}$	0.1804	0.1857	0.1875	0.1889	
$N = 6$	n	10	20	30		
	$\frac{\ \Delta\ }{6n^2}$	0.1770	0.1824	0.1843		
$N = 7$	n	10				
	$\frac{\ \Delta\ }{7n^2}$	0.1745				