

Infinitesimal rigidity for unitarily invariant matrix norms

Derek Kitson

Lancaster University

IWOTA, TU Chemnitz
17th August 2017

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a finite dimensional real normed linear space.

Problem: Given a framework (G, p) in X determine whether (G, p) is infinitesimally rigid (or isostatic) in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a finite dimensional real normed linear space.

Problem: Given a framework (G, p) in X determine whether (G, p) is infinitesimally rigid (or isostatic) in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

Questions to consider

- ▶ Which motions are considered trivial?

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a finite dimensional real normed linear space.

Problem: Given a framework (G, p) in X determine whether (G, p) is infinitesimally rigid (or isostatic) in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

Questions to consider

- ▶ Which motions are considered trivial?
- ▶ What form does the infinitesimal flex condition take?

$(X, \| \cdot \|)$ is a finite dimensional real normed linear space.

Problem: Given a framework (G, p) in X determine whether (G, p) is infinitesimally rigid (or isostatic) in $(X, \| \cdot \|)$.

Questions to consider

- ▶ Which motions are considered trivial?
- ▶ What form does the infinitesimal flex condition take?
- ▶ Is infinitesimal rigidity a generic property?

Euclidean norm

- ▶ discrete geometry, combinatorics, semidefinite programming, operator theory...

Euclidean norm

- ▶ discrete geometry, combinatorics, semidefinite programming, operator theory...

General norms

- ▶ flex condition, rigidity matrix, symmetry

Euclidean norm

- ▶ discrete geometry, combinatorics, semidefinite programming, operator theory...

General norms

- ▶ flex condition, rigidity matrix, symmetry

ℓ^p norms, $p \notin \{1, 2, \infty\}$

- ▶ Laman-type theorems

Euclidean norm

- ▶ discrete geometry, combinatorics, semidefinite programming, operator theory...

General norms

- ▶ flex condition, rigidity matrix, symmetry

ℓ^p norms, $p \notin \{1, 2, \infty\}$

- ▶ Laman-type theorems

Polyhedral norms

- ▶ edge-colouring techniques

Euclidean norm

- ▶ discrete geometry, combinatorics, semidefinite programming, operator theory...

General norms

- ▶ flex condition, rigidity matrix, symmetry

ℓ^p norms, $p \notin \{1, 2, \infty\}$

- ▶ Laman-type theorems

Polyhedral norms

- ▶ edge-colouring techniques

Let M_n denote the vector space of $n \times n$ matrices (over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}).

A norm on M_n is **unitarily invariant** if

$$\|a\| = \|uav\|$$

for all $a \in M_n$ and all unitary matrices $u, v \in M_n$.

Theorem (von Neumann, 1937)

A matrix norm is unitarily invariant if and only if it is obtained by applying a symmetric norm to the vector of singular values of a matrix.

The Schatten p -norms on M_n are defined by,

$$\|a\|_{c_p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$\|a\|_{c_\infty} = \max_i \sigma_i,$$

where σ_i are the singular values of a .

- ▶ c_1 = trace norm
- ▶ c_2 = Frobenius norm (= Euclidean norm of matrix entries)
- ▶ c_∞ = spectral norm (= operator norm on Euclidean space)

A **rigid motion** of a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a collection of continuous paths $\alpha = \{\alpha_x : [-1, 1] \rightarrow X\}_{x \in X}$, with the following properties:

- (a) $\alpha_x(0) = x$ for all $x \in X$;
- (b) $\alpha_x(t)$ is differentiable at $t = 0$ for all $x \in X$; and
- (c) $\|\alpha_x(t) - \alpha_y(t)\| = \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in X$ and for all $t \in [-1, 1]$.

We write $\mathcal{R}(X, \|\cdot\|)$ for the set of all rigid motions of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

Lemma

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Then,

- (i) for each $t \in [-1, 1]$ there exists a real-linear isometry $A_t : X \rightarrow X$ and a vector $c(t) \in X$ such that

$$\alpha_x(t) = A_t(x) + c(t), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

- (ii) the map $c : [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$ is continuous on $[-1, 1]$ and differentiable at $t = 0$,
- (iii) for every $x \in X$, the map $A_*(x) : [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$, $t \mapsto A_t(x)$, is continuous on $[-1, 1]$ and differentiable at $t = 0$, and,
- (iv) $A_0 = I$ and $c(0) = 0$.

Proposition

For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(M_n, \|\cdot\|)$, there is a neighbourhood T of 0 in $[-1, 1]$, and matrices $u_t, w_t \in U_n$ and $c(t) \in M_n$ for each $t \in T$, so that

- (i) $\alpha_x(t) = u_t x w_t + c(t), \quad \forall x \in M_n, t \in T;$
- (ii) $c(0) = 0$ and $u_0 = w_0 = I;$
- (iii) *the maps $t \mapsto c(t)$ and $t \mapsto u_t x w_t$ are both differentiable at $t = 0$, for any $x \in M_n$; and*
- (iv) *the maps $t \mapsto u_t$ and $t \mapsto w_t$ are continuous at $t = 0$.*

A vector field $\eta : X \rightarrow X$ of the form $\eta(x) = \alpha'_x(0)$ where $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is referred to as an **infinitesimal rigid motion** of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

Lemma

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and let $\eta \in \mathcal{T}(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Then η is an affine map.

Theorem

If $\eta \in \mathcal{T}(M_n, \|\cdot\|)$, then there exist unique matrices $a, b, c \in M_n$ with $a \in \text{Skew}_n^0$, $b \in \text{Skew}_n$ and $c \in M_n$ so that

$$\eta(x) = ax + xb + c, \quad \forall x \in M_n.$$

Define $\Psi : \mathcal{T}(M_n, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow \text{Skew}_n^0 \oplus \text{Skew}_n \oplus M_n$ by setting $\Psi_X(\eta) = (a, b, c)$ if and only if $\eta(x) = ax + xb + c$ for all $x \in X$.

Lemma

Ψ is a linear isomorphism.

Proof.

Let (a, b, c) be in the codomain of Ψ , and for each $x \in M_n$ define

$$\alpha_x : [-1, 1] \rightarrow M_n, \quad \alpha_x(t) = e^{ta} x e^{tb} + tc.$$

Since a and b are skew-hermitian, e^{ta} and e^{tb} are unitary for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\{\alpha_x\}_{x \in M_n}$ is a rigid motion. The induced infinitesimal rigid motion is the vector field

$$\eta : M_n \rightarrow M_n, \quad x \mapsto ax + xb + c.$$

Thus $\Psi(\eta) = (a, b, c)$ and so Ψ is surjective. □

Proposition

$$\dim \mathcal{T}(M_n, \|\cdot\|) = \begin{cases} 2n^2 - n & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \\ 4n^2 - 1 & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

A **support functional** for a unit vector $x_0 \in X$ is a linear functional $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|f\| := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X, \|x\| = 1\} \leq 1$, and $f(x_0) = 1$.

Example

Let (G, p) be a bar-joint framework in $(M_n, \|\cdot\|_{c_q})$. Let $vw \in E$, suppose the norm is smooth at $p_v - p_w$ and let $p_0 = \frac{p_v - p_w}{\|p_v - p_w\|_{c_q}}$.

(a) If $q < \infty$, then for all $x \in M_n$,

$$\varphi_{v,w}(x) = \text{trace}(x|p_0|^{q-1}u^*)$$

where $p_0 = u|p_0|$ is the polar decomposition of p_0 .

(b) If $q = \infty$, then the largest singular value of the matrix p_0 has multiplicity one. Thus p_0 attains its norm at a unit vector $\zeta \in \mathbb{K}^n$ which is unique (up to scalar multiples). For all $x \in M_n$, we have

$$\varphi_{v,w}(x) = \langle x\zeta, p_0\zeta \rangle$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{K}^n .

The norm $\|\cdot\|$ is said to be **smooth** at $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ if there exists exactly one support functional at $\frac{x}{\|x\|}$.

Lemma

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a unitarily invariant norm on M_n , with corresponding symmetric norm $\|\cdot\|_s$ on \mathbb{R}^n , and let $x \in M_n$. Then $\|\cdot\|$ is smooth at x if and only if $\|\cdot\|_s$ is smooth at $\sigma(x)$.

A bar-joint framework (G, p) is said to be *well-positioned* in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ if the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is smooth at $p_v - p_w$ for every edge $vw \in E$.

Proposition

Let (G, p) be a bar-joint framework in $(M_n, \|\cdot\|_{c_q})$.

- (i) If $q \notin \{1, \infty\}$, then (G, p) is well-positioned.
- (ii) If $q = 1$ then (G, p) is well-positioned if and only if $p_v - p_w$ is invertible for all $vw \in E$.
- (iii) If $q = \infty$ then (G, p) is well-positioned if and only if $\sigma_1(p_v - p_w) > \sigma_2(p_v - p_w)$ for all $vw \in E$.

The **rigidity map** for $G = (V, E)$ and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is,

$$f_G : X^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^E, \quad (x_v)_{v \in V} \mapsto (\|x_v - x_w\|)_{vw \in E}.$$

Lemma

Let (G, p) be a bar-joint framework in a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

- (i) (G, p) is well-positioned in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ if and only if the rigidity map f_G is differentiable at p .
- (ii) If (G, p) is well-positioned in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ then the differential of the rigidity map is given by

$$df_G(p) : X^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^E, \quad (z_v)_{v \in V} \mapsto (\varphi_{v,w}(z_v - z_w))_{vw \in E}.$$

An **infinitesimal flex** for (G, p) is a vector $u \in X^V$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (f_G(p + tu) - f_G(p)) = 0.$$

$\mathcal{F}(G, p) :=$ vector space of all infinitesimal flexes of (G, p) .

Note that if (G, p) is well-positioned then $\mathcal{F}(G, p) = \ker df_G(p)$.

A non-empty subset $S \subseteq X$ is **full** in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ if the restriction map

$$\rho_S : \mathcal{T}(X, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow X^S, \quad \eta \mapsto (\eta(x))_{x \in S}$$

is injective.

Lemma

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and let $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq X$. If S has full affine span in X , then S is full in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

A non-empty subset $S \subseteq X$ is **full** in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ if the restriction map

$$\rho_S : \mathcal{T}(X, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow X^S, \quad \eta \mapsto (\eta(x))_{x \in S}$$

is injective.

Lemma

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and let $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq X$. If S has full affine span in X , then S is full in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

We say that a bar-joint framework (G, p) is,

- (a) **full** if $\{p_v : v \in V\}$ is full in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.
- (b) **completely full** if (G, p) , and every subframework (H, p_H) of (G, p) with $|V(H)| \geq 2 \dim(X)$, is full in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

Given a bar-joint framework (G, p) , we define

$$\mathcal{T}(G, p) = \{\zeta: V \rightarrow X \mid \zeta = \eta \circ p \text{ for some } \eta \in \mathcal{T}(X, \|\cdot\|)\} \subseteq X^V.$$

The elements of $\mathcal{T}(G, p)$ are referred to as the **trivial infinitesimal flexes** of (G, p) .

Lemma

If (G, p) is a full bar-joint framework in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, then

$$\dim \mathcal{T}(G, p) = \dim \mathcal{T}(X, \|\cdot\|).$$

X	$k(X)$	$l(X)$
$\mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$	$\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$	n^2
$\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$	n^2	$2n^2 - n$
$\mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{C})$	n^2	$2n^2 - 1$
$\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$	$2n^2$	$4n^2 - 1$

Table: k and l values for admissible matrix spaces.

X	$k(X)$	$l(X)$
$\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{R})$	3	4
$\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$	4	6
$\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$	4	7
$\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$	8	15

X	$k(X)$	$l(X)$
$\mathcal{H}_3(\mathbb{R})$	6	9
$\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{R})$	9	15
$\mathcal{H}_3(\mathbb{C})$	9	17
$\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$	18	35

Table: k and l values for admissible matrix spaces when $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

A framework (G, p) is **infinitesimally rigid** if $\mathcal{F}(G, p) = \mathcal{T}(G, p)$.

Theorem

Let (G, p) be a full and well-positioned bar-joint framework in $(M_n, \|\cdot\|)$.

- (i) If (G, p) is infinitesimally rigid, then $|E| \geq k|V| - l$.
- (ii) If (G, p) is minimally infinitesimally rigid, then $|E| = k|V| - l$.
- (iii) If (G, p) is minimally infinitesimally rigid and (H, p_H) is a full subframework of (G, p) , then $|E(H)| \leq k|V(H)| - l$.

Theorem

Let (G, p) be a completely full and well-positioned bar-joint framework in $(M_n, \|\cdot\|)$. If (G, p) is minimally infinitesimally rigid then G is (k, l) -tight.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a unitarily invariant norm on $X \in \{M_n(\mathbb{K}), H_n(\mathbb{K})\}$ and let $k = \dim X$.

- (i) If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then there exists $p \in X^V$ such that (K_m, p) is full, well-positioned and infinitesimally rigid in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ for all $m \geq 2k$.
- (ii) If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then there exists $p \in X^V$ such that (K_m, p) is full, well-positioned and infinitesimally rigid in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ for all $m \geq 2k - 1$.

Thank you