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#### Abstract

We show that the $N=1 / 2$ supersymmetric path integral on a closed even dimensional Riemannian spin manifold, realized via Chen forms and recent results from noncommutative geometry, induces a differential topological invariant (which does not depend on the Riemannian metric).


## 1 Motivation

Let $X$ be a compact even dimensional topological spin manifold ${ }^{1}$. The fixed topological spin structure induces an orientation (cf. Corollary E in [16]) on the Fréchet manifold $L X$ of smooth loops $\gamma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow X$, whose tangent space $T_{\gamma} L X$ at a fixed loop $\gamma \in L X$ is given by the space of vector fields on $X$ along $\gamma$, that is, smooth maps $A: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow T X$ with $\dot{\gamma}(s) \in T_{\gamma(t)} X$ for all $s \in \mathbb{T}$. Given a Riemannian metric $g$ on $X$ let $E^{g} \in C^{\infty}(L X)$ and $\omega^{g} \in \Omega^{2}(L X)$ denote the energy functional and, respectively, the presymplectic form

$$
E_{\gamma}^{g}:=\int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}), \quad \omega_{\gamma}^{g}(A, B):=\int_{\mathbb{T}} g\left(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} A, B\right)
$$

where we will occasionally identify $\mathbb{T}=[0,1] / \sim$. The following $N=1 / 2$ supersymmetric path integral plays a crucial role in the context of Duistermat-Heckman localization on $L X$ : with

$$
\widehat{\Omega}(L X):=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \Omega^{j}(L X)
$$

[^0]the space smooth differential forms o $L X$, one formally sets
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{J}^{g}: \widehat{\Omega}(L X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathfrak{J}^{g}[\alpha]:=\int_{L X} e^{-E^{g}+\omega^{g}} \wedge \alpha . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Note that even though $L X$ is oriented, as it stands, the definition of $\mathfrak{I}^{g}$ does not make sense for (at least) the following reasons:

- there exists no infinite dimensional Lebesgue measure;
- the integral of an inhomogeneous differential form (which are the ones of interest) should by definition be the integral of its top degree part, however, $L X$ is infinite dimensional;
- $L X$ is noncompact, so even if one finds a natural way to integrate differential forms on $L X$, some care has to be taken concerning the question of finding a class of 'integrable' (smooth) differential forms.

As we are going to explain in a moment, the mathematical solution of these problems is tied together and manifests itself in a construction of $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ via Chen integrals and the differential graded Chern character on $(M, g)$. However, in order to motivate our main results, let us continue with our heuristic observations for the moment.
With $\iota$ the contraction by the vector field $\mathbb{A}$ on $L X$ given by $\gamma \mapsto \dot{\gamma}$, which generates the natural $\mathbb{T}$-action on $L X$ given by rotating loops, and

$$
\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(L X):=\left\{\alpha \in \widehat{\Omega}(L X): \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{A}} \alpha=0\right\}
$$

the space of $\mathbb{T}$-invariant differential forms, there is a supercomplex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}^{+}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}^{-}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}^{+}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \cdots, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (with a slight abuse of notation) the dual supercomplex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widehat{\Omega}_{+}^{\mathbb{T}}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widehat{\Omega}_{-}^{\mathbb{T}}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widehat{\Omega}_{+}^{\mathbb{T}}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \cdots . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that these complexes are actually well-defined within the differential calculus of Fréchet manifolds. Now, supersymmetry takes the form

$$
\mathfrak{J}^{g}[(d+\iota) \alpha]=0 \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \widehat{\Omega}(L X)
$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ is an even current, as $L X$ is formally even-dimensional, so that $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ determines an even homology class in the homology of (1.3). Finally, one can derive the following infinite dimensional analogue of the Duistermaat-Heckman localization formula,

$$
\mathfrak{J}^{g}[\alpha]=\left.\int_{X} \widehat{A}(M, g) \wedge \alpha\right|_{X} \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \widehat{\Omega}(L X) \text { with }(d+\iota) \alpha=0
$$

which leads to a simple and differential geometric 'proof' of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem [3, 2, 1, , and which was in fact, the main motivation that lead to the discovery of $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$.
The aim of this paper is to examine the dependence of $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ on $g$. To this end, let $g \bullet=$ $\left(g_{t}\right)_{t \in[0,1]}$ be a smooth family of Riemannian metrics on $X$ and define for every fixed $t \in[0,1]$ a differential form

$$
\beta_{t}^{g \bullet} \in \Omega^{1}(L X), \quad \beta_{t, \gamma}^{g}(A):=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} g_{t}(\dot{\gamma}, A)
$$

and the induced odd current

$$
\mathfrak{C}_{t}^{g_{\bullet}}: \widehat{\Omega}(L X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathfrak{C}_{t}^{g_{\bullet}}(\alpha):=\mathfrak{I}^{g_{t}}\left(\beta_{t}^{g_{\bullet}} \wedge \alpha\right)
$$

In the appendix, we are going to derive the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d / d t) \mathfrak{J}^{g_{t}}=(d+\iota) \mathfrak{C}_{t}^{g_{\bullet}} \quad \text { for all } t \in[0,1] . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equality has an important consequence: defining the (odd) Chern-Simons current $\mathfrak{C}^{\text {g. }}$ by

$$
\mathfrak{C}^{g \bullet}:=\int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{C}_{t}^{g \bullet} d t: \widehat{\Omega}(L X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

one gets the transgression formula

$$
\mathfrak{J}^{g_{1}}-\mathfrak{J}^{g_{0}}=(d+\iota) \mathfrak{C}^{g_{\bullet}},
$$

so that the homology class induced by $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ in the homology of 1.3 does not depend on a particular choice of a Riemannian metric $g$ on $X$. These heuristic considerations show that any mathematically rigorous definition of the supersymmetric current $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ should lead to a differential topologic invariant of $X$.

## 2 Main results

Let us explain now how these heuristic considerations can be verified in a mathematically rigorous way. To this end, we first explain the natural class of (smooth) integrable differential forms on $L X$ : we turn $\widehat{\Omega}(L X)$ into a complete locally convex Hausdorff space by equipping $\Omega^{j}(L X)$ with the family of seminorms $\nu_{f}(\alpha):=\nu\left(f^{*} \alpha\right)$, where $f$ is a smooth map from a finite dimensional manifold $Y$ to $L X$, and $\nu$ is a continuous seminorm on the Fréchet space $\Omega^{j}(Y)$, and by equipping $\widehat{\Omega}(L X)$ with the product topology. Given $\alpha \in \Omega(X)$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$ one defines $\alpha(t) \in \Omega(L X)$ to be the pullback of $\alpha$ with respect to the evaluation $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(t)$.
Consider the Fréchet space of $\mathbb{T}$-invariant differential forms $\Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})$ on $X \times \mathbb{T}$, with $\mathbb{T}$ acting on the second slot. With $\vartheta_{\mathbb{T}} \in \Omega(\mathbb{T})$ the volume form, any $\theta \in \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})$ can be uniquely written in the form $\theta=\theta^{\prime}+\vartheta_{\mathbb{T}} \wedge \theta^{\prime \prime}$ with $\theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime} \in \Omega(X)$.

Associated to this construction, there is the space of entire chains $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\epsilon}(X)$ which is defined as the completion of

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X):=\bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T}) \otimes \underline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})^{\otimes N}
$$

with

$$
\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})^{\otimes N}:=\Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})^{\otimes N} /(\mathbb{C} \cdot 1)
$$

and where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ is equipped with the following family of seminorms: given any continuous seminorm $\nu$ on $\Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})$, one gets the induced projective tensor norm

$$
\pi_{\nu, N} \quad \text { on } \quad \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T}) \otimes \underline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})^{\otimes N}
$$

and then a seminorm $\epsilon_{\nu}$ on $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{\nu}(c):=\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_{\nu, N}\left(c_{N}\right)}{\lfloor N / 2\rfloor!}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
c=\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} c_{N} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X), \quad \text { with } c_{N} \in \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T}) \otimes \underline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})^{\otimes N} \text { for all } N .
$$

The required family of seminorms is now given by $\epsilon_{\nu}$, where $\nu$ is a continuous seminorm on $\Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})$.
There exists a uniquely determined continuous map [6], the equivariant Chen iterated integral map,

$$
\Psi: \mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\epsilon}(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{\Omega}(L X)
$$

such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, \theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{N} \in \theta \in \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi\left(\theta_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{N}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\int_{\left\{0 \leq t_{1} \leq \cdots \leq t_{N} \leq 1\right\}} \theta_{0}(0) \wedge\left(\iota \theta_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-\theta_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(\iota \theta_{N}^{\prime}\left(t_{N}\right)-\theta_{N}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{N}\right)\right) d t_{1} \cdots d t_{N} . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2.1. The space of integrable Chen forms $\widetilde{\Omega}(L X) \subset \widehat{\Omega}(L X)$ is defined as the image of $\Psi$.

Set

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(L X):=\widetilde{\Omega}(L X) \cap \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(L X)
$$

The following result follows essentially from calculations made in [6]. A detailed proof will be given in Section 3

Proposition 2.2. There is a well-defined supercomplex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}^{+}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}^{-}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}^{+}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \cdots . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated dual supercomplex will be denoted with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widetilde{\Omega}_{+}^{\mathbb{T}}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widetilde{\Omega}_{-}^{\mathbb{T}}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \widetilde{\Omega}_{+}^{\mathbb{T}}(L X) \xrightarrow{d+\iota} \cdots . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now give the formula for $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$. Recall that we have fixed a topologic spin structure on $X$. Consider the spinor bundle $\Sigma_{g} \rightarrow X$ induced by $g$, with its (essentially self-adjoint) Dirac operator $D_{g}$ on the super-Hilbert-space of $L^{2}$-spinors $\Gamma_{L^{2}}\left(X, \Sigma_{g}\right)$, and the (natural extension to differential forms of all degrees of the) Clifford multiplication

$$
c_{g}: \Omega(X) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{C^{\infty}}\left(X, \operatorname{End}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)\right) .
$$

Given any $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ and any tupel $\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{N}\right)$ of elements of $\Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})$, define a differential operator $F_{g}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{N}\right)$ in $\Sigma_{g} \rightarrow X$ as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{g}(\theta)=c_{g}\left(d \theta^{\prime}\right)-\left[D_{g}, c_{g}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right]-c_{g}\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& F_{g}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=(-1)^{\left|\theta_{1}^{\prime}\right|}\left(c_{g}\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime} \theta_{2}^{\prime}\right)-c_{g}\left(\theta_{1}^{\prime}\right) c_{g}\left(\theta_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& F_{g}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{N}\right)=0, \quad \text { if } N \geq 3
\end{aligned}
$$

where here and in the sequel all commutators are super-commutators. For $M \leq N$ denote with $P_{M, N}$ all tuples $I=\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{M}\right)$ of subsets of $\{1 \ldots, N\}$ with $I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{M}=\{1 \ldots, N\}$ and with each element of $I_{a}$ smaller than each element of $I_{b}$ whenever $a<b$. Given

$$
\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{N} \in \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T}), \quad I=\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{M}\right) \in P_{M, N}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq M
$$

set

$$
\theta_{I_{a}}:=\left(\theta_{i+1}, \ldots, \theta_{i+m}\right), \quad \text { if } I_{a}=\{j \mid i<j \leq i+m\} \text { for some } i, m .
$$

With these preparations, the following is the main result of [7]:
Theorem 2.3. There exists a uniquely determined current $\mathfrak{J}^{g}: \widetilde{\Omega}(L X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, \theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{N} \in \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{J}^{g}\left[\int_{\left\{0 \leq t_{1} \leq \cdots \leq t_{N} \leq 1\right\}} \theta_{0}(0) \wedge\left(\iota \theta_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-\theta_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(\iota \theta_{N}^{\prime}\left(t_{N}\right)-\theta_{N}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{N}\right)\right) d t_{1} \cdots d t_{N}\right] \\
& =  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad \sum_{M=1}^{N}(-1)^{M} \sum_{I \in P_{M, N}} \int_{\left\{0 \leq t_{1} \leq \cdots \leq t_{M} \leq 1\right\}} \operatorname{Str}_{g}\left(c_{g}\left(\theta_{0}\right) e^{-t_{1} D_{g}^{2}} F_{g}\left(\theta_{I_{1}}\right) \times\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times e^{-\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) D_{g}^{2}} F_{g}\left(\theta_{I_{2}}\right) \cdots e^{-\left(t_{M}-t_{M-1}\right) D_{g}^{2}} F_{g}\left(\theta_{I_{M}}\right) e^{-\left(1-t_{M}\right) D_{g}^{2}}\right) d t_{1} \cdots d t_{M},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Str}_{g}$ denotes the supertrace in $\Gamma_{L^{2}}\left(X, \Sigma_{g}\right)$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ is even and $(d+\iota) \mathfrak{J}^{g}=0$, so that $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ defines an even homology class in the homology of (2.5), and one has the localization formula

$$
\mathfrak{J}^{g}[\alpha]=\left.\int_{X} \widehat{A}(M, g) \wedge \alpha\right|_{X} \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \widetilde{\Omega}(L X) \text { with }(d+\iota) \alpha=0
$$

That this definition of $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ is natural, in the sense that it really serves as an implementation of the right hand side of (1.1], has been indicated in [9] using the Pfaffian line bundle. A probabilistic representation of $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ has been derived in [10], generalizing the earlier result from [5] for $N=1$ to all orders.
Here comes the main result of this note:
Theorem 2.4. Assume $g_{\bullet}=\left(g_{t}\right)_{t \in[0,1]}$ is a smooth family of Riemannian metrics on $X$. Then there exists a canonically given odd current $\mathfrak{C}^{g_{\bullet}}: \widetilde{\Omega}(L X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathfrak{J}^{g_{1}}-\mathfrak{J}^{g_{0}}=$ $(d+\iota) \mathfrak{C}^{g \bullet}$; in particular, the homology class induced by $\mathfrak{J}^{g}$ in the homology of (2.5) does not depend on a particular choice of a Riemannian metric $g$ on $X$.

Our main result yields a new differential topological invariant:
Corollary 2.5. Let $M$ and $N$ be compact even-dimensional, oriented spin manifolds with fixed topological spin-structures. Assume there exists a diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ preserving orientations and topological spin-structures. Then, for any choice of Riemannian metrics $g$ and $h$ on $M$ resp. on $N$, the homology class induced by $\mathfrak{J}_{M}^{g}$ in the homology of (2.5) equals the homology class of $f^{*} \mathfrak{J}_{N}^{h}$.

Proof. Setting $g_{1}:=f^{*} h$, the diffeomorphism $f$ becomes an orientation and metric spinstructure preserving isometry $f:\left(M, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow(N, h)$ furnishing unitary equivalences between Clifford multiplications and Dirac operators on $\left(M, g_{1}\right)$ and $(N, h)$. Formula (2.6) shows that $\mathfrak{J}_{M}^{g_{1}}$ and $f^{*} \mathfrak{J}_{N}^{h}$ are equal, and Theorem 2.4 establishes the claim.

## 3 Proof of Proposition 2.2

We have to show that $d+\iota$ maps

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(L X)=\widetilde{\Omega}(L X) \cap \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(L X)
$$

to itself. We give $\Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading

$$
\theta^{\prime}+\vartheta_{\mathbb{T}} \wedge \theta^{\prime \prime} \in \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})^{j} \Leftrightarrow \theta^{\prime} \in \Omega^{j}(X), \theta^{\prime \prime} \in \Omega^{j+1}(X)
$$

and turn it into a locally convex DGA using the differential $d+\iota_{\partial_{\mathbb{T}}}$ with $\partial_{\mathbb{T}}$ the canonic vector field on $\mathbb{T}$. Then $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ inherits the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading induced by

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)=\bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T}) \otimes \underline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})[1]^{\otimes N}
$$

where $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})[1]$ denotes $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})$ as a set with the shifted grading

$$
\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})[1]^{j}:=\underline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{T}}(X \times \mathbb{T})^{j+1}
$$

With $b$ the Hochschild differential and $B$ the Connes differential in the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded category, the space $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ becomes a supercomplex with the differential $d+\iota_{\partial_{\mathbb{T}}}+b+B$. By continuity,
the same holds true for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\epsilon}(X)$.
Let

$$
\mathbf{A}: \widehat{\Omega}(L X) \longrightarrow \widehat{\Omega}(L X)
$$

be the idempotent linear operator obtained by averaging the $\mathbb{T}$-action on $L X$. Then as shown in [6] one has the formulae

$$
\Psi\left(d+\iota_{\partial_{\mathbb{T}}}+b\right)=d \Psi, \quad \Psi B+\mathbf{A} \Psi \iota_{\partial_{\mathbb{T}}}=\mathbf{A} \iota \Psi
$$

noting that in the notation of [6] one has $\rho=\mathbf{A} \Psi$. Note also that $\mathbf{A}$ commutes with $d$ and $\iota$, so that

$$
\mathbf{A} \Psi\left(d+\iota_{\partial_{\mathbb{T}}}+b+B\right)=(d+\iota) \mathbf{A} \Psi .
$$

Assume that $\alpha \in \widetilde{\Omega}(L X)$ is $\mathbb{T}$-invariant. This means that $\alpha=\Psi(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\epsilon}(X)$ and that $\mathbf{A} \Psi(\theta)=\Psi(\theta)$. Clearly, $(d+\iota) \alpha=(d+\iota) \Psi(\theta)$, so that $(d+\iota) \alpha$ is in $\widetilde{\Omega}(L X)$, furthermore,

$$
(d+\iota) \alpha=(d+\iota) \mathbf{A} \Psi(\theta)=\mathbf{A} \Psi\left(\left(d+\iota_{\partial_{\mathbb{T}}}+b+B\right) \theta\right),
$$

which shows that $(d+\iota) \alpha$ is $\mathbb{T}$-invariant. This completes the proof.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.4

We briefly recall the Bourguignion-Gauduchon machinery for metric changes of the Dirac operator [4]. For any $t \in[0,1]$, define a section $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ of $\operatorname{End}(T X)$ by

$$
g_{0}(u, v)=g_{t}\left(\mathcal{A}_{t} u, v\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in X, u, v \in T_{x} X .
$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ is strictly positive w.r.t. $g_{t}$ and $g_{0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{t}^{-1 / 2}$ is a pointwise isometry $\left(T X, g_{t}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(T X, g_{0}\right)$. It therefore lifts canonically to an $\mathrm{SO}(n)$-equivariant bundle map

$$
b_{t}: \mathrm{SO}\left(X, g_{t}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}\left(X, g_{0}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{SO}\left(X, g_{t}\right)$ denotes the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames of $X$ w.r.t. the Riemannian metric $g_{t}$.
Now recall that we have fixed a topological spin structure. This implies that every Riemannian metric $g_{t}$ canonically induces a Riemannian spin structure on $X$, i.e., a $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$ principal fibre bundle $P_{t}$ over $X$ together with a $\xi$-equivariant map $\pi_{t}: P_{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}\left(X, g_{t}\right)$ such that $\left(P_{t}, \pi_{t}\right)$ is a $\xi$-reduction of $\mathrm{SO}\left(X, g_{t}\right)$. Here, $\xi: \operatorname{Spin}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n)$ is the canonically given double cover. Furthermore, $\left(P_{t}, \pi_{t}\right)$ being associated with a fixed topological spin structure, the map $b_{t}$ lifts to an equivariant bundle map $\widetilde{b}_{t}: P_{t} \rightarrow P_{0}$ and through the associated vector bundle construction, we obtain a fibrewise isometric vector bundle isomorphism

$$
\beta_{t}: \Sigma_{g_{t}} \longrightarrow \Sigma_{g_{0}},
$$

which moreover satisfies

$$
\beta_{t}\left(c_{g_{t}}(\theta)(\varphi)\right)=c_{g_{0}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}^{\prime 1 / 2}(\theta)\right)\left(\beta_{t}(\varphi)\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in X, \theta \in T_{x}^{*} X, \varphi \in\left(\Sigma_{g_{t}}\right)_{x}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T^{*} X\right)$ is the transpose of $\mathcal{A}$. With

$$
0<\rho_{t}=d \mu_{g_{0}} / d \mu_{g_{t}} \in C^{\infty}(X)
$$

the Radon-Nikodym density of $\mu_{g_{0}}$ w.r.t. $\mu_{g_{t}}$, we obtain the canonically given unitary operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{t}: \Gamma_{L^{2}}\left(X, \Sigma_{g_{t}}\right) & \longrightarrow \Gamma_{L^{2}}\left(X, \Sigma_{g_{0}}\right) \\
U_{t} \varphi(x) & =\rho_{t}^{-1 / 2} \beta_{t}(\varphi(x)),
\end{aligned}
$$

which we use to define a family of $\vartheta$-summable Fredholm modules $\mathcal{N}^{g \bullet}$ over $\Omega(X)$ in the sense of Definition 2.1 in [7], by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{t}^{g_{\bullet}^{\bullet}}:=\left(\Gamma_{L^{2}}\left(X, \Sigma_{g_{0}}\right), c^{t}, Q_{t}\right):=\left(\Gamma_{L^{2}}\left(X, \Sigma_{g_{0}}\right), U_{t} c_{g_{t}} U_{t}^{*}, U_{t} D_{g_{t}} U_{t}^{*}\right),
$$

where $D_{g_{t}}$ is the Dirac operator acting on $L^{2}$-sections of $\Sigma_{g_{t}}$. Consider the Chern character

$$
\mathrm{Ch}_{g_{t}}: \mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\epsilon}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

whose value at

$$
\theta_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_{N} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\epsilon}(X)
$$

is given by the RHS of 2.6 for $g=g_{t}$. Then $\mathrm{Ch}_{g_{t}}$ vanishes on the kernel of $\Psi$ and this defines $\mathfrak{J}^{g_{t}}$. If we can show that $\mathcal{M}^{g_{\bullet}}$ satisfies the axioms of Definition 6.1 in [7], then (using that Chern characters are invariant under unitary transformations) it follows that the (odd) Chern-Simons form

$$
\mathrm{CS}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{T}}^{g \bullet}\right): \mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\epsilon}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

constructed on page 31 in [7] satisfies

$$
\mathrm{Ch}_{g_{1}}-\mathrm{Ch}_{g_{0}}=\left(d+\iota_{\partial_{\mathbb{T}}}+b+B\right) \operatorname{CS}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}^{g_{\bullet}}\right)
$$

and vanishes on the kernel of $\Psi$, too. It follows that

$$
\mathfrak{C}^{g \bullet}(\Psi(\theta)):=\operatorname{CS}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{T}}^{g_{\bullet}}\right)(\theta), \quad \theta \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathbb{T}}^{\epsilon}(X)
$$

is well-defined and, being invariant under $\mathbf{A}$ (which follows from its very construction), has the desired properties, in view of

$$
\mathbf{A} \Psi\left(d+\iota_{\partial_{\mathbb{T}}}+b+B\right)=(d+\iota) \mathbf{A} \Psi
$$

It remains to show (H1) and (H2) from Definition 6.1 in [7], where (H1) is the condition

$$
\sup _{t \in[0,1]} \operatorname{tr}\left(e^{-Q_{t}^{2}}\right)<\infty
$$

and (H2) is the condition

$$
\sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left\|\dot{Q}_{t}\left(Q_{t}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\|+\sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left\|\left(Q_{t}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2} \dot{Q}_{t}\right\|<\infty
$$

Here, (H1) can be seen as follows: one can appeal to the Lichnerowicz formula for $D_{t}^{2}$ and semigroup domination (cf. Theorem 3.1 in [11) to get

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(e^{-Q_{t}^{2}}\right) \leq \operatorname{rank}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right) e^{-\min _{x \in X}(1 / 4) \operatorname{scal}_{g_{t}}(x)} \operatorname{tr}\left(e^{-\Delta_{g_{t}}}\right)
$$

which entails (H1), as $t \mapsto \min _{x \in X}(1 / 4) \operatorname{scal}_{g_{t}}(x)$ is clearly continuous, and $t \mapsto \operatorname{tr}\left(e^{-\Delta_{g_{t}}}\right)$ is smooth by Proposition 6.1 from [13].
To see (H2) note that from elliptic regularity, each $Q_{t}:=U_{g_{t}} D_{g_{t}} U_{g_{t}}^{*}$ has the same domain of definition $W^{1,2}(X)$. Furthermore, $\dot{Q}_{t}:=(d / d t) Q_{t}$ is a first order differential operator, which we consider as acting on smooth spinors. The proof of (H2) is based on the following lemma, which is a modification of Lemma 4.17 in [8]:

Lemma 4.1. Let $S$ be a densely defined, closed linear operator from a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ to a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{2}$, and let $T$ be a self-adjoint bounded linear operator in $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ with $T \geq-\lambda$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$. Assume that $S^{*} S+T \geq 0$. Then one has

$$
\left\|S\left(S^{*} S+T+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\| \leq \sqrt{\lambda+1}
$$

Proof. By assumption we have

$$
S^{*} S+1 \leq S^{*} S+T+\lambda+1
$$

which means

$$
\left\|\left(S^{*} S+1\right)^{1 / 2} f\right\| \leq\left\|\left(S^{*} S+T+\lambda+1\right)^{1 / 2} f\right\| \quad \text { for all } \quad f \in \operatorname{dom}\left(S^{*} S\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

From this we obtain

$$
\left\|\left(S^{*} S+1\right)^{1 / 2}\left(S^{*} S+T+1\right)^{-1 / 2} h\right\| \leq\left\|\left(S^{*} S+T+\lambda+1\right)^{1 / 2}\left(S^{*} S+T+1\right)^{-1 / 2} h\right\|
$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$. Using the functional calculus associated with the operator $S^{*} S+T$, we calculate the norm of the operator appearing on the right hand side to be

$$
\left\|\left(S^{*} S+T+\lambda+1\right)^{1 / 2}\left(S^{*} S+T+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\| \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} \sqrt{\frac{t+\lambda+1}{t+1}}=\sqrt{\lambda+1}
$$

which implies

$$
\left\|\left(S^{*} S+1\right)^{1 / 2}\left(S^{*} S+T+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\| \leq \sqrt{\lambda+1}
$$

Now we can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S\left(S^{*} S+T+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\| & =\left\|S\left(S^{*} S+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(S^{*} S+1\right)^{1 / 2}\left(S^{*} S+T+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\| \\
& \leq \sqrt{\lambda+1}\left\|S\left(S^{*} S+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\| \\
& \leq \sqrt{\lambda+1}\left\|\left(S^{*} S\right)^{1 / 2}\left(S^{*} S+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\| \\
& \leq \sqrt{\lambda+1} \sup _{t \geq 0} \sqrt{\frac{t}{t+1}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{\lambda+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the polar decomposition $S=U\left(S^{*} S\right)^{1 / 2}$ with a partial isometry $U$ on the third line and the functional calculus associated with the operator $S^{*} S$ on the fourth line.

Using this lemma, we are going to prove that one has (H2): first of all, note that $Q_{t}$ acting on $\Gamma_{C^{\infty}}\left(X, \Sigma_{g_{0}}\right)$ is a first order differential operator whose coefficients depend smoothly on $t \in[0,1]$. Since $X$ is compact, it follows that

$$
\left\langle\dot{Q}_{t} \varphi, \psi\right\rangle=(d / d t)\left\langle Q_{t} \varphi, \psi\right\rangle=(d / d t)\left\langle\varphi, Q_{t} \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi, \dot{Q}_{t} \psi\right\rangle
$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in \Gamma_{C^{\infty}}\left(X, \Sigma_{g_{0}}\right)$, i.e., $\dot{Q}_{t}$ is symmetric.
Secondly, the operator $Q_{t}^{2}+1$ being elliptic, it follows from a classical result of Seeley 14 that $\left(Q_{t}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}$ is a pseudo-differential operator. In particular, it maps $\Gamma_{C^{\infty}}\left(X, \Sigma_{g_{0}}\right)$ to itself.
Turning to operator norms, note that $\dot{Q}_{t}\left(Q_{t}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}$ is bounded if and only if

$$
\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle\dot{Q}_{t}\left(Q_{t}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2} \varphi, \varphi\right\rangle\right|: \varphi \in \Gamma_{C_{\infty}}\left(X, \Sigma_{g_{0}}\right)\right\}<\infty .
$$

The operators $\dot{Q}_{t}$ and $\left(Q_{t}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}$ being symmetric this, in turn, is equivalent to $\left(Q_{t}^{2}+\right.$ $1)^{-1 / 2} \dot{Q}_{t}$ being bounded. Hence, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left\|\dot{Q}_{t}\left(Q_{t}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\|<\infty \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, we first use the unitary invariance of the functional calculus to compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\dot{Q}_{t}\left(Q_{t}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\| & =\left\|\dot{Q}_{t}\left(\left(U_{t} D_{g_{t}} U_{t}^{*}\right)^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\|=\left\|\dot{Q}_{t} U_{t}\left(D_{g_{t}}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2} U_{t}^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left\|U_{t}^{*} \dot{Q}_{t} U_{t}\left(D_{g_{t}}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we decompose

$$
U_{t}^{*} \dot{Q}_{t} U_{t}=\sigma_{t} \circ \nabla_{t}+\tau_{t}
$$

with $\nabla_{t}$ the spinor connection of $\Sigma_{g_{t}}$, and

$$
\sigma_{t} \in \Gamma_{C^{\infty}}\left(X, \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{*} X \otimes \Sigma_{g_{t}}, \Sigma_{g_{t}}\right)\right), \quad \tau_{t} \in \Gamma_{C^{\infty}}\left(X, \operatorname{End}\left(\Sigma_{g_{t}}\right)\right)
$$

so that by the Lichnerowicz formula we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t}^{*} \dot{Q}_{t} U_{t}\left(D_{g_{t}}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}=\sigma_{t} \nabla\left(\nabla^{*} \nabla+\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{scal}_{g_{t}}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}+\tau_{t}\left(D_{g_{t}}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\left\|\left(D_{g_{t}}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\| \leq 1$, the operator norm of the second term on the right hand side is bounded by $\left\|\tau_{t}\right\|$, which is continuous in $t$. Hence,

$$
\sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left\|\tau_{t}\left(D_{g_{t}}^{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\|<\infty
$$

Regarding the first term on the right hand side of (4.2), we appeal to the above lemma with

$$
S=\nabla, \quad T=(1 / 4) \operatorname{scal}_{g_{t}}, \quad \lambda_{t}:=(1 / 4) \max _{x \in X}\left|\operatorname{scal}_{g_{t}}(x)\right|,
$$

to see that

$$
\left\|\sigma_{t} \nabla\left(\nabla^{*} \nabla+\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{scal}_{g_{t}}+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\| \leq\left\|\sigma_{t}\right\| \sqrt{\lambda_{t}+1}
$$

which is also continuous in $t$, thereby completing the proof of (4.1) and hence also of Theorem 2.4.

## Appendix: formal proof of formula (1.4)

We start by calculating the derivative of $\mathfrak{I}^{g_{t}}$ w.r.t. $t$,

$$
(d / d t) \mathfrak{I}^{g_{t}}[\alpha]=\int_{L X}(d / d t) e^{-E^{g_{t}}+\omega^{g_{t}}} \wedge \alpha=\int_{L X} e^{-E^{g_{t}}+\omega^{g_{t}}} \wedge(d / d t)\left(-E^{g_{t}}+\omega^{g_{t}}\right) \wedge \alpha
$$

Let $\nabla(t)$ denote the Levi-Civita connection for $g_{t}$, and let $\gamma \in L X, X, Y \in T_{\gamma} L X$. The $t$-derivative appearing in the integrand on the right-hand side is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d / d t)\left(-E_{\gamma}^{g_{t}}+\omega_{\gamma}^{g_{t}}\right)(Y, Z)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} g_{t}^{\prime}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})+\int_{\mathbb{T}} g_{t}^{\prime}\left(Y, \nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}} Z\right)+\int_{\mathbb{T}} g_{t}\left(Y, \nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\prime} Z\right), \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used primes to denote derivatives w.r.t. $t$ and dots to denote derivatives w.r.t. the loop parameter.

Using that the covariant derivative commutes with every contraction, the second integral in (4.3) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} g_{t}^{\prime}\left(Y, \nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}} Z\right)+ & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left\{\dot{\gamma} g_{t}^{\prime}(Y, Z)-\nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}}\left(g_{t}^{\prime}(Y, \cdot)\right)(Z)\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} g_{t}^{\prime}\left(Y, \nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}} Z\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}}\left(g_{t}^{\prime}(Y, \cdot)\right)(Z) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left\{g_{t}^{\prime}\left(Y, \nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}} Z\right)-g_{t}^{\prime}\left(Z, \nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}} Y\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}} g_{t}^{\prime}\right)(Y, Z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the third term on the right-hand side of (4.3), we use the well-known formula (see, e.g., [15, Proposition 2.3.1]) for the time derivative of the Levi-Civita connection,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} g_{t}\left(Y, \nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\prime} Z\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left\{\left(\nabla(t)_{Z} g^{\prime}(t)\right)(Y, \dot{\gamma})+\left(\nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}} g_{t}^{\prime}\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla(t)_{Y} g_{t}^{\prime}\right)(Z, \dot{\gamma})\right\}
$$

Putting the above together, we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
(d / d t)\left(-E_{\gamma}^{g_{t}}+\omega_{\gamma}^{g_{t}}\right)(Y, Z)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} & g_{t}^{\prime}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left\{g_{t}^{\prime}\left(Y, \nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}} Z\right)-g_{t}^{\prime}\left(Z, \nabla(t)_{\dot{\gamma}} Y\right)\right\}, 1+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left\{\left(\nabla(t)_{Y} g_{t}^{\prime}\right)(\dot{\gamma}, Z)-\left(\nabla(t)_{Z} g_{t}^{\prime}\right)(\dot{\gamma}, Y)\right\} .
$$

On the other hand, defining the 1-form $\sigma_{t}$ on $L X$ by

$$
\left(\sigma_{t}\right)_{\gamma}(Y)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} g_{t}^{\prime}(\dot{\gamma}, Y),
$$

its exterior derivative $d \sigma_{t}$ is defined by the Cartan formula,

$$
d\left(\sigma_{t}\right)_{\gamma}(Y, Z)=Y \sigma^{t}(\widetilde{Z})-Z \sigma^{t}(\widetilde{Y})-\sigma_{t}([\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}])
$$

where $\widetilde{Y}$ and $\widetilde{Z}$ are local extensions of $Y, Z$, i.e., vector fields defined on a neighborhood of $\gamma \in L X$ with $\widetilde{Y}_{\gamma}=Y$ and $\widetilde{Z}_{\gamma}=Z$ (this definition is independent of the extensions $\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z})$. Using 1- and 2-parameter variations of $\gamma$ with variation vector fields $X$ and $Y$ respectively and formula (4.4), one easily computes

$$
d\left(\sigma_{t}\right)_{\gamma}(Y, Z)=(d / d t)\left(-E_{\gamma}^{g_{t}}+\omega_{\gamma}^{g_{t}}\right)(Y, Z)-\iota \sigma_{t}
$$

Hence, for any differential form $\alpha$ on $L X$ we have

$$
(d / d t) \mathfrak{I}^{g_{t}}[\alpha]=\int_{L X} e^{-E^{g_{t}}+\omega^{g_{t}}} \wedge(d+\iota) \sigma_{t} \wedge \alpha=\int_{L X} e^{-E^{g_{t}}+\omega^{g_{t}}} \wedge \sigma_{t} \wedge(d+\iota) \alpha
$$

where the last equality follows from

$$
(d+\iota) \mathfrak{I}^{g_{t}}[\alpha]=\mathfrak{I}^{g_{t}}[(d+\iota) \alpha]=0
$$

Defining

$$
\mathfrak{C}_{t}^{g_{0}}(\alpha):=\int_{L X} e^{-E^{g_{t}}+\omega^{g_{t}}} \wedge \sigma_{t} \wedge \alpha
$$

we end up with

$$
(d / d t) \mathfrak{I}^{g_{t}}=(d+\iota) \mathfrak{C}_{t}^{g_{\bullet}}
$$

formally proving (1.4).
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