

Inofficial Translation. Not legally binding!
For purpose of understanding only.

Doctoral Regulations
of the Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology
at Chemnitz University of Technology
dated April 18, 2017

Based on § 40 sec. 5 in conjunction with § 13 sec. 4 sentence 1 and § 88 sec. 1 no. 2 of the law governing the freedom of universities in the Free State of Saxony (Saxon Freedom of Universities Act - SächsHSFG) in the version published on January 15, 2013 (SächsGVBl. (Saxony Law Gazette) page 3), last amended by article 11 of the Act of April 29, 2015 (SächsGVBl. p. 349, 354), the Faculty Board of the Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology at Chemnitz University of Technology has issued the following Doctoral Regulations:

Table of contents

I. General terms

- § 1 Entitlement to award Doctoral degrees
- § 2 Doctorate
- § 3 Admission requirements
- § 4 Doctoral Performances
- § 5 Doctoral Committee

II. Admission to Doctorate

- § 6 Application for admission to Doctorate and Opening of Doctoral Procedure
- § 7 Opening of Doctoral Procedure
- § 8 Examiners, observers

III. Doctoral Thesis

- § 9 Assessment of Doctoral Thesis
- § 10 Further Procedure, Public disclosure
- § 11 Approval of Doctoral Thesis, Doctoral Commission
- § 12 Public Defense
- § 13 Scientific Debate
- § 14 Assessment of Public Defense and Doctorate
- § 15 Failure to attend and repetition

IV. Completion of Doctoral Procedure

- § 16 Publication of Doctoral Thesis
- § 17 Award of Certificate, Entitlement for use of Doctoral degree

V. Invalidity and Legal Remedies

- § 18 Invalidity of Doctoral Performances
- § 19 Withdrawal of Doctoral degree
- § 20 Appeal
- § 21 Access to file

VI. Honors

§ 22 Honorary Doctorate

VII. Final Provisions

§ 23 Entry into force and Transitional Regulations

In the following, the generic masculine will generally be used for reasons of better legibility. All personal designations apply naturally to all genders.

I. General terms

§ 1

Entitlement to award Doctoral degrees

(1) The Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology (hereinafter designated as Faculty) awards on behalf of Chemnitz University of Technology based on a Doctoral Procedure the academic degree

Doctor Engineer (Dr.-Ing.).

(2) The Faculty awards on behalf of Chemnitz University of Technology based on a decision of its Faculty Board the academic degree

Honorary Doctor of Engineering Sciences (Dr.-Ing. e. h.).

§ 2

Doctorate

(1) The Doctorate is a scientific qualification of international reputation. By the Doctorate, the candidate proves his ability to achieve results by autonomous scientific work which are contributing to the further development of a field of electrical engineering and information technology as well as are enriching its theories and methods. By the Doctorate, the candidate proves his skills to present scientific results in a logical and comprehensible way.

(2) In all procedures, the Regulations on the Safeguarding of Good Scientific Practice of Chemnitz University of Technology, dated June 9, 2015 (Amtliche Bekanntmachungen No. 17/2015, p. 548) as amended have to be observed and complied with.

(3) Subsequent to the successful completion of a Doctoral Procedure, the entitlement for use of the doctoral degree according to § 1 will be awarded to the candidate (§ 17).

(4) Doctoral Procedures are opened for individual candidates. Each candidate submits an individual and complete Doctoral Thesis identifying his own performances.

(5) In case of Doctoral Theses dealing with similar topics where cooperation is mandatorily necessary for acquiring a scientifically significant performance, the Defense (§ 12) and the Scientific Debate (§ 13) may be concentrated in one common event.

§ 3

Admission requirements

(1) The topic of the Doctoral Thesis has to be assignable to the scientific profile of the Faculty. The admission to a Doctorate requires the consent of a professor of the Faculty to supervise the candidate during the elaboration of his Doctoral Thesis. In the case of a Doctoral Procedure according to section 3, the additional supervision by a professor of a university of applied sciences is possible.

- (2) The admission to a Doctorate requires successfully completed university studies proven by a diploma or master degree awarded. The results achieved should significantly be above the general average of performances achieved.
- (3) In the case of diploma or master degree holders, the Doctoral Committee determines based on the topic of the Doctoral Thesis and the profile of the candidate if and which kind of additional performances are required. Additional performances according to sentence 1 have to be proven prior to the opening of a Doctoral Procedure (§ 7). Cooperative Doctoral Procedures jointly with universities of applied sciences are possible insofar a professor of the Faculty acts as co-supervisor. Within the Cooperative Doctoral Procedure, universities of applied sciences and universities work together. The provisions of these Regulations with regard to examiners, Scientific Debate and Public Defense as well as assessment remain unaffected. The Doctoral Certificate is issued by Chemnitz University of Technology.
- (4) Sections 2 and 3 apply respectively for cases in which the degree from studies was acquired on a field beyond electrical engineering resp. information technology. The Doctoral Committee takes the decision if and which kind of additional performances have to be provided. They have to be proven prior to the Opening of a Doctoral Procedure (§ 7).
- (5) To the Doctoral Committee also belongs the decision on the recognition of equivalence of degrees acquired abroad in consideration of current equivalence agreements. Insofar the equivalence has been determined, sections 2 to 4 apply respectively.
- (6) Binational Doctoral Procedures (Cotutelle-de-Thèse) are possible insofar a professor of the Faculty takes over the supervision. The candidate is supposed to absolve at least one third of the entire research performance leading to a Doctorate at Chemnitz University of Technology. At minimum one examiner and at least two members of the Doctoral Commission have to be professors of Chemnitz University of Technology. The further procedure is regulated by a separate agreement. The regulations of § 6 to § 14 have to be applied.
- (7) Candidates who absolved already two Doctoral Procedures according to § 6 prior to their application without success, do not fulfill the requirements for a Doctorate anymore.
- (8) A paper which has been rejected by a scientific body as academically insufficient or which has already been used for other examination purposes, cannot be accepted as Doctoral Thesis.

§ 4

Doctoral Performances

- (1) The Doctoral degree is awarded on the basis of a written research paper contributing to the further development of the respective scientific field (Doctoral Thesis § 9), its Public Defense (§ 12) and Scientific Debate (§ 13). Doctoral Performances may be delivered in German and English language.
- (2) The results of the Doctoral Thesis have to be resumed in theses. They are a component of the Doctoral Thesis. The theses shall include those contents of the paper and its results which are contributing to the further development of the scientific area electrical engineering and information technology.
- (3) Prior publication of parts of the Doctoral Thesis are permitted. They have to be indicated within the Doctoral Thesis.

§ 5

Doctoral Committee

- (1) The Faculty establishes a Doctoral Committee as standing body appointed by the Faculty Board acting on behalf of it regarding aspects of Doctoral Procedures. To this committee belong at least four professors of the Faculty. The Chair is held by a professor of the Faculty appointed by the Dean. The members of the Doctoral Committee are appointed by the Faculty Board for a term of office of three years. A re-appointment is possible.
- (2) The Doctoral Committee is responsible particularly for the following tasks:
 - a) Assessment of fulfillment of the Doctoral requirements (§ 3),
 - b) Decision on the Opening of a Doctoral Procedure (§ 7),

- c) Appointment of the examiners (§ 8 sec. 1), the observers (§ 8 sec. 2) as well as the Doctoral Commission and its Chair (§ 11 sec. 4),
- d) Decision on the approval of the Doctoral Thesis after reception of the assessment reports (§ 11 sec. 1),
- e) Preparation of decisions regarding special cases and appeals which have to be dealt with by the Faculty Board.

On request, the Doctoral Committee has to report to the Faculty Board about its activities.

- (3) The meetings of the Doctoral Committee are not open to the public. Its members are duty-bound to confidentiality.
- (4) The Doctoral Committee is quorate in the case that more than the half of its members are present. The Doctoral Committee takes decisions by the majority of the votes of the attendants. In the case of parity of votes, the vote of the Chair is decisive. Minutes have to be taken of the meetings of the Doctoral Committee.

II. Admission to Doctorate

§ 6

Application for admission to Doctorate and Opening of Doctoral Procedure

- (1) The application for admission to a Doctorate has to be submitted in written form to the Chair of the Doctoral Committee of the Faculty. The following documents have to be attached:
 - a) the confirmation of a professor of the Faculty to take over the academic supervision of the candidate during the elaboration of his Doctoral Thesis,
 - a) Curriculum vitae including academic background,
 - b) Copy of academic degree certificate,
 - c) other documents, if applicable.
- (2) The application for admission to the Doctorate is considered as intention of the candidate to acquire the Doctoral Degree at the Faculty within the upcoming six years. The Doctoral Committee assesses based on the prior qualifications of the candidate if he can immediately be admitted to Doctorate or if he has to provide additional proofs of qualification according to § 3 sec. 3 to 5. The candidates receives a notification on the admission and eventual conditions or on the rejection.
- (3) The application for Opening of a Doctoral Procedure has to be submitted by the candidate to the Dean of the Faculty.
- (4) The following documents have to be attached to the application for a Doctorate:
 - a) Certified authenticated proofs of the successful completion of studies according to the requirements for a Doctorate stipulated in these Regulations,
 - b) the Doctoral Thesis in five copies including the theses according to § 4 sec. 2 as well as respective electronically readable version (pdf-file),
 - c) list of publications, presentations, patents and other scientific performances,
 - d) confirmation that the Doctoral Thesis was elaborated autonomously in the form declared mandatory by the Faculty,
 - e) a declaration of the candidate if he has applied earlier or at the same time for a Doctoral Procedure at other institutions as well as complete indications regarding the results of those procedures,
 - f) curriculum vitae, particularly regarding academic background,
 - g) propositions for examiners and observers (§ 8 sec. 1 and 2),
 - h) certificate of conduct according to Act on Federal Register of Criminal and Court Records ("Bundeszentralregistergesetz") dating on the day of application for a Doctorate no longer than three months ago.
- (5) The theses, a brief curriculum vitae as well as a confirmation according to section 4 letter d) have to be attached to each copy of the Doctoral Thesis. All documents mentioned have to be submitted in written form pass after the Opening of the Procedure in the property of Chemnitz University of Technology. To the copies of the Doctoral Thesis, § 8 sec. 5 applies.

- (6) The application may be withdrawn by the candidate as long as the Doctoral Procedure has not been opened. It is then considered as not submitted and the candidate will get all documents back except the application. The request for cancelling the application has to be submitted in written form.

§ 7

Opening of Doctoral Procedure

- (1) The decision on the Opening of a Doctoral Procedure belongs to the Doctoral Committee.
- (2) Prior to this decision, it may return the Doctoral Thesis for correction of formal deficiencies. In this case, the Opening has to be postponed until the deficiencies have been resolved.
- (3) Within the decision on the Opening the scientific area as well as the examiners and observers have to be determined and the topic of the Doctoral Thesis has to be confirmed.
- (4) The Doctoral Committee is obliged to refuse the Opening in the case that
 - a) the requirements according to §§ 3 or 6 are not fulfilled,
 - b) the topic of the Doctoral Thesis does not belong to the scientific area electrical engineering and information technology or
 - c) no professor of the Faculty can be appointed as examiner.
- (5) In case of refusal of the Opening, the Dean informs the candidate about the reasons without any delay. The notification has to be amended by instructions regarding legal remedies. In the case of non-Opening, the candidate will be given back all documents submitted except the application.
- (6) In the case that the candidate submits a written declaration of withdrawal after the Opening of the Doctoral Procedure or that reasons emerge which could have inhibited the Opening, the Doctoral Committee cancels the Procedure. The documents including assessment reports received remain in the Bureau of the Dean.

§ 8

Examiners, observers

- (1) Within the decision of Opening a Doctoral Procedure, at least two examiners are appointed. One examiner has to be professor of the Faculty. The other examiners may also be professors of universities of applied sciences of junior professors or they have to prove habilitation-equivalent performances at minimum.
- (2) In addition to the examiners, two observers will be appointed, resp. one observer if there are more than two examiners. As observers, apart from professors also persons holding a Doctoral Degree in the scientific field may be determined if they are successful and recognized in their research activities. At least one of the examiners or observers must not adhere to the Faculty.
- (3) The candidate is entitled to make propositions regarding persons to become examiners and observers. The Doctoral Committee is not bound to these propositions.
- (4) Also professors from abroad may be appointed as examiners and observers.
- (5) The examiners and observers are entitled to keep the Doctoral Theses handed over to them. Assessment reports shall be submitted to the Dean in written form within three months.
- (6) The elaboration of a requested assessment report may be rejected without telling any reason. In this case, the Doctoral Thesis has to be returned to the Faculty.

III. Doctoral Thesis

§ 9

Assessment of Doctoral Thesis

- (1) The examiners submit an individual and independent assessment report in written form regarding the Doctoral Thesis including reasons for their suggestion of its approval or rejection, in the first case also accompanied by an assessment result. A Doctoral Thesis may only be accepted provided that it fulfills the requirements according to § 2 sec. 1 and that it is printable. Conditions regarding minor changes or complements are not an obstacle for approval.

- (2) In case the approval is proposed, the Thesis has to be assessed by one of the following grades:
- | | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| very good (magna cum laude) (1) | = an extraordinary performance |
| good (cum laude) (2) | = an above-average performance |
| sufficient (rite) (3) | = a performance corresponding to the average requirements |
- For the purpose of more sophisticated assessment, intermediate values for the individual grades may be assigned by rounding down and up by 0.3; the grade 0.7 is excluded.
- (3) The assessment reports shall include the confirmation or rejection of the theses as well as a statement whether they reflect the essential content of the Doctoral Thesis.
- (4) It is not permitted to make the recommendation for approval of the Doctoral Thesis and the assessment depending on conditions regarding its content-related revision.

§ 10

Further Procedure, Public Disclosure

- (1) In the case that all assessment reports came to a positive result, the Doctoral Thesis is disclosed to the public in the Bureau of the Dean and the Library of Chemnitz University of Technology for a period of two weeks and the disclosure is announced in an appropriate way. The professors of the Faculty are entitled to get access to the assessment reports including the grade propositions.
- (2) In the case that one assessment report comes to a negative result, the same procedure according to section 1 applies whereas a period of four weeks has to be provided for public disclosure.
- (3) During the period of public disclosure of the Doctoral Thesis, statements and appeals can be submitted in written form via the Dean to the Doctoral Committee.

§ 11

Approval of Doctoral Thesis, Doctoral Commission

- (1) The Doctoral Committee decides based on the assessment reports as well as on the statements and appeals submitted on the approval or rejection of the Doctoral Thesis as well as on the continuation or termination of the Procedure. The candidate has to be informed about the decision within two weeks after it has been taken in written form. In case that the Doctoral Thesis was not approved and the termination of the Procedure was decided, the candidate has to be informed by the Dean about the reasons for the non-approval and the decision to terminate the Procedure. The notification has to be complemented by a notification regarding legal remedies.
- (2) In the case that one examiner has submitted a negative assessment report, another professor could be asked for an assessment by the Faculty Board on proposition of the Doctoral Committee which can then also be used for coming to a decision regarding the approval. If this assessment report is positive, the Procedure is continued according to section 1. If this assessment report is negative, sentence 3 applies.
- (3) If more than one assessment reports from examiners came to a negative result, the Doctoral Thesis cannot be approved and the Procedure has to be terminated. A Doctoral Thesis that was not approved remains jointly with all assessment reports and the file of the Doctorate with the Faculty.
- (4) In the case of approval of the Doctoral Thesis, the Doctoral Committee appoints a Doctoral Commission. It comprises five persons at minimum. To the Commission belong one professor holding the Chair as well as the examiners and observers according to § 8 sec. 1 and 2. The professor holding the Chair has to adhere to the Faculty. He cannot be examiner or observer within the respective Doctoral Procedure at the same time. The Dean informs the candidate about the composition of the Doctoral Commission in written form. The notification has to be done at minimum two weeks prior to the date of the Scientific Debate resp. the Defense.
- (5) After the decision on the approval of the Doctoral Thesis, the Chair of the Doctoral Commission is responsible for the further procedure on behalf of the Faculty.
- (6) After the approval of the Doctoral Thesis, the candidate is entitled to get access to the assessment reports. The propositions for grades are exempted from that.
- (7) A candidate whose Doctoral Thesis was not approved may apply at the earliest half a year after the decision on non-approval had been taken for a new Doctoral Procedure with a substantially revised version of the non-approved Doctoral Thesis or with a Doctoral Thesis dealing with another topic. The

documents regarding the Doctorate remain in the case of non-approval of the Doctoral Thesis for the purpose of evidence with the Faculty. The prior non-approval has to be mentioned in the application for a new Doctoral Procedure.

- (8) The decision on appeals against the approval of the Doctoral Thesis belongs to the Board of the Faculty, on other appeals to the Doctoral Committee. The appeals may also be made subject of the discussion during the Public Defense.

§ 12

Public Defense

- (1) The date of the Public Defense is determined by the Chair of the Doctoral Commission in coordination with the members of the Doctoral Commission and the candidate. The announcement is made by the Dean at least two weeks before the date of the Public Defense.
- (2) The Public Defense happens in the presence of the Doctoral Commission and is headed by the Chair of the Doctoral Commission. At minimum four members of the Doctoral Commission have to attend. In justified exceptional cases, the Dean may appoint substitute members for the Doctoral Commission whereas at least one examiner has to be present.
- (3) The Public Defense comprises a presentation of the candidate and a discussion.
- (4) The candidate provides an overview within his presentation of about 30 minutes regarding objectives, content and results of his Doctoral Thesis.
- (5) The presentation is complemented by a public discussion where at first the members of the Doctoral Commission ask questions. In this context, the examiners should at the beginning provide an assessment regarding the scientific performances of the candidate. Subsequently, all attendants are entitled to ask questions. Questions which are not regarding the scientific item of the Doctorate may be rejected by the Chair of the Doctoral Commission.
- (6) Minutes regarding the ongoing of the presentation and discussion have to be taken which have to be signed by the Chair of the Doctoral Commission and the keeper and which will be a part of the file of the Doctorate. The keeper of the minutes is appointed by the Chair of the Doctoral Commission.

§ 13

Scientific Debate

- (1) In chronological connection with the Defense (generally at the same day), a Scientific Debate happens between the candidate and the Doctoral Commission. It is not open to the public. Professors of the Faculty are entitled to participate.
- (2) Within this examination conversation lasting about 45 minutes, the candidate proves that he disposes of the scientific basics on the research area of his Doctoral Thesis but also on related subject areas.
- (3) The debate is headed by the Chair of the Doctoral Commission. At minimum four members of the Doctoral Commission have to attend. In justified exceptional cases, the Dean may appoint substitute members for the Doctoral Commission whereas at least one examiner has to be present.
- (4) Minutes have to be taken on questions and answers which are signed by the Chair of the Doctoral Committee and the keeper and which will be part of the file of the Doctorate.
- (5) Immediately after completion of the Scientific Debate, the Chair of the Doctoral Commission discusses with the present members of the Doctoral Committee and other professors the result in a nonpublic meeting. In this respect, the Doctoral Commission determines one of the grades according to § 9 sec. 2 for the Scientific Debate.

§14

Assessment of Public Defense and Doctorate

- (1) Immediately after the Public Defense resp. the Scientific Debate, the Doctoral Commission discusses the result in a non-public meeting. All professors who have priorly attended are entitled to participate with advisory vote. The Doctoral Commission determines a grade for the Public Defense and the overall grade of the Doctorate. In this respect, the grades according to § 9 sec. 2 apply. Subsequently, the Chair announces the overall grade to the candidate; this may, in accordance with the candidate, be done publically.

- (2) The overall grade is determined by majority vote of the Doctoral Commission based on the grades of the assessment reports, for the Scientific Debate and the Public Defense. In case of parity of votes, the Chair decides.
- (3) The overall grade of the Doctorate may be "excellent" (summa cum laude). In general, this requires that the Doctoral Thesis is assessed with "very good" by all examiners, that outstanding scientific performances have been delivered and that also for the Public Defense as well as the Scientific Debate the grade "very good" was determined. In addition, the international visibility of the Doctoral performance should be considered. A "summa cum laude"-grade has to be justified by the Chair in a comprehensible way.
- (4) The Doctoral Commission discusses based on the proposed modifications and amendments (§ 9 sec. 1 sentence 3) which conditions regarding the elaboration of the mandatory copies are fixed. The copy remaining with the Faculty cannot be changed.
- (5) The individual grades achieved and the overall result of the Doctorate as well as the conditions fixed have to be recorded in a protocol which is signed by the Chair and the present members of the Doctoral Commission and which will be a part of the file of the Doctorate.
- (6) The assessment of the Doctoral Commission has to be confirmed by the Doctoral Committee.

§ 15

Failure to attend and repetition

- (1) In the case that a candidate fails to attend to the date fixed for the Scientific Debate or for the Public Defense without providing valid reasons, this Doctoral performances is considered as not delivered.
- (2) In case that the Scientific Debate is not passed or that is considered as not delivered according to section 1, one single repetition is possible. The Doctoral Commission is entitled to fix conditions for the admission to the repetition of this examination.
- (3) In the case that a candidate does not pass a re-sit examination according to section 2 or the Public Defense according to § 12 and § 14, the Doctoral Procedure will be terminated. The Deans informs the candidate about that in written form. The Doctoral Thesis and the file of the Doctorate remain with the Faculty.

IV. Completion of Doctoral Procedure

§ 16

Publication of Doctoral Thesis

- (1) The candidate is obliged to publish the approved version of the Doctoral Thesis (§ 14 sec. 4) within one year after the Public Defense in an appropriate way to the scientific public. In case of section 2 letter b), the date of expiration of the one year deadline refers to the conclusion of a contract with a publisher. In case that a candidate misses culpably this time limit, all entitlements acquired within the Doctoral Procedure expire.
- (2) The publication can be done optionally by the delivery to the Library of Chemnitz University of Technology free of charge of
 - a) 20 printed and bound copies
 - or
 - b) 6 printed and bound publisher copies in case of publication in the University Press of Chemnitz University of Technology or in another scientific publisher taking over the distribution via the book trade for the duration of deliverability. The publication has to be marked as university thesis. This may be done either by integration of the front page of the Doctoral Thesis or by the indication as university thesis within the imprint.
 - or
 - c) 6 printed and bound copies in case of publication of the identic electronic version of the Doctoral Thesis in the full-text archive of Chemnitz University of Technology.
- (3) In justified cases, the Doctoral Committee may on request prolong the time limit according to section 1 once.

§ 17

Award of Certificate, Entitlement for use of Doctoral degree

- (1) Based on the decision of the Doctoral Commission according to § 14 sec. 2 resp. 3 and after approval of the assessment by the Doctoral Committee, the Dean arranges the issue of the Certificate of the Doctorate. It contains the day of the successful Public Defense, the individual data of the candidate, the certified academic degree, the scientific area, the topic of the Doctoral Thesis, the overall grade, the signatures of the President and the Dean as well as the seal of the university.
- (2) The Dean implements the Doctorate in a solemn manner by handing over the Certificate of the Doctorate after the candidate had submitted the mandatory copies according to § 16 of these Regulations.
- (3) By the handover of the Certificate of the Doctorate, the candidate acquires the entitlement for use of the Doctoral degree. Thus, the Doctoral Procedure is completed.

V. Invalidity and Legal Remedies

§ 18

Invalidity of Doctoral Performances

- (1) In the case that prior to the handover of the Certificate of the Doctorate, it emerges that the candidate has committed fraud within Doctoral Performances or that fundamental requirements for the admission for a Doctorate (§ 3) had not been fulfilled, the Faculty Board is entitled to declare Doctoral Performances already delivered as entirely or partly invalid. Prior to a decision, the person affected has to be provided the opportunity for a statement.
- (2) In the case that all Doctoral Performances are declared as invalid, the Procedure has to be terminated according to § 11 sec. 1.

§ 19

Withdrawal of Doctoral degree

- (1) The Doctoral degree awarded on the basis of these Regulations is withdrawn in the case that it emerges that it has been acquired by fraud regarding Doctoral requirements or performances or that facts get known which would have excluded its award. Apart from that, § 39 sec. 4 SächsHSFG applies.
- (2) The withdrawal of the Doctoral degree will be done on the basis of a decision of the Faculty Board. Prior to a decision, the person affected should be provided the opportunity for a statement.

§ 20

Appeal

- (1) Appeal against decisions within the Doctoral Procedure is admissible according to §§ 68ff. of the Rules of the Administrative Courts ("Verwaltungsgerichtsordnung"). Legal remedies have to be lodged to the Dean in written form or declared for recording within one month after the notification on the decision. The Dean informs the Faculty Board about the appeal within six weeks after its submission.
- (2) The Faculty Board, after hearing the Doctoral Committee in case of termination of the Procedure according to § 11 or after hearing the Doctoral Commission in case of accepted papers, has to decide within three further months about the appeal (notification on appeal). The notification on appeal is issued in written form with a justification and instructions regarding legal remedies.

§ 21

Access to file

- (1) On request, the candidate is entitled for access to his file of the Doctorate.
- (2) The request has to be submitted in written form within one month after the announcement of the overall grade to the Dean. The Dean determines time and location of the access to the file.

VI. Honors

§ 22

Honorary Doctorate

- (1) The Faculty may on behalf of Chemnitz University of Technology and based on a decision of the Faculty Board award the academic degree and the honors of an "Honorary Doctor of Engineering Sciences" (Dr.-Ing. e. h.) in order to recognize and acknowledge outstanding merits regarding science and technology.
- (2) The personality honored must not be an adherent to Chemnitz University of Technology.
- (3) The application for the award of an Honorary Doctorate has to be submitted and justified by at least two professors of the Faculty. The Faculty Board assesses after gathering two expert opinions of professors of the Faculty and one expert opinion of a professor from beyond the particular merits of the personality to be honored regarding science and technology. For the acceptance of the application, the majority of the votes of the members of the Faculty Board is necessary.
- (4) The award of the Honorary Doctorate is done in a solemn manner (Laudatio) by handing over the Certificate on the award of the Honorary Doctoral Degree by the Dean.

VII. Final provisions

§ 23

Entry into force and Transitional Regulations

- (1) These Doctoral Regulations enter into force at the day after their publication in the Official Announcements of Chemnitz University of Technology ("Amtliche Bekanntmachungen"). It applies to all Doctoral Procedures opened after this point of time.
- (2) Doctoral Procedures which were already opened at this point of time are implemented according to the provisions of the Doctoral Regulations of the Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology at Chemnitz University of Technology dated March 23, 2011 (Amtliche Bekanntmachungen der Technischen Universität Chemnitz No. 10/2011, p. 596).

Issued on the basis of a decision of the Board of the Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology on February 7, 2017 and the approval of the University Management of Chemnitz University of Technology on March 15, 2017.

Chemnitz, April 18, 2017

The Dean
of the Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology of
Chemnitz University of Technology

Prof. Dr. Jan Mehner