

Virtual MathPsych/ICCM 2020 virtual.mathpsych.org July 2020

Extending TransSet: An Individualized Model for Human Syllogistic Reasoning

Brand, Daniel

University of Freiburg, Germany

Syllogistic Reasoning

- Syllogistic reasoning is one of the oldest domains in reasoning research
- Usually, syllogistic tasks have the following form:
 - Two quantified premises with terms A, B, C

Some A are B. Some B are C.

What, if anything, follows?

- Four possible quantifiers: All, Some, No, Some ... not
- Task: Find quantified relation between (A, C) or "No Valid Conclusion" (NVC)
- There are substantial differences between individuals when solving these tasks, yet most models only describe the average reasoner
- "No Valid Conclusion" seems to be a point of vantage: Several biases towards and against NVC are discussed in the field
- \to We use this to extend TransSet, a model that was shown to describe the average reasoner well, to account for individuals^{[1]}

^[1] Brand, D., Riesterer, N., & Ragni, M. (2019). On the Matter of Aggregate Models for Syllogistic Reasoning: A Transitive Set-Based Account for Predicting the Population. In T. Stewart (Ed.), Proceedings of the ICCM 2019 (pp. 5-10).

TransSet consists of two phases:



1. Determine direction

Search for a transitive path between the end-terms to determine the direction of the conclusion

2. Determine quantifier

Propagate a set along the path to determine the quantifier of the conclusion

- If any phase fails, NVC is concluded
- $\rightarrow\,$ The likelihood of a phase to fail might depends on an individual's attitude towards NVC
- $\rightarrow\,$ We introduced parameters to describe the individual characteristics

- We introduced 4 parameters representing biases and strategies, thereof 2 against and 2 towards NVC
 - NVC aversion: Individuals can have a bias against NVC and try to prevent phases from failing
 - NVC anchor: Preference when choosing a term to determine the direction if failing of the direction phase should be prevented
 - Negativity: Reasoners can have strategies to directly infer NVC from negative quantifiers
 - Particularity: Reasoners can have strategies to directly infer NVC from two particular quantifiers
- \to We fitted the model to each participant in the dataset $^{[2]}$ to evaluate the model's ability to cover the individual patterns

^[2]Taken from the CCOBRA framework (https://github.com/CognitiveComputationLab/ccobra)

Results



- The extension improved the model significantly $(44\% \rightarrow 50\%)$
- TransSet outperformed two state-of-the-art models, PHM and mReasoner
- It surpassed the most-frequent answer (MFA), the statistical upper limit for aggregate models
- $\rightarrow\,$ It shows the potential of the individualization of models exploiting specific properties of the domain