

Ein Unternehmen der Salzgitter Gruppe

# **Simulation of Deformation Texture with MTEX**

Dr. M. Witte

Chemnitz, 10th February 2017

## **Overview**



- Salzgitter Mannesmann Forschung GmbH
  - Company Overview
- 5 Introduction
  - Taylor Model
- Plane strain deformation
  - ▼ FCC & BCC
  - Comparison with experiments
  - Effect of shear strains
- Tensile deformation
- Case study: In-situ measurement of recrystallization
- 5 Summary

### **Overview**



# Salzgitter Mannesmann Forschung GmbH

- Company Overview
- 5 Introduction
  - Taylor Model
- Plane strain deformation
  - ▼ FCC & BCC
  - Comparison with experiments
  - Effect of shear strains
- Tensile deformation
- Case study: In-situ measurement of recrystallization
- 5 Summary

## **Introduction - Salzgitter Mannesmann Forschung**



- **One of Europe's leading research institutes in the steel sector**
- Central research company for steel activities in the Salzgitter Group



- Two powerful locations with close thematic ties and cooperation
- **Direct connection to Salzgitter AG/CEO**

SZMF is responsible for ensuring the innovation capability and innovation performance in the business units of strip steel, plate/section steel and Mannesmann

### **Introduction - Salzgitter Mannesmann Forschung**





## **Introduction - SZMF: Concentrated expertise**



300 employees develop the future of all aspects of steel materials – around 130 members of staff in Salzgitter and 170 in Duisburg



# **Scientific disciplines**



Update 2015-01

## **Overview**



Salzgitter Mannesmann Forschung GmbH

# **5** Introduction

- Taylor Model
- Plane strain deformation
  - **FCC & BCC**
  - Comparison with experiments
  - Effect of shear strains
- **5** Tensile deformation
- Case study: In-situ measurement of recrystallization
- **Summary**

# Motivation



- **Solution** Texture influences mechanical properties, especially plastic anisotropy.
- Mayor influences on texture development are plastic deformation, recrystallization, phase transformation and grain growth.
- Understanding of the effect of process parameters on texture development:
  - Optimization
  - Less experiments
  - Design of mechanical properties
- Understanding of texture development allows to determine process history of material:
  - Optimization
  - Characterization
  - Determine failures during processing

# **Taylor Model**



- Von Mises stated, that **at least five slip systems** are necessary for an arbitrary plastic deformation of a crystal [1].
- The shear stress that acts on a dislocation in a certain slip system depends on the Schmid factor [2]:

 $\tau=\sigma m\otimes n$ 

Acc. to Taylor, the combination of five slip systems is activated which leads to the lowest total amount of slip (otherwise voids would form between the crystallites) [3]:

$$A^{(m)} = \tau_0 \sum_{n=n_1}^{n=n_5} \left| \gamma_n^{(m)} \right| = \min$$

This model is purely geometric. For a more exact description of texture development material properties like work hardening, grain size, temperature etc. have to be considered.

[3] G. I. Taylor, J. Inst. Metals 62 (1938) 307

<sup>[1]</sup> R. V. Mises, J. Appl. Math. Mech., (1928) 161–185.

<sup>[2]</sup> E. Schmid, W. Boas, Kristallplastizität mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Metalle, volume 17, J. Springer, 1935.

## **Overview**



Salzgitter Mannesmann Forschung GmbH

# 5 Introduction

# Plane strain deformation

- FCC & BCC
- Comparison with experiments
- Effect of shear strains
- **5** Tensile deformation
- Case study: In-situ measurement of recrystallization

5 Summary

#### **Plane strain deformation**



```
5
     Rolling reduction of 10% of initial thickness in each step
                       % rolling reduction
                       roll = 1:-0.1:0.1;
                       for i=1:9
                          step(i) = roll(i+1)/roll(i);
                       end
                       % strain for each reduction
                       eps = -log(step);
                       % slip systems
                       sS = symmetrise(slipSystem.bcc(CS));
                       for s = 1:length(eps)
                              % strain tensor
                             epsilon = eps(s) * tensor.diag([1 0 -1],'name','strain');
                             numIter = 50; progress(0,numIter);
                             for sas=1:numIter
                                    % compute the Taylor factors and the orientation gradients
                                     [M,~,mori] = calcTaylor(inv(ori) * epsilon ./ numlter, sS.symmetrise);
                                    % rotate the individual orientations
                                    ori = ori .* mori; progress(sas,numlter);
                             end
                       end
```

## **Plane strain deformation – FCC**





- $\bigcirc$  Main texture features ( $\beta$ -fiber) are correctly simulated.
- Seal deformation conditions are not taken into account.

### **Plane strain deformation – BCC**





- $\bigcirc$  Main texture features ( $\alpha$  &  $\gamma$ -fiber) are correctly simulated.
- Seal deformation conditions are not taken into account.

### **Plane strain deformation – BCC**





Setter agreement with original rolling schedule.

 $\bigcirc$  Time for one simulation step ~60 min  $\rightarrow$  Speed up possible?

#### **Plane strain deformation – BCC**





Simulated deformation step size has strong effect on result.

Solution How to determine the optimal deformation step size? (Except trail and error)



[4] O. Engler, Adv. Eng. Mat., 4 (2002) 181–186:

- According to FEM simulations random shear strains occur during rolling.
- Taking these shear strains into account leads to a better agreement of simulated and measured rolling textures.
- $\bigcirc$  The strain tensor then takes the form:

$$\underline{\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \qquad \underline{\epsilon} = \epsilon \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \pm 0.1 & \pm 0.3 \dots 0.15 & \pm 0.6 \dots 0.75 \\ \pm 0.3 \dots 0.15 & 2 \cdot \pm 0.1 & \pm 0.4 \\ \pm 0.6 \dots 0.75 & \pm 0.4 & -1 \pm 0.1 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### **Plane strain deformation – BCC – Engler Shake**





Improved agreement with using "Engler Shake"

#### **Plane strain deformation – BCC – Volume Fractions**



#### Experimental texture Cold rolled IF steel, Reduction ~70%

## <u>Simulated texture</u> With original rolling schedule and "Engler Shake"



- Comparison of volume fractions shows fairly good comparison except for {111}<110> (transition between α- & γ-fiber)
- Overall texture intensity could be equalized by adjusting the ODF kernel halfwidth

## **Shear deformation – BCC**





- Shear textures are rotated by 90° according to the shear directions
- No typical bcc shear textures
  - Also with combined shears, e.g. xy and xz, no typical bcc shear textures could be simulated
- Typical bcc shear rolling texture resembles fcc rolling texture

## **Overview**



- Salzgitter Mannesmann Forschung GmbH
- 5 Introduction
- Plane strain deformation
- **5** Tensile deformation
- Case study: In-situ measurement of recrystallization
- Summary

# **Tensile deformation - FCC – Experiment**



- **Solution** Tensile test of high-Mn TRIP/TWIP steel
- **D** EBSD texture measurements



**Solution** Tensile deformation leads to strengthening of fcc rolling texture.

## **Tensile deformation – FCC – Simulation**





Taylor simulation does not reproduce experimental texture.

# **Tensile deformation – BCC – Experiment**



- **Solution** Tensile test of high-Mn TRIP/TWIP steel
- **Second Second S**



 $\bigcirc$  Tensile deformation leads to strengthening of bcc  $\alpha$ -fiber texture.

# **Tensile deformation – BCC – Simulation**





Taylor simulation does not reproduce experimental texture.

## **Overview**



- Salzgitter Mannesmann Forschung GmbH
- Introduction
- Plane strain deformation
- **5** Tensile deformation
- **O** Case study: In-situ measurement of recrystallization
- 5 Summary

# **Case study – In-situ recrystallization with XRD**

- Anton Paar DHS1100 heating stage with Bruker D8 Discover x-ray diffractometer with area detector.
- Goal: Measurement of recrystallization kinetics via width reduction of x-ray reflexes (= reduction of dislocation density).
- **Measurement conditions:** 
  - Sample: **Dual phase steel**
  - Sample preparation: cold rolled, 1.3 mm thick, grinded to 0.5 mm, electropolished
  - Heat treatment: 700°C, heating rate 5 K/s, atmosphere He-3%H<sub>2</sub>, soaking time 60 min
  - X-ray: Fe<sub>Kα</sub> radiation, integration time 30s, 2θ = 70°, ω=35°, angular coverage ~40° (→ 2 α-Fe reflexes), no sample tilt or rotation







## **Case study – In-situ recrystallization with XRD**





Why does recrystallization proceed slower when determined from {200} reflex?

- Recrystallization time
  - **1** {200}: 9.8 min
  - **111}: 7.5 min**

# **Case study – In-situ recrystallization with XRD**



- The Taylor factor of an orientation is proportional to the density of stored dislocations after deformation [5].
- Pole figures of the Taylor factor should correlate to dislocation density.

```
CS = crystalSymmetry('cubic');
```

```
% define a family of slip systems
sS = slipSystem.bcc(CS);
```

```
% plane strain
epsilon = tensor.diag([1 0 -1],'name','strain');
```

```
% random orientations
ori = orientation.rand(10000,CS);
```

```
% compute Taylor factor for all orientations
[M,~,mori] = calcTaylor(inv(ori)*epsilon,sS.symmetrise);
```

% odf from random orientations with taylor factor as weights odf = calcODF(ori,'weights',M);

```
% plot Taylor pole figures
figure
plotPDF(odf,Miller({1,1,0},{2,0,0},CS),'contourf','grid')
```



- The orientations used to determine the recrystallization with the {200} reflex exhibit a low dislocation density.
- → Smaller driving force for recrystallization
- → Slower recrystallization kinetic

[5] G. Mohamed, B. Bacroix, Acta Materialia 48 (2000) 3295 - 3302.

# **Remark: Recrystallization and stored dislocations**



Orientations with higher dislocation density after deformation have higher nucleation frequencies at subsequent recrystallization [6].



γ-Fibre has higher stored dislocation density und thus strengthens by recrystallization.

# **Remark: Recrystallization and stored dislocations**



Estimation of recrystallization texture by multiplication with Taylor factors.



- **5** Tendency is correct.
- Many factors are not considered, especially oriented growth.

## Summary



- Taylor simulation with MTEX is a valuable extension to understand texture development during deformation up to medium strains.
- Selatively good comparison with experimental rolling textures
- Experimental tensile and shear textures could not be reproduced with simulations
  - Could Sachs model work better? [Stress equilibrium, only 1 active slip system]
- Calculations of Taylor factor distribution can give insight into distribution of dislocation density.

# <u>Wish list</u>

- 5 Speed up
- Implementation of material parameters
- Consideration of real microstructures (like VPSC, GIA or ALAMEL models)

#### No matter what you have planned ...



