A Matrix Model for $\nu_{k_1k_2} = \frac{k_1+k_2}{k_1k_2}$ Fractional Quantum Hall States

A. JELLAL^{1,2*}, E.H. SAIDI², H.B. GEYER³ and R.A. RÖMER^{1†}

¹Institut für Physik Technische Universität, D-09107, Chemnitz, Germany

² Lab/UFR, High Energy Physics, Physics Department, Mohammed V University, Av. Ibn Battouta, P.O. Box. 1014, Rabat, Morocco
 ³ Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Stellenbosch, Private Bag X1, Matieland 7602, South Africa

(Received July 25, 2002)

KEYWORDS: Non-commutative Chern-Simons, Matrix Model Theory, Fractional Quantum Hall (FQH) Fluids

1. Introduction

Recently, Susskind¹⁾ showed that an Abelian noncommutative Chern-Simons theory at level k is actually equivalent to Laughlin theory:²⁾

$$S = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^3 y \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \left[A_\mu \star \partial_\nu A_\lambda + \frac{2}{3} A_\mu \star A_\nu \star A_\lambda \right] \quad (1)$$

where the star–product is the usual Moyal product with parameter θ . Therefore, he obtained the filling factor

$$\nu_{\rm S} = \frac{1}{k}.\tag{2}$$

He also pointed out that the above theory can be formulated in terms of a matrix model involving classical Hermitian matrix variables $A_0, X^i, i = 1, 2$. The Lagrangian for the matrix theory is

$$L = B \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \epsilon_{ij} (\dot{X}^i + i[A_0, X^i]) X^j + 2\theta A_0 \right\}, \quad (3)$$

B is the magnetic field. The equation of motion for the coordinate A_0 (Gauss law constraint) is

$$[X^1, X^2] = i\theta \tag{4}$$

which can only be solved if the matrices are infinite dimensional. This corresponds to an infinite number of electrons on an infinite plane.

For a finite system, Polychronakos³⁾ has introduced an additional set of bosonic degrees of freedom ψ_m , m = 1, 2, ..., M, such that $\psi = (\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_M)$,

$$L_{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} (i\dot{\psi} - A_0\psi). \tag{5}$$

Considering $L + L_{\psi}$, Polychronakos³⁾ found a quantum correction to Susskinds filling factor such that

$$\nu_{\rm P} = \frac{1}{k+1}.\tag{6}$$

In this case, the Gauss law constraint becomes

$$[X^1, X^2] = i\theta \left(\mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{k+1}\psi\psi^{\dagger}\right).$$
(7)

Later Hellerman and Van Raamsdonk⁴⁾ built the corre-

* jellal@gursey.gov.tr

sponding wavefunctions for $L + L_{\psi}$,

$$|k\rangle = \left\{ \epsilon^{i_1 \cdots i_M} (\psi^{\dagger})_{i_1} (\psi^{\dagger} A^{\dagger})_{i_2} \dots (\psi^{\dagger} A^{\dagger}^{M-1})_{i_M} \right\}^k |0\rangle \quad (8)$$

where $\epsilon^{i_1 \cdots i_M}$ is the fully antisymmetric tensor. These are similar to Laughlins wavefunction.²⁾ Subsequently, three of us generalised⁵⁾ the above results to any filling factor given by

$$\nu_{k_1k_2} = \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{1}{k_2}, \qquad k_2 > k_1. \tag{9}$$

In what follows, we propose a matrix model to describe such FQH states that are not of Laughlin type.

2. $\nu_{k_1k_2}$ fractional quantum Hall states

Although the $\nu = \frac{2}{5}$ FQH state is not of the Laughlin type, it shares some basic features of Laughlin fluids. The point is that from the standard definition of the filling factor $\nu = \frac{N}{N_{\phi}}$, the state $\nu = \frac{2}{5}$ can naively be thought of as corresponding to $\nu = \frac{N}{N_{\phi}}$ where the number N_{ϕ} of flux quanta is given by a fractional amount of the electron number; that is

$$N_{\phi} = (3 - \frac{1}{2})N. \tag{10}$$

In fact this way of viewing things reflects the original idea of a hierarchical construction of FQH states for general filling factor $\frac{p}{q}$. In Haldane's hierarchy,⁶⁾ the elements of the series

$$\nu_{p_1 p_2} = \frac{p_2}{p_1 p_2 - 1} \tag{11}$$

correspond to taking N_{ϕ} as given by a specific rational factor of the electron number, i.e.,

$$N_{\phi} = (p_1 - \frac{1}{p_2})N.$$
(12)

Upon setting

$$k_1 = p_1, \quad k_2 = k_1(k_1p_2 - 1) \equiv rk_1$$
 (13)

we have $\nu_{p_1p_2} \equiv \nu_{k_1k_2}$. For $\nu = \frac{2}{5}$, e.g.,

$$\nu = \frac{2}{5} \equiv \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{15}.$$
 (14)

[†] Permanent address: Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Conventry CV4 7AL, UK

3. Matrix model analysis

To describe FQH fluids at $\nu_{k_1k_2}$, we consider the following action for a system of $N = N_1 + N_2$ particles⁵

$$S = \int dt \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[\frac{k_i}{4\theta} \operatorname{Tr} \left(i \bar{Z}_i D Z_i - \omega \bar{Z}_i Z_i + 2\theta A_{0,i} \right) \right] \\ + h.c. + \int dt \left[\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi}^{\alpha a} \left[\partial_t + A_{0,1}{}_{\alpha}^{\beta} \delta^b_a + A_{0,2}{}_a^{b} \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} \right] \Psi_{\beta b} \\ + \lambda \bar{\Psi}^{\alpha a} Z_{1}{}_{\alpha}^{\beta} Z_{2a}^{b} \Psi_{\beta b} \right] + h.c.$$

(15) where $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N_1, 1 \leq a, b \leq N_2, Z_l = X_l^1 + i X_l^2$ and $A_{0,i}$ the gauge for the *i*th particle. The $J_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)}$ and $J_{aa}^{(2)}$ currents (Gauss law constraints) read as

$$J_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)} = [Z_1, \bar{Z}_1]_{\alpha\alpha} + \frac{\theta}{2k_1} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{N_2} \Psi_{\alpha a} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha a} - J_0^{(1)} \right), J_{aa}^{(2)} = [Z_2, \bar{Z}_2]_{aa} + \frac{\theta}{2k_2} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_1} \Psi_{\alpha a} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha a} - J_0^{(2)} \right),$$
(16)

where the two U(1) charge operators $J_0^{(1)}$ and $J_0^{(2)}$ are

$$J_0^{(1)} = J_0^{(2)} = J_0 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_1} \sum_{a=1}^{N_2} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha a} \Psi_{\alpha a} \quad . \tag{17}$$

The wavefunctions $|\Phi\rangle$ describing the (N_1+N_2) system of electrons on the non-commutative plane \mathbb{R}^2_{θ} with filling factor $\nu_{k_1k_2}$ should obey the constraint⁵

$$J_0|\Phi\rangle = \frac{N}{\nu_{k_1k_2}}|\Phi\rangle. \tag{18}$$

Once we know the fundamental state $|\Phi_{\nu_{k_1k_2}}^{(0)}\rangle$, excitations are immediately determined by applying the usual rules. Upon recalling the coordinate operators as

$$Z_{1\alpha\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} r_{\alpha\alpha}^+, \quad Z_{2aa} = \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} s_{aa}^+, \quad (19)$$

the total Hamiltonian ${\mathcal H}$ may be treated as the sum of a free part given by

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(2\mathcal{N}_1 + 2\mathcal{N}_2 + N_1^2 + N_2^2 \right), \qquad (20)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_1 = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N_1} r_{\alpha\beta}^{\dagger} r_{\beta\alpha}^{-}$ and $\mathcal{N}_2 = \sum_{a,b=1}^{N_2} s_{ab}^{\dagger} s_{ba}^{-}$ are the operator numbers counting the N_1 and N_2 particles respectively, and an interacting part

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm int} \sim \left(\psi_{a\alpha}^+ r_{\alpha\beta}^+ \ s_{ab}^- \psi_{\beta b}^- + h.c.\right) \tag{21}$$

describing couplings between the two sectors.⁵⁾ The creation and annihilation operators $r^{\pm}_{\alpha\alpha}$, s^{\pm}_{aa} , and $\psi^{\pm}_{\alpha a}$ satisfy the Heisenberg algebra

$$\begin{bmatrix} (r^{-})^{\alpha}_{\alpha}, (r^{+})^{\beta}_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} (s^{-})^{a}_{a}, (s^{+})^{b}_{b} \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{ab}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} (\psi^{-})^{\alpha a}, (\psi^{+})_{\alpha a} \end{bmatrix} = 1,$$
(22)

all others are given by commuting relations. A way to build the spectrum of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_0 is given by help of the special condensate operators

$$(A^{+})_{a\alpha}^{(n,m)} = \left[(s^{+})^{n-1} \psi^{+} (r^{+})^{m-1} \right]_{a\alpha}.$$
 (23)

The wavefunctions for the vacuum |0> of \mathcal{H}_0 read as

$$\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{N_1}}\prod_{j=1}^p O^{(j)}_{\alpha_{(jN_2+1)}\dots\alpha_{(j+1)N_2}}\right]^{\kappa_1} |0\rangle \qquad (24)$$

where the $O^{(j)}$'s are building blocks and given by

The corresponding energy spectrum $E_c(\nu_{k_1k_2})$ is

$$E_c = k_1 \left[p \frac{(N_2 - 1)(N_2 - 2)}{2} + \frac{(p - 1)(p - 2)}{2} N_2 \right] + \frac{N_1 + N_2}{2}.$$
(26)

Note that for large value of N_1 and N_2 $(N_1 = rN_2)$, $E_c(\nu_{k_1k_2})$ behaves quadratically in N_2 ,

$$E_c(\nu_{k_1k_2} \sim \frac{k_2}{2}N_2^2.$$
 (27)

This energy relation is less than the total energy $E_d(\nu_{k_i})$ of the decoupled configuration $(|\Phi_1, v_{k_1}\rangle \otimes |\Phi_2, v_{k_2}\rangle)$:

$$E_d(\nu_{k_i}) \equiv E\left(\frac{1}{k_1}\right) + E\left(\frac{1}{k_2}\right) \sim \frac{k_2(r+1)}{2} N_2^2.$$
 (28)

Therefore, we have the following relation

$$E_d \sim (r+1) E_c. \tag{29}$$

For the example of the FQH state at $\nu = \frac{2}{5}$, the energy of the decoupled representation reads as

$$E\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + E\left(\frac{1}{15}\right) \sim 45N_2^2$$
 (30)

while that of the interacting one is

$$E_c\left(\frac{2}{5}\right) \sim \frac{15}{2}N_2^2 \tag{31}$$

leading to

$$E\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + E\left(\frac{1}{15}\right) \sim 6E_c\left(\frac{2}{5}\right).$$
 (32)

4. Conclusion

We have developed a matrix model for FQH states at filling factor $\nu_{k_1k_2}$ going beyond the Laughlin theory. To illustrate our idea, we have considered an FQH system of a finite number $N = (N_1 + N_2)$ of electrons with filling factor $\nu_{k_1k_2} \equiv \nu_{p_1p_2} = \frac{p_2}{p_1p_2-1}$; p_1 is an odd integer and p_2 is an even integer. The $\nu_{p_1p_2}$ series corresponds just to the level two of the Haldane hierarchy; it recovers the Laughlin series $\nu_{p_1} = \frac{1}{p_1}$ by going to the limit p_2 large and contains several observable FQH states such as $\nu = \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \cdots$.

- 1) L. Susskind: hep-th/0101029.
- 2) R.B. Laughlin: Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 1395 (1983).
- 3) A.P. Polychronakos: JHEP 0104 (2001) 011.
- 4) S. Hellerman and M. Van Raamsdonk: JHEP 0110 (2001) 039.
- 5) A. Jellal, E.H. Saidi and H.B. Geyer: hep-th/0204248.
- 6) F.D.M Haldane: Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** (1983) 605.