

Preconditioning mixed finite elements for incompressible flow

Andy Wathen
Oxford University

wathen@comlab.ox.ac.uk

<http://web.comlab.ox.ac.uk/~wathen/>

Joint work with:

Howard Elman (University of Maryland, USA)

David Silvester (University of Manchester, UK)

Acknowledgements: EPSRC, British Energy

Steady Incompressible Navier-Stokes:

$$\begin{aligned} -\nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p &= \mathbf{f} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

mixed finite element approximation (or other approx eg. MAC scheme):

$$\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{u}_h = \sum \mathbf{u}_i \phi_i \in \mathbf{X}^h \subset (\mathcal{H}^1)^d$$

$$p \sim p_h = \sum p_k \psi_k \in M^h \subset L_2$$

Galerkin \Rightarrow

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}) & \mathbf{B}^T \\ \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathbf{u} : velocity coefficients, p : pressure coefficients

Steady Incompressible Navier-Stokes:

$$\begin{aligned} -\nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p &= \mathbf{f} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Approximation} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}) & \mathbf{B}^T \\ \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathbf{u} : velocity coefficients, p : pressure coefficients

$\mathbf{B} / \mathbf{B}^T$: discrete divergence/gradient

$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}) = \nu \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{u})$: discrete advection diffusion operator

\mathbf{A} : discrete (vector) Laplacian, \mathbf{N} : advection

Linearisation:

- Slow flow → Stokes

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu A & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Linearisation:

- Slow flow → Stokes

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu A & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Picard (simple fixed point) → Oseen

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu A + N(u^k) & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{(k+1)} \\ p^{(k+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Linearisation:

- Slow flow → Stokes

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu A & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Picard (simple fixed point) → Oseen

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu A + N(u^k) & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u^{(k+1)} \\ p^{(k+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Newton

$$\begin{bmatrix} F(u^k) + M(u^k) & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta u^{(k+1)} \\ \delta p^{(k+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \text{residual}$$

$M(u) = F_u(u) \cdot u$: zeroth order term

Fast solution of these linearised **INDEFINITE** systems:

- Direct (elimination) methods: dimensions $\leq 10^4, 10^5$
- Multigrid: effective smoothers
- Krylov subspace methods (Conjugate Gradients, MINRES , GMRES ,...): **PRECONDITIONING**

An observation (*Murphy, Golub, W*)

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

preconditioned by

- $\begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$ has 3 distinct eigenvalues
- $\begin{bmatrix} H & B^T \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$ has 2 distinct eigenvalues

where $S = BH^{-1}B^T$ (Schur Complement)

⇒ MINRES /GMRES terminates in 3 / 2 iterations

⇒ want approximations \hat{H} , \hat{S} ⇒ 3 / 2 clusters

⇒ fast convergence

$\hat{H}:$

\widehat{H} :

- for **Stokes**: $H = \nu A$ is just discrete Laplacian
⇒ use **multigrid**

\widehat{H} :

- for **Stokes**: $H = \nu A$ is just discrete Laplacian
 \Rightarrow use **multigrid**
- for **Oseen**: $H = F(u^k) = \nu A + N(u^k)$ is discrete advection-diffusion
 \Rightarrow use **multigrid**

\widehat{H} :

- for **Stokes**: $H = \nu A$ is just discrete Laplacian
⇒ use **multigrid**
- for **Oseen**: $H = F(u^k) = \nu A + N(u^k)$ is discrete advection-diffusion
⇒ use **multigrid**
- for **Newton**: $H = F(u^k) + M(u^k)$ is discrete 2nd order operator
⇒ use **multigrid** ?

\hat{S} ? (Schur Complement Approximation)

\hat{S} ? (Schur Complement Approximation)

Stokes: directly use div-stability

$$\gamma \|p\| \leq \sup_{\mathbf{u}} \frac{(\mathbf{p}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})}{\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|}$$

in matrix form:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma (p^T Q p)^{1/2} &\leq \max_{\mathbf{u}} \frac{p^T B \mathbf{u}}{(\mathbf{u}^T A \mathbf{u})^{1/2}} \\ &= \max_{\mathbf{w} = A^{1/2} \mathbf{u}} \frac{p^T B A^{-1/2} \mathbf{w}}{(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w})^{1/2}} \\ &= (p^T B A^{-1} B^T p)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

\hat{S} ? (Schur Complement Approximation)

Stokes: directly use div-stability and boundedness

$$\gamma \|p\| \leq \sup_u \frac{(p, \nabla \cdot u)}{\|\nabla u\|} \leq \Gamma \|p\|$$

in matrix form:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma (p^T Q p)^{1/2} &\leq \max_u \frac{p^T B u}{(u^T A u)^{1/2}} \\ &= \max_{w=A^{1/2}u} \frac{p^T B A^{-1/2} w}{(w^T w)^{1/2}} \\ &= (p^T B A^{-1} B^T p)^{1/2} \leq \Gamma (p^T Q p)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

shows Q , the pressure mass matrix, is (spectrally) equivalent to the Schur complement $B A^{-1} B^T$

\Rightarrow use $\hat{S} = Q$ (or $\text{diag}(Q)$ or diag scaled Conj Grad for Q)

Preconditioner for Stokes:
$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{MG} & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{H} & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{S} \end{bmatrix}$$

Theory (*Silvester and W*): Convergence (in right norm)
independent of h

Practice: number of MINRES iterations for 10^{-6} residual reduction (CPU time)

A_{MG} : 1 V-cycle \widehat{S} : 4 diag scaled Conj Grad iterations

Driven Cavity flow

Grid	Mixed Element				direct
	Q_1-P_0	Q_2-Q_1	Q_2-P_1	Q_2-P_0	
16×16	36 (6)	31 (5)	29 (5)	25 (5)	(.3)
32×32	38 (8)	33 (10)	31 (7)	25 (6)	(3)
64×64	38 (21)	31 (21)	31 (19)	27 (16)	(31)
128×128	37 (76)	31 (74)	29 (69)	27 (59)	(221)
256×256	36 (313)	29 (309)	29 (305)	27 (267)	(8961)

Results from Rene Schneider (Leeds/Chemnitz): P_2-P_1 on 1 processor of a cluster of Sun Fire 6800 with UltraSPARC II Cu 900MHz processors

degrees of freedom	iterations	CPU time	
		for solution	for setup
659	23	2.3e-2	1.5e-1
2467	25	6.4e-1	5.0e-2
9539	25	2.0	1.5e-1
37507	25	1.2e+1	5.9e-1
148739	22	6.9e+1	2.5
592387	24	3.5e+2	1.0e+1
2364419	23	1.5e+3	4.2e+1
9447427	24	6.7e+3	1.7e+2
37769219	24	2.7e+4	6.8e+2

\hat{S} ? (Schur Complement Approximation)

Oseen: non-symmetric $S = BF^{-1}B^T$, $F = \nu A + N$,
advection-diffusion

Note: $BB^T \sim \nabla \cdot \nabla \sim QA_p$: discrete Laplacian on
pressure space

If F_p is similarly an advection-diffusion operator on the
pressure space, can expect

$$FB^T \sim B^T F_p$$

$$\Rightarrow BB^T \sim BF^{-1}B^T F_p = SF_p$$

$$\Rightarrow S^{-1} \sim F_p(BB^T)^{-1} \sim F_p A_p^{-1} Q^{-1}$$

Outcome: choose $\hat{S}^{-1} = F_p A_p^{-1} Q^{-1}$ (Kay & Loghin)

with $A_p^{-1} \rightarrow$ MG cycle and $Q^{-1} \rightarrow$ diag scaled Conj Grad

Oseen preconditioner: $\begin{bmatrix} F_{MG} & B^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{S} \end{bmatrix}$, $\hat{S}^{-1} = F_p A_p^{-1} Q^{-1}$

This is the **Pressure Convection-Diffusion Preconditioner**

Theory (*Krzyzanowski, Loghin, Elman, Silvester & W*):

Eigenvalues bounded **independent of h**

(\Rightarrow GMRES convergence bounded independent of h?)

Mild dependence on ν

Practice: number of GMRES iterations for Oseen solve (zero initial vector), driven cavity flow

Grid	$Q_2 - Q_1$			$Q_1 - P_0$		
	$\nu = 1/10$	1/100	1/1000	1/10	1/100	1/1000
8×8	14	24	75	18	28	56
16×16	15	25	76	18	28	80
32×32	15	26	65	18	28	83
64×64	16	26	55	18	28	66

3-D Driven Cavity flow, $u_{\text{top}} = (1/\sqrt{3}, 2/\sqrt{3}, 0)$

Maximum number of GMRES iterations for each Picard iteration (Oseen solve)

Q_2-Q_1 element

degrees of freedom	$\nu = 1/Re$			
	1/20	1/40	1/80	1/160
6934	31	39	49	58
15468	30	37	51	64
49072	29	36	48	64
112724	28	35	45	61

(results from David Kay)

3-D Driven Cavity flow, $u_{\text{top}} = (1/\sqrt{3}, 2/\sqrt{3}, 0)$

Maximum number of GMRES iterations for each Picard iteration (Oseen solve)

Q_2-Q_1 element, degrees of freedom: 49072

element aspect ratio:

maximum edge length/minimum edge length

element aspect ratio	$\nu = 1/Re$		
	1/50	1/100	1/200
1	40	52	67
2	37	49	63
4	38	47	59
8	45	49	61

Comment: alternative derivation (using Greens tensors)
and analysis of ν -dependence:

Kay, Loghin & W, Elman, Silvester & W, Loghin & W

Comment: alternative derivation (using Greens tensors) and analysis of ν -dependence:

Kay, Loghin & W, Elman, Silvester & W, Loghin & W

Also alternative component preconditioning blocks can easily be used:

Results from Vicki Howle (Sandia National Lab, USA) using (Smoothed Aggregation) Algebraic Multigrid

Grid	$\nu = 1/Re$			
	1	1/10	1/20	1/100
$8 \times 8 \times 8$	13	19	20	23
$16 \times 16 \times 16$	16	21	25	36
$32 \times 32 \times 32$	17	23	27	41

Preconditioner for **Newton**: as $M(u^k)$ is zeroth order, use

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_1(u^k) + M_{1,1}(u^k) & M_{1,2}(u^k) & B^T \\ 0 & F_2(u^k) + M_{2,2}(u^k) & \\ & 0 & \hat{S} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\hat{S}^{-1} = F_p A_p^{-1} Q^{-1}$ (as before for **Oseen**)

Theory: eigenvalues bounded and bounded away from 0 **independently of h** (*Elman, Loghin & W*)

Practice: needs approximations to $F_i(u^k) + M_{i,i}(u^k)$ as well as multigrid cycles for A_p , Conj Grad for Q and construction of F_p (multiply).

Driven cavity: number of GMRES iterations for first **Newton** iteration

Grid	Q_2-Q_1			Q_1-P_0		
	$\nu = 1/10$	1/100	1/1000	1/10	1/100	1/1000
8×8	16	30	83	20	36	>200
16×16	16	32	139	20	37	135
32×32	17	34	141	20	37	164
64×64	17	34	128	19	37	162

Alternative **algebraic** preconditioner for **Oseen/ Newton**
(*Elman*):
instead of

$$FB^T \sim B^T F_p$$

start from

$$BFB^T \sim BB^T F_p$$

$$\Rightarrow (BB^T)^{-1} BFB^T A_p^{-1} Q^{-1} \sim F_p A_p^{-1} Q^{-1}$$

so

$$(BB^T)^{-1} BFB^T (BB^T)^{-1} \sim S^{-1}$$

and $(BB^T)^{-1} \sim (\nabla \cdot \nabla)^{-1} \Rightarrow$ **use (Laplace) multigrid!**

Alternative **algebraic** preconditioner for **Oseen/ Newton**
(*Elman*):
instead of

$$FB^T \sim B^T F_p$$

start from

$$BFB^T \sim BB^T F_p$$

$$\Rightarrow (BB^T)^{-1} BFB^T A_p^{-1} Q^{-1} \sim F_p A_p^{-1} Q^{-1}$$

In fact with the correct mesh scaling:

$$\hat{S}^{-1} = (BD^{-1}B^T)^{-1} BD^{-1} FD^{-1} B^T (BD^{-1}B^T)^{-1} \sim S^{-1}$$

where D is diagonal of velocity mass matrix D .

This is the **Least-Squares Commutator Preconditioner**

$$\hat{S}^{-1} = (BD^{-1}B^T)^{-1}BD^{-1}FD^{-1}B^T(BD^{-1}B^T)^{-1} \sim S^{-1}$$

Note again only **multiply** by advection-diffusion operator F and multigrid cycles for Laplacian, **but** mild mesh-dependence of convergence for this algebraic preconditioner

Practice: number of GMRES iterations for Oseen solve (zero initial vector), driven cavity flow, Q_2-Q_1 mixed element

Grid	Oseen			Newton		
	$\nu = 1/10$	1/100	1/1000	1/10	1/100	1/1000
8×8	8	13	55	8	18	78
16×16	11	16	62	11	21	106
32×32	14	21	55	15	27	107
64×64	18	27	45	19	34	95

Important points:

- need only approximate solvers/preconditioners for Laplacian and advection-diffusion
- simple multigrid for such scalar problems can be applied
- any (stable or stabilised) discretisation
- need advection-diffusion operator for pressure space

Main Reference

Elman, H.C., Silvester, D.J. & Wathen, A.J., 2005,
Finite Elements and Fast Iterative Solvers with Applications
in Incompressible Fluid Dynamics,
Oxford University Press, 2005

and associated matlab
software: IFISS freely
downloadable from

www.manchester.ac.uk/ifiss

