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#### Abstract

In this paper a generalization of a problem of Erdős and Rothschild is considerd. Given an integer $r \geq 1$ and a graph $F$, an $r$-pattern $P$ of $F$ is a partition of its edge set into at most $r$ nonempty classes. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pattern family, which is an arbitrary non-empty family whose elements are of the form $(F, P)$, where $F$ is a graph and $P$ is an $r$-pattern of $F$. An $r$-coloring of a graph $G$ is $\mathcal{P}$-free if $G$ does not contain any copy of $F$ for which the $r$-pattern $P^{\prime}$ induced by the coloring is isomorphic to some $r$-pattern $P$ where $(F, P) \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)$ be the set of all $\mathcal{P}$-free $r$-colorings of a graph $G$. Let $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(n)=\max \left\{\left|\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)\right|:|V(G)|=n\right\}$. An $n$-vertex graph $G$ is $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal if $\left|\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)\right|=c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(n)$. We wish to characterize the $n$-vertex graphs that admit the largest number of $\mathcal{P}$-free $r$-colorings.

It is shown that, for some choices of $r$ and $\mathcal{P}$, and for every positive integer $n$, there exists an $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal $n$-vertex graph that is a complete multipartite graph. Moreover, it is shown, that in some cases, all $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal $n$-vertex graphs must be complete multipartite. In the case of pattern families with a single element, this extends recent results of Benevides, Hoppen and Sampaio [4] who proved that there is an $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal $n$-vertex complete multipartite graph for any $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(K_{k}, P\right)\right\}$ where $k \geq 3$ and $P$ is a pattern of the complete graph $K_{k}$.


## 1. Introduction

In the last decade there has been growing interest in an extremal problem about graphs (or other combinatorial structures) that admit a large number of edge-colorings* that satisfy some restrictions. This became known as the Erdős-Rothschild problem. In this paper, we consider a generalized version of this extremal problem and discuss properties of the configurations that achieve extremality.

For us, an $r$-(edge)-coloring of a graph $G$ is just a function $f: E(G) \longrightarrow[r]$ that associates a color in $[r]=\{1, \ldots, r\}$ with each edge of $G$. Given an integer $r \geq 2$ and a fixed graph $F$, we say that an $r$-coloring $E=E_{1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{r}$ of the edge-set of a host graph $G=(V, E)$ is $F$-free if the graphs $G_{i}=\left(V, E_{i}\right)$ do not contain $F$ as a subgraph, for all $i \in[r]$. The problem originally addressed by Erdős and Rothschild [6] was to characterize the $n$-vertex graphs that admit the largest number of $F$-free $r$ colorings, where $n$ is an integer. In other words, they considered edge-colorings that avoid monochromatic copies of a fixed graph $F$.

Erdős and Rothschild conjectured that, for all $n \geq n_{0}(k)$, the number of $K_{k}$-free 2-colorings is maximized by the Turán graph $T_{k-1}(n)$, namely the balanced, complete, ( $k-1$ )-partite graph on $n$ vertices, and that this maximum is unique up to isomorphism.

[^0]As usual, a graph $G=(V, E)$ is complete s-partite if there is a partition $V=V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{s}$ of its vertex set such that $\{v, w\} \in E$ if and only if $v \in V_{i}, w \in V_{j}$ and $i \neq j$. This partition is balanced if $\| V_{i}\left|-\left|V_{j}\right|\right| \leq 1$ for all $i, j \in[s]$.

According to the Erdős-Rothschild Conjecture, finding an $n$-vertex graph with the largest number of $K_{k}$-free 2-colorings turns out to be the same as finding an $n$-vertex graph with the largest number of edges and no copy of $K_{k}$ as a subgraph, the wellknown Turán problem [16]. In general, for any fixed $F$, we write ex $(n, F)$ to denote the maximum number of edges over all $n$-vertex graphs that do not contain $F$ as a subgraph, and we say that an $n$-vertex graph $G$ is $F$-extremal if it has ex $(n, F)$ edges and does not contain $F$ as a subgraph. Observe that $F$-extremal graphs on $n$ vertices have the largest number of edges among all graphs that may be colored arbitrarily without producing a monochromatic copy of $F$, which leads to $r^{\operatorname{ex}(n, F)}$ colorings. The number of colorings might increase if we have more than ex $(n, F)$ edges to color, but extra edges lead to copies of $F$, creating restrictions on how to color them.

Yuster [17] proved the Erdős-Rothschild Conjecture for $k=3$ and any $n \geq 6$. Later, Alon, Balogh, Keevash and Sudakov [1] proved that, for $r \in\{2,3\}$ and $n \geq n_{0}$, where $n_{0}$ is a constant depending on $r$ and $k$, the Turán graph $T_{k-1}(n)$ is the unique optimal $n$ vertex graph for the number of $K_{k}$-free $r$-colorings. An interesting feature of their proof was to apply the Szemerédi Regularity Lemma [15] to obtain an exact result, which, on the other hand, required $n_{0}$ to be very large. The value of $n_{0}$ has been recently improved by Hàn and Jiménez [7] using the Container Method. When the number of colors satisfies $r \geq 4$, the problem has shown to be much harder and it is known that $T_{k-1}(n)$ cannot maximize the number of $K_{k}$-free $r$-colorings. Pikhurko and Yilma [13] determined, for large $n$, the graphs that admit the largest number of such colorings for $r=4$ and $k \in\{3,4\}$. For $k=3$, Botler et al. [5] characterized these graphs for $r=6$, and have an approximate result for $r=5$. As it turns out, the extremal configurations obtained so far are always some balanced complete multipartite graph $T_{\ell}(n)$, but $\ell \geq k$ for $r \geq 4$. Interestingly, even in this small sample of results, the value of $\ell$ is not a monotone non-decreasing function of $r$.

This problem has been generalized in a few different ways, by considering colorings where the size of the forbidden clique may vary according to the color class [12], or colorings where the forbidden graph is not colored according to some given coloring [2] or according to some given coloring pattern [4, 9]. Here, we consider an extension of this last version.

Given an integer $r \geq 1$ and a graph $F$, an $r$-pattern $P$ of $F$ is a partition of its edge set into at most $r$ nonempty classes. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pattern family, namely an arbitrary non-empty family whose elements are of the form $(F, P)$, where $F$ is a graph and $P$ is an $r$-pattern of $F$. We say that an $r$-coloring of a graph $G$ is $\mathcal{P}$-free if $G$ does not contain any copy of $F$ for which the $r$-pattern $P^{\prime}$ induced by the coloring is isomorphic to some $r$-pattern $P$ where $(F, P) \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)$ be the set of all $\mathcal{P}$-free $r$-colorings of a graph $G$. We write $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(n)=\max \left\{\left|\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)\right|:|V(G)|=n\right\}$, and we say that an $n$-vertex graph $G$ is $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal if $\left|\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)\right|=c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(n)$. In other words, we wish to characterize the $n$-vertex graphs that admit the largest number of $\mathcal{P}$-free $r$-colorings.

In the version of $[4,9]$, the family $\mathcal{P}$ contains a single pair $(F, P)$, while in the original Erdős-Rothschild problem this single pattern $P$ is monochromatic, that is, contains a single class. Moreover, if the family $\mathcal{P}$ contains all possible $r$-patterns of a
fixed graph $F$ with at least one edge, where $r \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $G$ is $F$-free, and hence $G$ is $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal if and only if it is $F$-extremal. This means that the problem considered here generalizes the Turán problem, further illustrating the connection between the Turán and the Erdős-Rothschild problems.

The following result collects other immediate consequences of the definition. To state it, we need to introduce some additional notation. The number of classes in a pattern $P$ is denoted by $\gamma(P)$ and, for a pattern family $\mathcal{P}$, we have $\gamma_{\min }(\mathcal{P})=\min \{\gamma(P):(F, P) \in$ $\mathcal{P}\}$. Given a pattern family $\mathcal{P}$, let $\chi_{\min }(\mathcal{P})=\min \{\chi(F):(F, P) \in \mathcal{P}\}$, where $\chi(F)$ denotes the (vertex) chromatic number of $F$.

Proposition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ be pattern families, and let $r \geq 2$ be an integer.
(a) If $r<\gamma_{\text {min }}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$, then $c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{1}}(n)=r^{\binom{n}{2}}$ and $K_{n}$ is the unique $\left(r, \mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$-extremal graph.
(b) If $\mathcal{P}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{2}$, then $c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{1}}(n) \geq c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{2}}(n)$. Moreover, if $s=\chi_{\min }\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)-1$ and the $s$ partite Turán graph $T_{s}(n)$ is $\left(r, \mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$-extremal, then $T_{s}(n)$ is also $\left(r, \mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$-extremal.

Proof. Part (a) is trivial, as no $r$-coloring can ever produce a forbidden pattern.
For part (b), fix $r \geq 2$ and consider pattern families $\mathcal{P}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{2}$. For any graph $G$, every ( $r, \mathcal{P}_{2}$ )-free coloring is also $\left(r, \mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$-free, so that $\left|\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}_{1}}(G)\right| \geq\left|\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}_{2}}(G)\right|$. This immediately implies $c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{1}}(n) \geq c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{2}}(n)$. Assume that the Turán graph $T_{s}(n)$ is $\left(r, \mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$ extremal, where $s=\chi_{\text {min }}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)-1$. This choice of $s$ implies that no forbidden pattern can be produced by coloring the edges of $T_{s}(n)$. As a consequence, for any $n$-vertex graph $G$, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}_{2}}\left(T_{s}(n)\right)\right|=r^{\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{s+1}\right)}=\left|\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}_{1}}\left(T_{s}(n)\right)\right| \geq\left|\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}_{1}}(G)\right| \geq\left|\mathcal{C}_{r, \mathcal{P}_{2}}(G)\right|
$$

and therefore $T_{s}(n)$ is $\left(r, \mathcal{P}_{2}\right)$-extremal.
The aim of this paper is to show that, for some choices of $r$ and $\mathcal{P}$, and for every positive integer $n$, there exists an $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal $n$-vertex graph that is a complete multipartite graph. Moreover, we show that, in some cases, all ( $r, \mathcal{P}$ )-extremal $n$-vertex graphs must be complete multipartite. In the case of pattern families with a single element, Benevides, Hoppen and Sampaio [4] proved that there is an ( $r, \mathcal{P}$ )-extremal $n$-vertex complete multipartite graph for any $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(K_{k}, P\right)\right\}$ where $k \geq 3$ and $P$ is a pattern of $K_{k}$. If $P$ is monochromatic, this is also implied by Pikhurko, Staden and Yilma [12] (whose result also holds for a different generalization of the original Erdős Rothschild problem).

Theorem 1.2. Fix integers $r \geq 2$ and $n>k \geq 3$, and let $P$ be an $r$-pattern of the complete graph $K_{k}$. Then there exists an n-vertex $(r, P)$-extremal graph that is a complete multipartite graph.

Regarding instances where all $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal $n$-vertex graphs are necessarily complete multipartite, the following is known for singletons $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(K_{k}, P\right)\right\}$.

Theorem 1.3. [4, Theorem 1.2] Let $r \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$ be integers and let $P$ be an $r$-pattern of the complete graph $K_{k}$ which is not monochromatic and is different from the pattern $T_{0}$. Also assume that if $r=2$ then $P$ is different from the pattern $P_{2}$ (see Figure 1). If $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(K_{k}, P\right)\right\}$, then every $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal graph is a complete multipartite graph.

$T_{0}$
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Figure 1. Some special 2-patterns: $T_{0}$ a triangle $K_{3}$ colored by exactly two colors and $P_{2}$ a complete $K_{4}$ colored by exactly two colors as shown

One of our main results is that Theorem 1.2 may be generalized to any pattern family of complete graphs.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pattern family of complete graphs and let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. For any positive integer $n$, there exists an $n$-vertex complete multipartite graph $G^{*}$ that is $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal. Moreover, for any $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal $n$-vertex graph $G$, there is one such $n$-vertex complete multipartite graph $G^{*}$ such that $\left|E\left(G^{*}\right)\right| \geq|E(G)|$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.4 has the following useful consequence.
Theorem 1.5. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pattern family of complete graphs and $n, r \geq 2$ be integers. If there exists an $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal graph that is not complete multipartite, then there exist at least two non-isomorphic $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal complete multipartite graphs on $n$ vertices.

Regarding extensions of Theorem 1.3, we show that it holds for a class of pattern families. Given integers $k \geq 3$ and $1 \leq s \leq\binom{ k}{2}$, let $\mathcal{P}_{k, s}$ be the pattern family containing all patterns $P$ of $K_{k}$ such that $\gamma(P) \geq s$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $n, r \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$ be integers, and fix $k \leq s \leq\binom{ k}{2}$. If $G$ is an $n$-vertex $\left(r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}\right)$-extremal graph, then $G$ is a complete multipartite graph.

## 2. Extremal configurations for pattern families of complete graphs

The results in this paper may be derived with the approach in [4], which in turn was influenced by the Zykov Symmetrization proof of Turán's Theorem.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a non-empty, and possibly infinite, set of indices, and let

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left\{\left(K_{k_{i}}, P_{i}\right): i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}
$$

be a pattern family, where $k_{i} \geq 3$ and $P_{i}$ is a pattern of $K_{k_{i}}$, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$. We shall prove that, given positive integers $n$ and $r \geq 2$, there is an $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal $n$-vertex graph that is a complete multipartite graph.

For a vector $\vec{x}$ with coordinates indexed by a set $T$, we will denote by $x(t)$ the value of $x$ at coordinate $t$, where $t \in T$. We will use $\|\vec{x}\|_{p}$ to denote the $\ell_{p}$-norm of $\vec{x}$, so for $p \in(0, \infty)$ we have

$$
\|x\|_{p}=\left(\sum_{t \in T}|x(t)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Moreover, for a sequence of vectors $\overrightarrow{x_{1}}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{x_{s}}$, each indexed by $T$, we will denote their pointwise product by $\prod_{k=1}^{s} \vec{x}_{k}$, that is, the vector $\vec{y}$ such that for each $t \in T$ we have $y(t)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}(t)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $H$ be a graph and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a family of r-patterns. If $H$ is a subgraph of a graph $G$ and $\widehat{H}$ is a $\mathcal{P}$-free $r$-coloring of $H$, we denote by $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G \mid \widehat{H})$ the number of ways to $r$-color the edges in $E(G)-E(H)$ in such a way that the resulting coloring is still $\mathcal{P}$-free. For a single vertex $v \in V(G)-V(H)$, we use the notation $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(v, \widehat{H})$ for the number of ways to r-color the edges from $v$ to $V(H)$ (again avoiding $\mathcal{P}$ ). We also define $\vec{v}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}$ as the vector indexed by all $\mathcal{P}$-free $r$-colorings of $H$, whose coordinate corresponding to a coloring $\widehat{H}$ is given by $\vec{v}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}(\widehat{H})=c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(v, \widehat{H})$.

The following proposition is a simple consequence of the fact that all graphs in the pattern family are complete.
Proposition 2.2. If $H$ is an induced subgraph of $G$ such that $S=V(G)-V(H)$ is an independent set in $G$, and $\widehat{H}$ is a $\mathcal{P}$-free $r$-coloring of $H$, then

$$
c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G \mid \widehat{H})=\prod_{v \in S} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(v, \widehat{H})
$$

We shall also use the following consequence of Hölder's inequality.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\vec{x}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{x}_{s}$ be complex-valued vectors indexed by the same set $T$. We have

$$
\left\|\prod_{k=1}^{s} \vec{x}_{k}\right\|_{1} \leq \prod_{k=1}^{s}\left\|\vec{x}_{k}\right\|_{s}
$$

Equality happens if and only if, for every $i, j \in[s]$, there exists $\alpha_{i, j}$ with the property that $x_{i}(t)^{s}=\alpha_{i, j} x_{j}(t)^{s}$ for all $t \in T$.
Definition 2.4. Two vertices of a graph are said to be twins if they are non-adjacent and have the same neighborhood. Cloning $a$ vertex $v$ of a graph $G$ means to create $a$ new graph $\widetilde{G}$ whose vertex set is $V(G) \cup\{\widetilde{v}\}$ where $\widetilde{v}$ is a new vertex which is a twin of $v$.

For the next lemma we consider the following operation: take an independent set $S$ of a graph $G$, select a particular vertex $v \in S$, delete all vertices in $S-v$ and add $s-1$ new twins of $v$. For some vertex $v \in S$, we produce a new graph which has at least as many good colorings as $G$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a family of $r$-patterns. Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices, $\emptyset \neq$ $S \subset V(G)$ be an independent set with $s=|S|, H=G-S$, and $A=V(G)-S$. There exists a vertex $v \underset{\widetilde{S}}{ } \in S$ with the following property: if we construct the graph $\widetilde{G}$ with $V(\widetilde{G})=V(H) \cup \widetilde{S}$, where $\widetilde{S}$ is an independent set on $s$ vertices, each of which is a twin of $v$, and $\widetilde{G}[A]=G[A]$, then:
(1) $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(\widetilde{G}) \geq c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)$;
(2) if $G$ is $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal, then for each vertices $u, w \in S$ we must have $\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}=$ $\vec{w}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}$.
Proof. Let $S$ be any independent set in $G$, and let $H=G-S$. For each vertex $u \in S$, consider the vector $\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}$ as in Definition 2.1. By Proposition 2.2, the total number of $\mathcal{P}$-free $r$-colorings of $G$ is

$$
c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)=\sum_{\widehat{H}} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G \mid \widehat{H})=\sum_{\widehat{H}} \prod_{u \in S} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H})=\left\|\prod_{u \in S} \vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{1}
$$

where the sums are taken over all possible $\mathcal{P}$-free $r$-colorings $\widehat{H}$ of $H$. (For the last equality we also used that every coordinate of $\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}$ is non-negative).

Let $v$ be a vertex in $S$ for which $\left\|\vec{v}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{s}$ is maximum. This fact, together with Hölder's Inequality (Lemma 2.3), gives us:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\prod_{u \in S} \vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{1} \leq \prod_{u \in S}\left\|\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{s} \leq\left\|\vec{v}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{s}^{s} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for the graph $\widetilde{G}$ defined in the statement of this lemma, we have:

$$
c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(\widetilde{G})=\sum_{\widehat{H}} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(v, \widehat{H})^{s}=\left\|\vec{v}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{s}^{s} .
$$

Therefore, $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(\widetilde{G}) \geq c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)$, proving part (1).
To prove part (2), assume $G$ is extremal and $\widetilde{G}$ is as above. Since $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(\widetilde{G}) \geq c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)$, we must have $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(\widetilde{G})=c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)$. Therefore, we must also have equality in both inequalities in (1). From the second one, it follows that for every vertex $u \in S$, we must have $\left\|\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{s}=\left\|\vec{v}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{s}$. From the first one, where we may use the equality conditions of Lemma 2.3, the fact that $\left\|\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{s}=\left\|\vec{v}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{s}$, together with the fact that all our vectors have only non-negative entries, implies that $\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}=\vec{v}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}$.

Corollary 2.6. If $G$ is an $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal graph, and $u, v \in V(G)$ are any non-adjacent vertices, then deleting $v$ and cloning $u$ produces a graph that is also extremal.

Proof. Since $G$ is extremal, by Lemma 2.5 part (2) with $S=\{u, v\}$ and $G_{u v}=G-$ $\{u, v\}$, we must have $\vec{u}_{G_{u v}, r, \mathcal{P}}=\vec{v}_{G_{u v}, r, \mathcal{P}}$, therefore replacing $v$ by a twin of $u$ (or $u$ by a twin of $v$ ) does not change the number of $(r, \mathcal{P})$-free colorings of the graph.

By repeatedly applying Corollary 2.6 above, we shall show that Theorem 1.4 holds, namely that there exists a complete multipartite graph on $n$ vertices that is ( $r, \mathcal{P}$ )extremal.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $G$ be any $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal graph on $n$ vertices. We will build a sequence $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}$ of extremal graphs, each on $n$ vertices, where $G_{0}=G$, and $G_{t}=G^{*}$ is the desired complete multipartite graph. We do it in such a way that, for $i \geq 1$, we have $V\left(G_{i}\right)=S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{i} \cup R_{i}$, where for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, i\}$, the set $S_{j}$ is an independent set and every vertex in $S_{j}$ is adjacent to every vertex outside $S_{j}$ (including those in $R_{i}$ ), but we have no control of the edges inside $R_{i}$. It will also hold that $R_{t} \subset R_{t-1} \subset \cdots \subset R_{1} \subset V(G)$, and $R_{t}$ will be independent.

To simplify the notation, we also define $R_{0}=V\left(G_{0}\right)=V(G)$. Assume that we have constructed $G_{i}$, for some $i \geq 0$. If $R_{i}$ is an independent set, we have found a complete multipartite graph which is extremal, so we can set $t=i$ and stop. Otherwise, let $v_{i}$ be any vertex of $R_{i}$ that has a neighbor in $R_{i}$. Note that, by the definition of $G_{i}$, all non-neighbors of $v_{i}$ belong to $R_{i}$. Let $\bar{d}_{i}$ be the number of non-neighbors of $v_{i}$. We can obtain $G_{i+1}$ by applying Corollary 2.6 successively $\bar{d}_{i}$ times, deleting each non-neighbor of $v_{i}$ and adding twins of $v_{i}$ (one by one). Let $S_{i+1}$ be the set formed by $v_{i}$ and its new twins and let $R_{i+1}$ to be the set of neighbors of $v_{i}$ in $R_{i}$. Observe that $R_{i+1}$ is strictly smaller than $R_{i}$ since it does not contain $v_{i}$. It is also important to notice that, when
we use Corollary 2.6 in each step we apply it to the entire graph $G_{i}$ and not only to $G_{i}\left[R_{i}\right]$.

To construct a graph $G^{*}$ with the property that $\left|E\left(G^{*}\right)\right| \geq|E(G)|$, it suffices to choose always a vertex $v_{i} \in R_{i}$ with maximum degree.

Proposition 2.7. Let $G$ be a graph with at least three vertices, which is not complete multipartite. Then, there exist three vertices vertices $u, v, w$ such that $u v, u w \notin E(G)$ and $v w \in E(G)$.

Proof. Let $V(G)=V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{t}$ be a partition of the vertex set of $G$, where each class is an independent set and $t$ is minimum among all such partitions. As long as there exists a vertex $v \in V_{j}$ which has no neighbors in class $V_{i}, i<j$, we move $v$ to $V_{i}$. Then, every vertex $v \in V_{j}$ has at least one neighbor in every class $V_{i}$ for all $i<j$. As $G$ is not complete multipartite, there exist vertices $u \in V_{g}$ and $v \in V_{h}$ for some $g \neq h$, which do not form an edge. As $v$ has at least one neighbor $w \in V_{g}-u$ it is $u w \notin E(G)$, as $V_{i}$ is an independent set.

The following result, in combination with Proposition 2.7 , implies that any $(r, \mathcal{P})$ extremal graph may also be turned into an extremal multipartite graph by removing edges.

Lemma 2.8 (Edge deletion lemma). Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pattern family of complete graphs and let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $G$ be an $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal graph. For any vertices $u, v, w$ such that uv, uw $\notin E(G)$ and $v w \in E(G)$, if we delete the edge $v w$, then the resulting graph is still extremal.

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph as in the statement. Fix vertices $u, v, w$ such that $u v, u w \notin$ $E(G)$ and $v w \in E(G)$.

Let $H=G-\{u, v, w\}$, and $H^{x}=G[V(H) \cup x]$ for $x \in\{u, v, w\}$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting the edge $v w$ (but not the vertices $u$ or $v$ ), and let $G^{*}$ be the graph obtained from $H^{u}$ by adding another two clones of $u$, say $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. By Corollary 2.6, the graph $G^{*}$ is also extremal, as we may first apply the replacement operation to the pair $u, v$ (deleting $v$ and adding $u_{1}$ ) and apply it again to the pair $u, w$. Therefore, $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)=c_{r, \mathcal{P}}\left(G^{*}\right)$.

Applying Proposition 2.2 to $G^{*}$ with $S=\left\{u, u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, we have

$$
c_{r, \mathcal{P}}\left(G^{*}\right)=\sum_{\widehat{H}} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}\left(G^{*} \mid \widehat{H}\right)=\sum_{\widehat{H}} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H})^{3}=\left\|\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}^{3},
$$

where the sum is taken over all $\mathcal{P}$-free $r$-colorings of $H$.
Observe that, with an analogous computation, if we start from $H$ and add three clones of $w$ instead of $u$, the resulting graph has $\left\|\vec{w}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}^{3}$ good colorings. However, Proposition 2.2 cannot be applied, and we do not know if this graph is extremal, so we have only

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\vec{w}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}^{3} \leq\left\|\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}^{3} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since there are no edges from $u$ to $\{v, w\}$, we can compute $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G) & =\sum_{\widehat{H}}\left(c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H}) \cdot c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G-u \mid \widehat{H})\right) \\
& =\sum_{\widehat{H}}\left(c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H}) \cdot\left(\sum_{\widehat{H^{w}} \mid \widehat{H}} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}\left(v, \widehat{H^{w}}\right)\right)\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the inner sum is taken over the good colorings of $H^{w}$ that extend a given good coloring of $H$, that is, over the colorings of the edges from $w$ to $H$, for which the resulting coloring is good. By Lemma 2.5 (2), since $G$ is extremal and $u v \notin E(G)$, we have $\vec{v}_{H^{w}, r, \mathcal{P}}=\vec{u}_{H^{w}, r, \mathcal{P}}$, that is $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}\left(v, \widehat{H^{w}}\right)=c_{r, \mathcal{P}}\left(u, \widehat{H^{w}}\right)$ for every $\widehat{H^{w}}$. Finally, note that $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}\left(u, \widehat{H^{w}}\right)$ does not depend on the colors of the edges from $w$ to $H$, so $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}\left(u, \widehat{H^{w}}\right)=c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H})$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G) & =\sum_{\widehat{H}}\left(c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H})\left(\sum_{\widehat{H^{w}} \mid \widehat{H}} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H})\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\widehat{H}}\left(c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H}) c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H}) \sum_{\widehat{H w} \mid \widehat{H}} 1\right) \\
& =\sum_{\widehat{H}} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H})^{2} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(w, \widehat{H}) \\
& \leq\left\|\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}\left\|\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}\left\|\vec{w}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}  \tag{4}\\
& \leq\left\|\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}^{3} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that to get (4) we used Hölder's Inequality (Lemma 2.3), and (5) follows from (2). Finally, since $c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(G)=\left\|\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}^{3}$, we must have equality in both (4) and (5), which in turn leads to $\left\|\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}=\left\|\vec{w}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}\right\|_{3}$. The equality condition in Lemma 2.3 implies that $\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}=\vec{w}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}$. Analogously, $\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}=\vec{v}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}}$. It follows that

$$
c_{r, \mathcal{P}}\left(G^{*}\right)=\sum_{\widehat{H}} c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(u, \widehat{H}) c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(v, \widehat{H}) c_{r, \mathcal{P}}(w, \widehat{H})=c_{r, \mathcal{P}}\left(G^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Combining Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 2.8, we derive Theorem 1.5.
Proof of Theorem 1.5. Assume that $G$ is an $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal graph that is not complete multipartite. By Theorem 1.4, we may produce an $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal complete multipartite graph $G_{1}^{*}$ such that $\left|E\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)\right| \geq|E(G)|$. By applying Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, starting with $G$, we may produce an $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal complete multipartite graph $G_{2}^{*}$ such that $\left|E\left(G_{2}^{*}\right)\right|<|E(G)|$.

## 3. EXtremal configurations for the pattern family $\mathcal{P}_{k, s}$

Recall that $\mathcal{P}_{k, s}$ is the pattern family containing all patterns $P$ of $K_{k}$ such that $\gamma(P) \geq s$. In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.6, which states that every $n$-vertex $\left(r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}\right)$-extremal graph is complete multipartite.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $n, r \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$ be integers, and fix an integer $s$ such that $k \leq s \leq\binom{ k}{2}$. Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex $\left(r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}\right)$-extremal graph and assume for a contradiction that $G$ is not a complete multipartite graph. By Proposition 2.7, there exist vertices $u, v, w$ such that $u v, u w \notin E(G)$ and $v w \in E(G)$.

Let $H=G-\{u, v, w\}$, and $H^{x}=G[V(H) \cup x]$ for $x \in\{u, v, w\}$. At the end of the proof of Lemma 2.8, we concluded that $\vec{u}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}=\vec{w}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}=\vec{v}_{H, r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}$, so for every coloring $\widehat{H}$ of $H$ we have $c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}(u, \widehat{H})=c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}(w, \widehat{H})=c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}(v, \widehat{H})$. We also observed that, for every extension of $\widehat{H}$ to a coloring $\widehat{H^{w}}$, we must have $c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}\left(u, \widehat{H^{w}}\right)=$ $c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}(u, \widehat{H})$. Finally, since $u$ and $v$ are not adjacent, by Lemma 2.5, we have $\vec{u}_{H^{w}, r, \mathcal{P}}=$ $\vec{v}_{H^{w}, r, \mathcal{P}}$, that is, $c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}\left(u, \widehat{H^{w}}\right)=c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}\left(v, \widehat{H^{w}}\right)$ for every good coloring $\widehat{H^{w}}$ of $H^{w}$. It follows that, for every $\mathcal{P}_{k, s}$-free extension $\widehat{H^{w}}$ of $\widehat{H}$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}\left(v, \widehat{H^{w}}\right)=c_{r, \mathcal{P}_{k, s}}(v, \widehat{H}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will get a contradiction from this fact (which implies that such $G$ cannot exist). We only need to find an $r$-coloring of $\widehat{H}$ and an extension of it to $H^{w}$, which is $\mathcal{P}_{k, s}$-free and such that equation (6) does not hold. Since $s \geq k \geq 3$, the monochromatic coloring $\widehat{H}$ of $H$ such that all edges are blue is a good coloring, which can be extended to a fully blue coloring $\widehat{H^{w}}$ of $H^{w}$. Let $\mathcal{H}(v)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{w}(v)$ be the classes of all good colorings that extend $\widehat{H}$ to $H^{v}$ and $\widehat{H^{w}}$ to $G-u$, respectively. We show that there is an injective mapping $\phi: \mathcal{H}(v) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{w}(v)$ that is not surjective.

Given a coloring $\widehat{H^{v}} \in \mathcal{H}(v)$, let $\phi\left(\widehat{H^{v}}\right)$ be the coloring of $G-u$ that extends $\widehat{H^{w}}$ by assigning to any edge $e$ between $v$ and $V(H)$ the color of $e$ in $\widehat{H^{v}}$ and by assigning the color blue to $\{v, w\}$. The function $\phi$ is clearly injective. We claim that $\phi(\mathcal{H}(v)) \subseteq$ $\mathcal{H}^{w}(v)$. To see why this is true, suppose that $\phi(\mathcal{H}(v))$ contains a copy $\widehat{K}_{k}$ of $K_{k}$ whose pattern lies in $\mathcal{P}_{k, s}$. Clearly, this copy involves $v$ and $w$, otherwise it would also occur in $\widehat{H^{v}}$, a contradiction. Moreover, the only edges in this copy that are not necessarily blue are edges $\{v, x\}$, where $x \in V(H)$. The number of such edges is $k-2$, so that at most $k-1$ colors appear in $\widehat{K_{k}}$, contradicting the hypothesis that $s \geq k$ and establishing our claim.

On the other hand, the coloring of $G-u$ where $\{v, w\}$ is red and all other edges are blue is clearly in $\mathcal{H}^{w}(v)$, as $s \geq 3$. However, it does not lie in $\phi(\mathcal{H}(v))$, as $\phi$ always assigns color blue to $\{v, w\}$. So $\phi$ is not surjective and we have reached the desired contradiction.

Another class of families is the following: given a pattern $P$ of $K_{k}$, we say that a vertex $v$ in $K_{k}$ is bicolored if all edges incident with $v$ lie in at most two classes of $P$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ be the pattern family containing all patterns of the form $\left(K_{k}, P\right)$ such that $k=3$ and $P$ is the rainbow pattern, or such that $k \geq 4$ and $P$ contains at least two vertices that are not bicolored.

Theorem 3.1. Let $n, r \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{2}$. If $G$ is an $n$-vertex $(r, \mathcal{P})$-extremal graph, then $G$ is complete multipartite.
Proof. The proof follows the ideas above and uses the same blue colorings $\widehat{H}$ and $\widehat{H^{w}}$, with the corresponding classes $\mathcal{H}(v)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{w}(v)$. When defining $\phi\left(\widehat{H^{v}}\right)$, we proceed as before. We argue that this cannot create a forbidden pattern. If it did, the forbidden pattern $\left(K_{k}, P\right)$ would involve $v, w$ and $k-2$ vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-2}$ of $V(H)$. If $k=3$, this pattern cannot be $\left(K_{3}, P_{R}\right)$, where $P_{R}$ is the rainbow pattern, as both $\{v, w\}$ and $\left\{v_{1}, w\right\}$ are blue. If $k \geq 4$, all vertices in the copy are bicolored, with the possible exception of $v$, contradicting the fact that $P$ must contain at least two vertices that are not bicolored. On the other hand, the coloring of $G-u$ where $\{v, w\}$ is red and all other edges are blue is clearly in $\mathcal{H}^{w}(v)$, so that the function is not surjective.

Remark 3.2. As in this last example, in the statement of Theorem 1.6 we could have defined the family $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ of all patterns of the form $\left(K_{k}, P\right)$, where $k \geq 3$ and $\gamma(P) \geq k$. The proof would hold for any $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{* \dagger}$.
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